International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2019): 7.583

Conservative Management of Traumatic Pancreatitis - Our Experience

Dr. Siddharth Gupta¹, Dr. Ashish Goyal², Dr. Brijesh Kumar Sharma³, Dr. M. C. Misra⁴

¹Resident General Surgery, MGMCH, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: <u>Introduction</u>: Blunt trauma abdomen leading to pancreatic injury is not only rare, but moreover it's management is still controversial for high grade injuries involving the main pancreatic duct. The aim of the study is to evaluate the outcomes of conservative management of high grade pancreatic injuries. <u>Material & Methods</u>: Review of patients of Blunt Trauma Abdomen with Pancreatic injury at our center between 2018-2020. Cases included had raised Serum Lipase (more than 3 times the normal upper limit), positive ultrasonography and/or Computed Tomography scan having evidence of pancreatic injury. <u>Results</u>: A total of 5 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria, all male. Isolated pancreatic trauma in 3/5 cases (60%), Grade IV injury in 5/5 cases (100%). Pancreatic complications in 4/5 cases (80%), 2 cases had pseudocyst formation which were managed conservatively initially, and later endoscopically & laparoscopically. 2 cases had APFC formation which was managed by percutaneous aspiration. 4/5 patients are asymptomatic in follow up. <u>Conclusion</u>: Conservative management can be reserved for patients who are hemodynamically stable and is found to be a safe and effective way to treat High grade pancreatic injuries with good outcomes.

Keywords: Conservative Management, Pseudocyst Pancreas, Acute Peri-Pancreatic Fluid Collection (APFC)

1. Introduction

Trauma is the leading cause of mortality around the world (1). Abdominal injuries are common in these patients, with solid organs at particular risk suffering blunttrauma abdomen. Liver and Spleen injuries comprise the majority of solid organ injuries and conservative management has become the choice of treatment, till the patient is hemodynamically stable. Pancreatic injuries are rare, comprising less than 10% of blunt abdominal injuries, moreover, they are particularly challenging to manage due to their association with significant patient morbidity. (2, 3)

Pancreatic injuries are uncommon, occurring in 0.2–2 % of all trauma patients and 3–12 % of patients with abdominal injury (4–10). At presentation, diagnosing a pancreatic injury can be challenge. Because of the retroperitoneal location of the organ, clinical signs may be extremely subtle and may become apparent only after a period of time. Pancreatic injury occurs predominantlyin males (68–90 % male) and in the young (mean age 27–35 years) (7–14). In the United States, penetrating trauma is responsible for the majority of pancreatic injuries in adults, 48–81 % (7, 8, 13, 15, 16). Outside of the United States, blunt trauma is the cause of the majority of pancreatic injuries [17–20].

In blunt injury, the pancreas is most commonly injured by crushing force applied to the abdomen resulting in compression of the pancreas against the vertebral column with crush injury or transection injury. Pancreatic injury can range in severity from traumatic pancreatitis, contusions, and minor lacerations to complete gland transection and main pancreatic ductal injury. Associated injuries occur in 50–100 % of patients with pancreatic injury. (8, 12, 15, 18,

18–21). The liver, major vessels, duodenum, stomach, spleen small bowel, large bowel and kidney are the most commonly associated intra-abdominal injuries. Associated injuries to the chest, central nervous system, and skeletal system are also common. Isolated pancreatic injuries occur in 22 % of patients with blunt abdominal trauma (7, 15).

Trauma is the most common cause of acute pancreatitis and pancreatic pseudocyst in the paediatric population as well (22–25). Blunt trauma accounts for 75–100 % of pancreatic injuries in the paediatric population, mainly due to motor vehicle collisions, pedestrian injuries, bicycle accidents, child abuse and falls (2, 22–27).

2. Material & Methods

We included Patients with history of Blunt Trauma Abdomen with elevated Serum Lipase level at the time of presentation along with radiological (USG and/or CT) findings between January 2018 and December 2020 at our center.

Data collected included patient demographics, Mode of injury, Time of injury, Associated Injury(s), Type of pancreatic injury, AAST grading of the Pancreatic Injury (<u>Table 1</u>), Lipase Level at the time of admission, Complications related to the pancreas, Atlanta Classification for the pancreatic complication/collections <u>Table 2</u>, Interventions of any kind during hospital stay, Length of stay, Follow up period, Need for Pancreatic interventions during follow up, Type of pancreatic intervention required.

Volume 10 Issue 3, March 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: SR21315100146 DOI: 10.21275/SR21315100146 892

²Assistant Professor, Gen. Surgery, MGMCH, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

³ Professor & HOD, Dept of Gen. Surgery, MGMCH, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

⁴ Professor and Vice-Chancellor, MGMCH, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2019): 7.583

Table 1: Classification of traumatic injury of the pancreas according to the American Association for Surgery of Trauma (AAST)

Grade I	minor contusion without ductal injury
Grade II	major contusion/laceration without ductal injury or tissue loss
Grade III	distal transection or parenchymal injury with ductal injury
Grade IV	proximal transection or parenchymal injury involving ampulla
Grade V	mass destruction of the pancreatic head

Collection	Time after Onset of Pain (wk)	Pancreatitis Subcategory	Location	Imaging Features
APFC	≤4	IEP	Extrapancreatic	Homogeneous, fluid attenuation, con- forms to retroperitoneal structures, no wall
ANC	≤4	Necrotizing pancreatitis	Intra- and/or extra- pancreatic	Inhomogeneous*, nonliquefied com- ponents†, no wall
Pseudocyst	>4	IEP	Extrapancreatic‡	Homogeneous, fluid filled, circum- scribed, encapsulated with wall
WON	>4	Necrotizing pancreatitis	Intra- and/or extra- pancreatic	Inhomogeneous, nonliquefied compo- nents, encapsulated with wall

Sources.-References 2-4.

Note.—Any collection may become infected. ANC = acute necrotic collection, APFC = acute peripancreatic fluid collection, IEP = interstitial necrotizing pancreatitis, WON = walled-off necrosis.

Diagnosis

Early diagnosis of pancreatic injury especially in patients with blunt abdominal trauma remains a challenge. Pancreatic injuries are easily missed in primary and secondary survey because of very subtle signs. The patients in our study were only included after they had undergone Ultrasonography whole abdomen and Contrast Enhanced Computed Tomography Scan of whole abdomen, and both showing pancreatic injury.

<u>Conservative Management</u> - Included insertion of Nasogastric Tube, IV Antibiotics, IV Analgesics, IV Fluids, Regular blood investigations, Total Parenteral Nutrition.

<u>Discharge Protocol</u> - Patients were discharged once they were symptomatically stable, hemodynamically stable, tolerationg full oral diet and with normal bowel and bladder habits.

<u>Follow up Protocol</u> - They were followed up at weekly intervals. An ultrasound was done on each follow up and database was maintained. Presence or increase of volume of previous collections were noted.

893

3. Case Summary

Table 2: Revised Atlanta Classification for Acute Pancreatitis

	CASE 1	CASE 2	CASE 3	CASE 4	CASE 5
Age / Sex	15yr / Male	12yr/ Male	26yr / Male	22yr / Male	27yr / Male
	Blunt Trauma	Blunt Trauma	Blunt Trauma		
Mode Of Injury,	Abdomen by	Abdomen by	Abdomen by	Blunt Trauma Abdomen by	Blunt Trauma Abdomen by
	Road Traffic	Road Traffic	Road Traffic	Road Traffic Accident	Road Traffic Accident
	Accident	Accident	Accident		
Date Of Injury	09-04-2018	08-12-2018	07-02-2019	21-03-2019	28-01-2020
Pancreatic Injury	Complete transection of pancreatic body	Body transection	Pancreatic neck laceration	Complete transection in the body of pancreas	Laceration in head of pancreas with large fragment of uncinate process completely separated.
AAST Grading	Grade IV	Grade IV	Grade IV	Grade IV	Grade IV
		 Fracture right humerus 	Hemoperitoneum	1) Left renal injury- Grade IV	
Associated Injury(S)	Mild hemoperitoneum	Left auto- nephrectomy	and retroperitoneal	2) B/L multiple rib fractures	Hemoperitoneum
		3) Liver laceration	hematoma	3) Partial collapse of D12 vertebrae along with grade II	

Volume 10 Issue 3, March 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: SR21315100146 DOI: 10.21275/SR21315100146

^{*}Early ANCs may be homogeneous; follow-up computed tomography (CT) performed in 2nd week may help clarify status.

[†]Includes solid-appearing components or fat globules within fluid.

[‡]Rarely, persistent pancreatic leak or disconnected duct may lead to intrapancreatic pseudocyst.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2019): 7.583

			SJIF (2019): 7.	505	
				retrolisthesis, fractures in posterior elements of D11-D12 vertebrae.	
		4) Multiple undisplayed pelvic fractures		Fracture in right transverse process of right L1-L2 vertebrae	
				 Mild effacement of sulcal spaces are seen in left fronto-parietal lobes and frontal horn of bilateral lateral ventricles. 	
LIPASE	2322	2000	3504	1896	9514
Pancreatic Complications	Pseudocyst formation after 8 weeks	None	Peri-pancreatic collection then pseudocyst formation in Follow up	Peri-pancreatic collection	Peri-pancreatic collection large well defined located hematoma around head of pancreas and the separated fragment causing mass effect over 2nd and 3rd parts of duodenum and displacing the mesenteric vessels anteriorly.
Atlanta Classification Terminology	Pseudocyst in Follow Up	-	Pseudocyst in Follow Up	APFC	APFC
				Drain insertion in abdomen	Drain insertion in abdomen due to gastric symptoms.
Intervention(S) During Stay	None	Right arm ORIF	Drain insertion in abdomen for collected hemoperitoneum	2. Peripancreatic collection aspiration- twice	2. Endoscopic NJ tube insertion
During Stay				3. Neurosurgical intervention for spinal fracture and b/l lower limb weakness	
				4. Feeding Jejunostomy	
Length Of Stay	8 days	19 days	21 days	40 days	21 days
1ST Follow Up (from day of injury)	15 days	28 days	28 days	-	-
Need For Pancreatic Intervention in Follow Up	Interval Laparoscopic Cystogastrostomy	None	USG guided peripancreatic collection aspiration- 08/03/19 Endoscopic cysto- duodenostomy	-	Peri-pancreatic collection aspiration under USG guidance (SMS Hospital, Jaipur)
Type of	Laparoscopic	None	Endoscopic	Open Cystogastrostomy	Percutaneous
Intervention LAST Follow Up			2223920	Underwent Open	
(from day of injury)	33 months	25 months	24 months	Cystogastrostomy- ultimately died because of multiple associated injuries and poor nutritional status.	12 months

4. Results

Demographics

A total of 5 patients were included in the study, 5/5 (100%) were Male. The mean age was 20.4 years (Range- 15-27 years) and Mechanism of injury was Road Traffic Accident in 5/5 (100%) leading to Blunt Trauma Abdomen (From steering wheel of the car or handle of the motorcycle). 3/5 (60%) patients had isolated Pancreatic injuries, 5/5 (100%)

had Grade IV Injuries according to AAST grading. The mean length of stay was 23.8 days (Range- 8 to 40 days) and Mean Follow-up period was 23.5 months (Range is 12 - 33 months). In the observed data, 2/5 (40%) patients had associated injuries- Renal and Liver Injury in 1 and Renal, Vertebral in the other.

Volume 10 Issue 3, March 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: SR21315100146 DOI: 10.21275/SR21315100146 894

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2019): 7.583

Pancreatic Complications

Pseudocyst formation occurred in 2/5 (40%) patients, 1 underwent Laparoscopic Cysto-Gastrostomy and other Endoscopic Cysto-Duodenostomy. APFC (Acute Peri-Pancreatic Fluid Collection) occurred in 2/5 (40%) patients, 1 of the patients of APFC was managed by aspiration during first hospital stay after 4 weeks of trauma as he developed symptoms like Bilious vomiting, Pain abdomen, Abdominal distention, decreased appetite, Weight loss. Naso-jejunal drainage tube was also put for feeding/nutrition. The other patient with APFC underwent USG guided aspiration (outside MGMCH) after 6 weeks of trauma. He is currently in our follow up and is asymptomatic with no significant fluid collection.

None of the patients in our study have any endocrine or exocrine insufficiency

Mortality

A single patient in our study 1/5 (20%), who suffered from multiple injuries and underwent multiple procedures, first was Endoscopic placement of Naso-Jejunal tube. Second, Percutaneous fluid APFC aspiration. Third, Drain placement for APFC as fluid accumulated within 2 days after percutaneous aspiration. Fourth, Surgical Fixation of spinal injuries and Feeding Jejunostomy and finally Fifth, Open Cysto-gastrostomy (Outside MGMCH). His general condition kept on deteriorating. He lost significant weight, had abdominal symptoms due to the massive abdominal collection. He finally succumbed to his injuries and was the only mortality noted in our study.

5. Discussion

In this study, we managed hemodynamically stable patients with Grade IV or higher pancreatic injuries (AAST Grading) conservatively, thus, preventing Distal pancreatectomy in all 5 patients along with nephrectomy in 2 patients with or without splenectomy. Conservative management is highly recommended for low AAST grades i.e. Grade I and Grade II but this study demonstrates conservative management is effective for high grade pancreatic injuries in only a select group of patients, who are hemodynamically and clinically stable and in whom we can pro-actively wait for pseudocyst formation and then plan for a less invasive and less radical surgical approach. Non-operative management of liver and splenic injuries is the standard of care these days, with provisions of clinical and hemodynamic stability, but conservative management of high grade pancreatic injuries still remain controversial. (28-31)

Pseudocysts formation is the main complication related with Pancreatic Trauma/Acute Traumatic Pancreatitis. The management of pseudocysts is well established and is initially conservative. Sometimes, when their size increases, the cysts can become symptomatic, mainly due to compression of adjacent organs, or can cause occlusion or pain. Medical treatment is efficient in more than half of the patients. However, when required, many further options are available, including percutaneous puncture, or internal drainage by endoscopy. Other major complication in APFC, which can be managed by aspiration of the collection. In our study, 2/5 (40%) patients developed pancreatic pseudocyst,

which is the same incidence as mentioned in recorded literature (2, 32-34), they were managed by endoscopic and laparoscopic techniques and 2/5 (40%) patients had APFC which were managed by percutaneous fluid aspiration.

Other authors report high morbidity and mortality rates with operative management of pancreatic injuries (8). The study by Lin et alreported a complication rate more than 60% in emergency procedures. This rate was just above 20% when the surgery consisted of distalpancreatectomy (35). In our opinion, this is the main argument for attempting primary Conservative Management in hemodynamically and clinically stable patients even with high grade injuries. Indeed, because of the high morbidity rates, even for elective surgery (36), we consider that surgery should be reserved for haemodynamically unstable patients or patients with hollow organ injuries. Even as regards to Length of (LOS), Wood et alreported Stay that operativemanagement significantly decreased the rate of pseudocysts, it failed to reduce the initial LOS in these patients (37). Conservative Management is usually associated with increased average hospital stay, but in our study it is at par with those in previously described studies i.e. 20 days vs 26 days for Grade IV injury (37).

There are several limitations to our study like the sample size is very small and long term outcomes are not known.

6. Conclusion

We propose a watch and wait (not wait and watch) strategy for high grade pancreatic injuries and surgery should be avoided in hemodynamically and clinically stable patients. This reduces surgery related morbidity and mortality. Pancreatic complications are high in cases with AAST Grade IV injuries. These complications can be managed conservatively in the initial phase and pro-actively wait for pseudocyst formation and then tackling it by less invasive procedures.

References

- [1] Cooper A, Barlow B, DiScala C, et al. Mortality and truncal injury: the pediatric perspective. J Pediatr Surg 1994; 29:33-8.
- [2] Mattix KD, Tataria M, Holmes J, et al. Pediatric pancreatic trauma: predictors of nonoperative management failure and associated outcomes. J Pediatr Surg 2007; 42:340-4.
- [3] Arkovitz MS, Johnson N, Garcia VF. Pancreatic trauma in children: mechanisms of injury. J Trauma 1997; 42:49-53.
- [4] Davis JJ, Con I, Nance FC. Diagnosis and management of bluntabdominal trauma. Ann Surg. 1976; 183:672–8.
- [5] Jurkovich GJ, Carrico CJ. Pancreatic trauma. Surg Clin NorthAm. 1990; 70:575–93.
- [6] Heitsch RC, Knutson CO, Fulton RL, Jones CE. Delineation of critical factors in the treatment of pancreatic trauma. Surgery.1976; 80:523–9.
- [7] Wisner DH, Wold RL, Frey CF. Diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic injuries. An analysis of

Volume 10 Issue 3, March 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: SR21315100146 DOI: 10.21275/SR21315100146 895

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2019): 7.583

- management principles. ArchSurg. 1990; 125:1109-13.
- Cogbill TH, Moore EE, Morris JA, Hoyt DB, [8] Jurkovich GJ, Mucha P, Ross SE, Feliciano DV, Shackford SR. Distal pancreatectomyfor trauma: a multicenter experience. J Trauma AcuteCare Surg. 1991;31:1600-6.
- Akhrass R, Yaffe MB, Brandt CP, Reigle M, Fallon WF, MalangoniMA. Pancreatic trauma: a ten-year multi-institutional experience. Am Surg. 1997;63:598-
- [10] Vasquez JC, Coimbra R, Hoyt DB, Fortlage D. Management ofpenetrating pancreatic trauma: an 11year experience of a level-1trauma center. Injury. 2001;32:753-9.
- [11] Bokhari F, Phelen H, Holevar M, Brautigam R, Collier B, ComoJJ, Clancy K, Cumming JK, Cullinane D, Smith L. East guidelines for the diagnosis and management of pancreatic trauma. The Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. 2009. http://www.east.org/tpg/pancreas.pdf. Accessed 15 Aug 2014.
- [12] Iqbal CW, St Peter SD, Tsao K, et al. Operative vs nonoperativemanagement for blunt transection in children: multiinstitutionaltrial. J Amer Coll Surg. 2014; 218:157-62.
- [13] Patton JH, Lyden SP, Croce MA, Pritchard FE, Minard G, KudskKA, Fabian TC. Pancreatic trauma: a simplified managementguideline. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 1997;43:234–9.
- [14] Ilahi O, Bochicchio GV, Scalea TM. Efficacy of computed tomographyin the diagnosis of pancreatic injury in adult blunt traumapatients: a singleinstitutional study. Am Surg. 2002;68:704-7.
- [15] Jones RC. Management of pancreatic trauma. Am J Surg.1985;150:698-704.
- [16] Smego DR, Richardson JD, Flint LM. Determinants of outcomein pancreatic trauma. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 1985;25:771–6.
- [17] Leppaniemi AK, Haapianen R, Kiviluoto Lempinem M.Pancreatic trauma: acute and late manifestations. Br J Surg. 1988; 75:165-7.
- [18] Thomason DA, Krige JEJ, Thomson SR, et al. The role ofendoscopic retrograde pancreatography in pancreatic trauma: acritical appraisal of 48 patients treated at a tertiary institution. JTrauma Acute Care Surg. 2014;76:1362-6.
- [19] Krige JEJ, Kotze UK, Hameed M, et al. Pancreatic injuries afterblunt abdominal trauma: an analysis of 110 patients treated at alevel I trauma centre. South Afr J Surg. 2011;49:58-67.
- [20] Heuer M, Hussman B, Lefering R, et al. Pancreatic injury in 285 patients with severe trauma: outcome, course and treatmentalgorthim. Lagenbecks Arch Surg. 2011;396:1067-76.
- [21] Kong Y, Zhang H, He X, et al. Endoscopic management for pancreaticinjuriesdue to blunt abdominal trauma decreases failureof nonoperative management and incidence of pancreatic associated complications. Injury. 2014;45:134–40.
- [22] Vane DW, Grosfeld JL, West KW, Rescorla FJ. disordersin infancy Pancreatic and childhood:

- experience with 92 cases. J PediatrSurg. 1989; 24:771-
- [23] Lerner A, Branski D, Lebenthal E. Pancreatic diseases in children. Pediatr Clin North Am. 1996;43:125-56.
- [24] Takishima T, Sugimoto K, Asari Y, Kikuno T, Hirata M, KakitaA, Ohwada T, Maekawa K. Characteristics of pancreatic injuryin children: a comparison with such injury in adults. J PediatrSurg. 1996;31:896–900.
- [25] Arkovitz MS, Johnson N, Garcia VF. Pancreatic traumain children: mechanisms of injury. J Trauma Acute Surg.1997: 42:49-53.
- [26] Nadler EP, Gardner M, Schall LC, Lynch JM, Ford HR. Management of blunt pancreatic injury in children. J Trauma Acute CareSurg. 1999; 47:1098–103.
- [27] Beres AL, Wales PW, Christison-Lagay ER, et al. Non-operative management of high grade pancreatic trauma: is it worth thewait? J Ped Surg. 2013; 48:1060-4.
- [28] Landau A, van As AB, Numanoglu A, et al. Liver injuries in children: the role of selective non-operative management. Injury 2006;37:66-71.
- [29] Jacobs IA, Kelly K, Valenziano C, et al. Nonoperative management ofblunt splenic and hepatic trauma in the pediatric population: significant differences between adult and pediatric surgeons? Am Surg 2001; 67:149-54.
- [30] Keller MS. Blunt injury to solid abdominal organs. Semin Pediatr Surg2004; 13:106-11.
- [31] Davies DA, Pearl RH, Ein SH, et al. Management of blunt splenicinjury in children: evolution of the nonoperative approach. J PediatrSurg 2009;44:1005-8.
- [32] TatariaM. NanceML. Holmes JHIV. et al. Pediatric blunt abdominalinjury: age is irrelevant and delayed operation is not detrimental. J Trauma 2007;63(3):608-614
- [33] Sutherland I, Ledder O, Crameri J, et al. Pancreatic trauma inchildren. Pediatr Surg Int 2010;26(12):1201-
- [34] de Blaauw I, Winkelhorst JT, Rieu PN, et al. Pancreatic injury inchildren: good outcome nonoperative treatment. Pediatr Surg2008;43(9):1640-1643
- [35] Lin BC, Chen RJ, Fang JF, Hsu YP, Kao YC, Kao JL. Management ofblunt major pancreatic injury. J Trauma 2004;56(4):774-778
- [36] Borkon MJ, Morrow SE, Koehler EA, et al. Operative interventionfor complete pancreatic transection in children sustaining bluntabdominal trauma: revisiting an organ salvage technique. AmSurg2011;77(5):612-
- [37] Wood JH, Partrick DA, Bruny JL, Sauaia A, Moulton SL. Operative vsnon-operative management of blunt pancreatic trauma in children.J Pediatr Surg2010;45(2):401-406

Volume 10 Issue 3, March 2021

www.ijsr.net

Paper ID: SR21315100146 DOI: 10.21275/SR21315100146