

Innovating Extension of “Almost Pythagorean Triples” in Prime Numbers and Possible Uses in Science

Somnath Mahapatra

Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Govt. of India

Corresponding Author e-mail: mahap[at]tropmet.res.in

Abstract: A Pythagorean triple (PT) consists of three natural numbers a , b , and c , which follow the mathematical relation: $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, and is commonly written as (a, b, c) . Pythagorean triples are useful in various fields of Science and Technology, especially in Mathematics. In recent past, some new triples (x, y, z) of 3 natural numbers were found to follow the mathematical relation: $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 + 1$, (very close to the PT relation), and have been given the name “Almost Pythagorean Triples” (APTs). I have found several Almost Pythagorean Triples in prime numbers and in my present work, I have shown that their relation has very small percentage of deviation (PD%) from PT relation. It has been shown that multiples of an APT (MAPTs) show same amount of percentage of deviation (from PT relation) as the corresponding APT. APTs and MAPTs can have several uses and applications in Mathematics and other fields of Science & Technology.

Keywords: Pythagorean triple, Almost Pythagorean Triples, prime numbers, percentage of deviation

1. Introduction

The famous “Pythagorean theorem” gives the mathematical relation among three sides of a right triangle, stating that the area of the square whose side is the hypotenuse (the side opposite the right angle) is equal to the sum of the areas of the squares on the other two sides [1]-[3]. Thus, the relation can be expressed as a mathematical equation (often called the “Pythagorean equation”) for a right triangle of side-lengths a , b , and c , in the form: $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, where c is the longest side opposite to the right angle, called “hypotenuse”. The Pythagorean theorem, first proved by Pythagoras of Samos during 6th century B.C., has been a very important fundamental relation in Euclidean geometry among the three sides of a right triangle in a plane. This theorem has been utilized in various fields of Mathematics, like geometry, mensuration, trigonometry, vector algebra, etc. and different branches of Science and Technology. There are many proofs available for Pythagorean theorem, from Pythagoras’ own proof in 6th century B.C., through Euclid’s proof, Thabit ibn Qurra’s proof in 9th century, the Indian mathematician Bhaskara’s proof in 12th century, James Grafield’s proof in 19th century to modern proofs of this theorem [1]. The famous book written by E. S. Loomis, entitled “The Pythagorean Proposition” (whose first edition was published in 1928, and second edition in 1940), provided a major collection of proofs of Pythagoras theorem [1]. This book has collected as many as 370 different proofs of Pythagorean Theorem.

Let us now discuss briefly the concept of a “**Pythagorean triple**” (PT). A Pythagorean triple consists of three natural numbers (i.e., positive integers) a , b , and c , such that $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, [4]-[6], and is commonly written as (a, b, c) . Some well-known examples are $(3, 4, 5)$, $(5, 12, 13)$, $(8, 15, 17)$, etc. Thus, Pythagorean triples describe the three integer side lengths of a right triangle. However, right triangles with non-integer sides do not form Pythagorean triples, e. g., the triangle with sides $a = b = 1$ and $c = \sqrt{2}$ is a right triangle,

since $1^2 + 1^2 = (\sqrt{2})^2$, but $(1, 1, \sqrt{2})$ is not a Pythagorean triple because $\sqrt{2}$ is not an integer. Pythagorean triples have been known since ancient times. The oldest known record came from a Babylonian clay tablet (ancient Cuneiform tablet, dating from about 1800 BC), known as “Plimpton 322” (named after George Arthur Plimpton), which was discovered by Edgar James Banks shortly after 1900, and was sold to George Arthur Plimpton in 1922, for \$10 [7],[8]. This Plimpton Collection, one of the world’s most famous ancient mathematical artefacts, is preserved in the Rare Book and Manuscript Library of Columbia University. Plimpton 322 is just one of several thousand mathematical documents surviving from ancient Iraq (also called Mesopotamia), and in its current state, it comprises a four-column, fifteen-row table of Pythagorean triples (in sexagesimal number system), written in cuneiform (wedge-shaped) script on a clay tablet measuring about 13 by 9 by 2 cm. [7]. Pythagorean triples were also known in India, the earliest Baudhāyana-Sulbasutra contains five such triples [6], [9].

A **primitive Pythagorean triple** (PPT) is a Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) , in which a , b and c are **co-prime, i.e., highest common factor (HCF or GCD) of a , b , and c is 1** [5], [6], [9], [10]; thus they do not have any common factor other than 1. The most common example of PPT is $(3, 4, 5)$. Kak and Prabhu (2014) [9] have discussed about primitive Pythagorean triples for Cryptographic applications. They identified certain sequences and named those as “Baudhāyana sequences”, after the name of Baudhāyana, the author of one of the earliest Sulbasutras (documents containing some of the earliest Indian mathematics), who used Pythagorean triples several centuries before Pythagoras (i.e., dating back to the sixth century before Christ).

There are 16 Primitive Pythagorean Triples (a, b, c) with c less than 100, [6], as shown in **Table-1**, where we find that $(a^2 + b^2) = c^2$.

Volume 10 Issue 2, February 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Table 1: Primitive Pythagorean Triples (a, b, c) with c <100

| S. N. | Primitive Pythagorean Triple (a, b, c) | a ² | b ² | a ² + b ² | c ² |
|-------|--|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. | (3,4,5) | 9 | 16 | 25 | 25 |
| 2. | (5,12,13) | 25 | 144 | 169 | 169 |
| 3. | (8,15,17) | 64 | 225 | 289 | 289 |
| 4. | (7,24,25) | 49 | 576 | 625 | 625 |
| 5. | (20,21,29) | 400 | 441 | 841 | 841 |
| 6. | (12,35,37) | 144 | 1225 | 1369 | 1369 |
| 7. | (9,40,41) | 81 | 1600 | 1681 | 1681 |
| 8. | (28,45,53) | 784 | 2025 | 2809 | 2809 |
| 9. | (11,60,61) | 121 | 3600 | 3721 | 3721 |
| 10. | (16,63,65) | 256 | 3969 | 4225 | 4225 |
| 11. | (33,56,65) | 1089 | 3136 | 4225 | 4225 |
| 12. | (48,55,73) | 2304 | 3025 | 5329 | 5329 |
| 13. | (13,84,85) | 169 | 7056 | 7225 | 7225 |
| 14. | (36,77,85) | 1296 | 5929 | 7225 | 7225 |
| 15. | (39,80,89) | 1521 | 6400 | 7921 | 7921 |
| 16. | (65,72,97) | 4225 | 5184 | 9409 | 9409 |

Given a Primitive Pythagorean triple (a, b, c), its multiple (na, nb, nc) is also a Pythagorean triple, where n is a natural number, this is because of the fact that, $\{(na)^2 + (nb)^2\} = (nc)^2$. **Table-2** shows some multiples of PPT (3, 4, 5), all of these follow the PT relation. It may be noted that for n=1, it is the PPT itself.

Table 2: Some Multiples of the Primitive Pythagorean triple (3, 4, 5)

| S. n | Pythagorean Triple (na, nb, nc) | (na) ² | (nb) ² | (na) ² + (nb) ² | (nc) ² |
|------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | (3, 4, 5) | 9 | 16 | 25 | 25 |
| 2 | (6, 8, 10) | 36 | 64 | 100 | 100 |
| 3 | (9, 12, 15) | 81 | 144 | 225 | 225 |
| 4 | (12, 16, 20) | 144 | 256 | 400 | 400 |
| 5 | (15, 20, 25) | 225 | 400 | 625 | 625 |
| 6 | (18, 24, 30) | 324 | 576 | 900 | 900 |

Similarly multiples of other PPTs also can be shown to be Pythagorean triples.

1) Almost Pythagorean triples (APTs) in Prime numbers:

Orrin Frink (1987) [11] introduced some new triples (x, y, z) of 3 natural numbers, which show the mathematical relation: $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 + 1$, and named them as “Almost Pythagorean Triples”, as the above relation is very close to the Pythagorean Triple (PT) relation: $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$. While squaring & adding terms of the sequence 5,10,15,20,25,30, 35, ..., he noticed that $(10^2 + 15^2) = (18^2 + 1)$; $(20^2 + 25^2) = (32^2 + 1)$; $(25^2 + 35^2) = (43^2 + 1)$, etc. So, he suggested for solving the Diophantine equation: $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 + 1$, and called its positive integer solutions (x, y, z) of the above equation as “Almost Pythagorean Triples” (APTs). The first six APTs, in increasing values of “z”, were found to be (5, 5, 7), (4, 7, 8), (8, 9, 12), (7, 11, 13), (11, 13, 17) and (10, 15, 18).

I have found that **several prime number triples** follow the above mathematical relation of “Almost Pythagorean Triples”. For extending the above new concept of APTs [11] for special case of prime numbers, let me represent these triples as (a, p, t), which will be following the mathematical equation:

$$(a^2 + p^2) = t^2 + 1 \quad \dots (1)$$

It may be noted that a prime number is a natural number, which is divisible by 1 and itself only. In case, all the three numbers in the APT are distinct prime numbers, they are co-prime also, since they will have 1 as the only common divisor. Hence, most of the APTs in prime numbers are also Primitive Almost-Pythagorean Triples (a, p, t), following the equation- (1).

Table-3 gives listing of 12 APTs in prime numbers. The list can be extended anytime, if someone is interested in this. I wish to show that these APTs have very close relation with Pythagorean Triple (PT) relation. For this, let me find the Percentage of deviation of APT (a, p, t) from PT relation using the following formula:

Percentage of deviation of APT (PD in %) from PT relation = $\frac{\{(a^2 + p^2) - t^2\}}{t^2} * 100 = \frac{1}{t^2} * 100 = \frac{100}{t^2} \dots (2)$

Table 3: Almost-Pythagorean Triples (APTs) in Prime numbers

| APT in Prime numbers (a, p, t) | a ² + p ² | t ² | PD in %* | t ² +1 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|
| (5,5,7) | 50 | 49 | 2.04 | 50 |
| (7,11,13) | 170 | 169 | 0.59 | 170 |
| (11,13,17) | 290 | 289 | 0.35 | 290 |
| (13,19,23) | 530 | 529 | 0.19 | 530 |
| (23,29,37) | 1370 | 1369 | 0.07 | 1370 |
| (13,41,43) | 1850 | 1849 | 0.05 | 1850 |
| (29,37,47) | 2210 | 2209 | 0.05 | 2210 |
| (31,43,53) | 2810 | 2809 | 0.04 | 2810 |
| (41,53,67) | 4490 | 4489 | 0.02 | 4490 |
| (43,59,73) | 5330 | 5329 | 0.02 | 5330 |
| (43,71,83) | 6890 | 6889 | 0.01 | 6890 |
| (61,83,103) | 10610 | 10609 | 0.01 | 10610 |

[*PD (in %), calculated correct up to second decimal place]

Table-3 also shows Percentage of deviation of the abovementioned 12 Almost-Pythagorean Triples in Prime numbers (PD% from PT relation), calculated correct up to second decimal places, using the above formula (2). As seen from Table-3, we find that APTs have very small Percentage of deviation from PT relation, less than 0.1% for most of the cases. The PD% decreases sharply as the numerical values of (a, p, t) increase, e.g., for APTs in Table-3, PD% decreases from 2.04% for APT (5, 5, 7) to ~0.01% for APT(61,83,103). Also, another interesting finding from Table-3 is that, the unit’s digit of “t” (the third number of the APT) is either 7 or 3; and the unit’s digits in the numerical values of (a² + p²) and (t²+1) are zero (0).

The finding of these Almost-Pythagorean Triples in Prime numbers is very relevant in this year (2021), as it is believed that **this year is going to be a year of prime numbers**, as the number 2021 is product of two consecutive prime numbers 43 and 47. My cousin Dinabandhu forwarded me a message which had a mathematical meaning: “For a mathematics lover, 2021 is product of two prime numbers, 43 and 47, (as 43*47 = 2021). Interestingly, it can also be expressed as the product (a-b)*(a+b), as 2021 is (45-2)*(45+2) = 43*47... So, **2021 appears to have a partner in Prime**. The number 2021 is both the concatenation of consecutive integers (20 & 21) and the product of two consecutive primes 43*47. Also, multiplication of 2021 by its reverse number 1202, is a

palindrome (i.e., same, when read from both sides, front & opposite sides), as $2021*1202 = 2429242$, which will be same 2429242 when read from the opposite side". Many Mathematics lovers may like the message.

2) Multiples of Almost-Pythagorean Triples:

If (a, p, t) represents an APT, then (ma, mp, mt) with “m” being a natural number, can be called Multiples of Almost-Pythagorean Triples (MAPT).

For simplicity, let **A = ma** , **P = mp** , and **T = mt**, where m = 1, 2, 3, ...

Then the **MAPT (A, P, T)** will satisfy the following formula: **(A² + P²) = (T² + m²)... (3)**; obviously, for m=1, it will be the APT (a, p, t) itself.

For MAPTs (A, P, T), we can define their Percentage of deviation from Pythagorean Triple (PT) relation, as follows:

Percentage of deviation from PT relation = [{(A² + P²) - T² } / T²] * 100 = [{ m² } / T²] * 100 = { 100 * m² } / T² ... (4)

In **Table-4**, I have taken an APT in 3 prime numbers (13, 19, 23) and have listed various multiples of this APT, all of which follow equation (3), and also calculated PD %, using formula (4).

Table 4: Some Multiples (A, P, T) of the Almost - Pythagorean Triple (13, 19, 23)

| m | MAPT (A, P, T) | (A ² + P ²) | (T ²) | PD in % * | (T ² + m ²) |
|---|----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | (13,19,23) | 530 | 529 | 0.19 | 530 |
| 2 | (26,38,46) | 2120 | 2116 | 0.19 | 2120 |
| 3 | (39,57,69) | 4770 | 4761 | 0.19 | 4770 |
| 4 | (52,76,92) | 8480 | 8464 | 0.19 | 8480 |
| 5 | (65,95,115) | 13250 | 13225 | 0.19 | 13250 |

[*PD (in %), calculated correct up to second decimal place]

From **Table-4**, it is found that all such MAPTs of APT(13,19, 23) show equal values of PD (0.19 %). If we extend this Table with higher values of “m”, we shall get the same amount of Percentage of deviation from PT relation. Also, we can try with any other APT and we shall find the multiples (MAPT) will have same amount of PD %, as the corresponding APT. Thus, MAPTs can be equally useful as APTs.

3) Usefulness of approximate relations, quasi-conditions, semi-methods, etc. in Science

Specialists and experts may point out that why should we study about some approximate or almost relations, when there are so many exact and perfect relations available in Science & Technology. For this, let us have some discussions here. In various branches of Science and Technology, “Quasi” word is often utilized for describing an approximation or some approximate conditions or approximate values/states. In Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, this word has been utilized for different approximate conditions, like quasi-geostrophic & quasi-hydrostatic atmosphere. The great Meteorologist and pioneer of successful numerical weather prediction (NWP), Dr. Jule Gregory Charney (1948) [12] considered horizontal velocity of wind to be “quasi-geostrophic” and atmospheric pressure to be “quasi-hydrostatic”, while modelling the motion of large scale atmospheric disturbances [12]. Similarly, Baldwin et.al., (2001) [13] studied about the

“quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO)”, which dominates the variability of the equatorial stratosphere (~16–50 km) and is easily seen as downward propagating easterly and westerly wind regimes, with a variable period averaging approximately 28 months (i.e., little more than 2 years’ periodicity). From a fluid dynamical perspective, the QBO is a fascinating example of a coherent, oscillating mean flow that is driven by propagating waves with periods unrelated to that of the resulting oscillation [13]. Although the QBO is a tropical phenomenon, it affects the stratospheric flow from pole to pole by modulating the effects of extra-tropical waves. Indeed, study of the QBO is inseparable from the study of atmospheric wave motions that drive it and are modulated by it. Thus research on QBO is very important, even though it is a quasi-periodic oscillation of the equatorial zonal wind in the tropical stratosphere. In Mathematics, there have been discussions about “Quasi-Pythagorean Triples” for an Oblique Triangle, by Kay Dundas (1977) [14].

A.J. Robert (1982) [15] developed a “semi-lagrangian and semi-implicit” numerical integration scheme for the primitive meteorological equations for weather prediction. I utilized a dynamic model with semi-Lagrangian semi-Implicit time integration scheme, for studying the impact of digital filtering Initialization on the model performance for short range weather prediction over Indian region [16]. There are many other examples of uses of such semi-techniques. Thus, study of quasi, semi, approximate or almost relations can be useful in certain branches of Science & Technology. In the following section, I shall give some examples, how APTs and MAPTs can be useful.

4) Some useful applications of APT & MAPT

Let us take the APT (29, 37, 47) and check how can it be useful.

(A) In mensuration, we calculate area of triangle by various methods. Now for calculation of area of a triangle of sides 29 cm, 37 cm, and 47 cm, we can assume that it is almost a right angled triangle, as (29, 37, 47) is an APT, the largest side 47 resembles the hypotenuse, and the area is calculated simply as half of the product of other two sides, i.e., $(1/2)*29*37 = 536.5 \text{ sq. cm}$

Otherwise, if we calculate it by Heron’s formula, first we need to calculate the semi-perimeter value, $s = (1/2)*(a+p+t) = (1/2)*(29+37+47) = 56.5$

Then, $s*(s-a)*(s-p)*(s-t) = 56.5*27.5*19.5*9.5 = 287832.1875$. So, the area of the triangle will be square root of 287832.1875, which comes out to be **536.49994 sq.cm**, if we go correct up to 5 decimal points (and it will be 536.5 sq. cm, if we go correct up to 1 decimal point only).

Someone can argue that it is not an exact right-angled triangle, hence the second method gives the accurate result, while the first method will have some error. It is quite correct, but if we compare the results, we find that both results are almost same and they are exactly same if we go for the value correct up to first decimal point. Even going up to 5 decimal points, the percentage error for the first method will be ~0.00001%, which is quite negligible for any

practical purpose. But the benefit of the first method is that it takes much less time, much less computations and in modern day objective question system, it is very useful as the student gets very small time for answering each question. Thus, knowledge of APTs can be useful for school students as well as their teachers.

(B) In trigonometry, we can calculate the angles by values of the sides. For the triangle of sides 29 cm, 37 cm, and 47 cm, we can tell that angle opposite to 47 cm side is nearly 90° , as (29, 37, 47) forms an APT and 47 is the highest value (so that largest side of 47cm resembles the hypotenuse). The other two angles can be calculated simply through Trigonometrical ratios (sin, cos, tan). On the other hand, for calculation of angles, if we use cosine formulation of properties of triangles, we need to calculate many things including squares of the sides. So, the first method is much easier and faster, with very small percentage error in the result.

If the sides of the triangle are multiples of APT (MAPT), then also we can use the easier method, as described in (A) and (B).

(C) In analytic geometry, the equation of APT represents a hyperboloid of revolution of one sheet, on a doubly ruled surface [11].

(D) Concepts of APT and MAPT can be useful in number theory and possibly it can lead to future evolution of these concepts.

Thus, knowledge of APTs and MAPTs can be very useful in Mathematics. As many branches of Science & Technology often utilize Mathematical concepts, therefore the applications can be manifold. I think that these concepts can also be utilized in artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms, as relations of APTs and MAPTs have certain patterns, which a machine (i.e., computer) can learn, just as human brains learn new things and later utilize those.

2. Conclusions

In this paper, I have discussed about "Almost Pythagorean Triples" (APT) in a very simple and popular manner and highlighted my finding of several APTs in prime numbers. I have shown that APTs show very small percentage of deviation (PD) from Pythagorean Triple (PT) relation, even less than 0.1% for most of the APTs, and hence these can have scientific applications with very small margin of error. Multiples of APTs (MAPTs) show same amount of PD %, as the corresponding APT and hence can be equally utilized. Through certain examples, I have argued that these concepts can be useful in Mathematics as well as various branches of Science & Technology. I hope that these concepts will be well taken by the scientists and researchers.

3. Acknowledgements

I sincerely dedicate this work in the memory of my beloved father Late Shree Bhakta Ranjan Mahapatra, who had been a great teacher of Physics and Mathematics, as well as my mentor, guide & philosopher. I sincerely thank Indian

Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune, of the Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India. I am thankful to all sources of information and data, used in this paper. Finally, I thank all the authors in the reference list, reviewers and all authors & persons who have worked in the related fields.

References

- [1] E.S. Loomis, "The Pythagorean Proposition", Reprints of Second Edition (1940), Published by: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, Washington, D.C., USA, 1968.
- [2] F. Greensite, "A New Proof of the Pythagorean Theorem and Its Application to Element Decompositions in Topological Algebras", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Volume 2012 (3), 2012.
- [3] K. G. Prajapati, "A New and Simple Way to Prove Pythagorean Theorem", International Journal of Mathematics Research, ISSN 0976-5840, Volume 12 (Number 1), pp. 83-89, 2020.
- [4] Judith D. Sally; and Paul Sally "Chapter 3: Pythagorean triples", Roots to research: a vertical development of mathematical problems, American Mathematical Society Bookstore, p. 63, ISBN 978-0-8218-4403-8, 2007.
- [5] Dan Romik, "The dynamics of Pythagorean triples", Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 360 (2008), 6045-6064, 2008.
- [6] Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pythagorean_triple), information has been used, as updated on 25th January 2021 (1/25/2021).
- [7] Eleanor Robson, "Words and Pictures: New Light on Plimpton 322" (<https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/news/monthly105120.pdf>), Mathematical Association of America Monthly, Mathematical Association of America, 109, pp. 105-120, February 2002, 2002.
- [8] E. F. Donoghue, "In search of mathematical treasures: David Eugene Smith and George Arthur Plimpton", Historia Mathematica, 25, pp. 359-365, (1998).
- [9] S. Kak, and M. Prabhu, "Cryptographic applications of primitive Pythagorean triples", Cryptologia, 38 (3), pp. 215-222, 2014. (Published online on 13 June 2014; <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/01611194.2014.915257#.U5tvAvldXkg>).
- [10] Calvin T. Long, "Elementary Introduction to Number Theory" (2nd ed.), Lexington: D. C. Heath and Company, LCCN 77-171950. p. 48., 1972.
- [11] Orrin Frink, "Almost Pythagorean Triples", Mathematics Magazine, 60(4), pp. 234-236, DOI: 10.1080/0025570X.1987.11977310, 1987. (Published online: 13 Feb 2018).
- [12] J. G. Charney, "On the scale of atmospheric motion", Geofysicke Publikasjoner, Vol. 17 (No. 2), pp. 3-17, 1948.
- [13] M.P. Baldwin, L.J. Gray, T.J. Dunkerton, K. Hamilton, P.H. Haynes, W.J. Randel, J.R. Holton, M.J. Alexander, I. Hirota, T. Horinouchi, D.B.A. Jones, J.S. Kinnerson, C. Marquardt, K. Sato, and M. Takahashi, "The Quasi-Biennial Oscillation", Reviews of Geophysics, 39, pp. 179-229. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999RG000073>, 2001.

- [14] Kay Dundas, "Quasi-Pythagorean Triples for an Oblique Triangle", The Two-Year College Mathematics Journal, Volume 8(No. 3), pp. 152-155, 1977. (Published online on 30 Jan 2018).
- [15] A. J. Robert, "A semi-lagrangian and semi-implicit numerical integration scheme for the primitive meteorological equations", Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan, Ser. II, 60 (1), pp. 319-325, 1982.
- [16] S. Mahapatra, and A. Bandyopadhyay, "Impact of digital filtering Initialization on the performance of a semi-Lagrangian semi-Implicit model over Indian region" Indian Journal of Radio & Space Physics, vol.29, December 2000, pp. 319 – 332,2000.

Author Profile



Somnath Mahapatra is a scientist, working at Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology (IITM) Pune, of the Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India. He is also a senior scientist at the International CLIVAR Monsoon Project Office (ICMPO), hosted by IITM

Pune, India. He completed his B. Sc. (Physics Honours) degree from St. Xavier's College, Ranchi of Ranchi University in 1983. He received his M. Sc.Tech. degree in Applied Geophysics and M. Tech. degree in Geophysical Instrumentation from Indian School of Mines (IIT-ISM), Dhanbad in 1988 and 1989 respectively. He was associated with an Indo-Canadian project for some time and then joined IITM Pune in 1994. He carried out research works in the fields of numerical weather prediction, air-sea interactions, seasonal prediction of Indian summer monsoon under Monsoon Mission program, climatic applications of hydrology, etc. and published research papers in national & international journals. He has been an adjunct Professor of S. P. Pune University and provided teaching and guidance to post-graduate students on various subjects including Atmospheric Sciences, Mathematics and Statistics. He also guided post-graduate students of many other Universities. He is actively associated with Indian Meteorological Society, Pune Chapter for a long time. He has been greatly involved in Science popularization activities and for organization of several workshops & symposia, leading to capacity building of the new generation scientists & researchers.