

Pregnancy Outcome after Diagnosis of Oligohydramnios

Dr Sudha .H .C¹, Dr. Sunanda, K. M.²

^{1,2}Dept of OBG, Bangalore Medical College & Research Institute, Bengaluru

Abstract: ***Background:** To determine the outcome of maternal and fetal wellbeing after diagnosis of oligohydramnios at term pregnancy. **Methods:** 50 female pregnant patients aged between 18 to 27 yrs were screened with history of oligohydramnios by ultra sound scanning after 37 completed weeks, compared with 50 controls with no oligohydramnios. **Results:** The meage age of study group were 22.7 years and of control group is 22.4yrs respectively. Majority of the patients were primigravid as in both study and control group. The mean gestational age was 39.5wks in study group and 39.4 wks in control group. The amniotic fluid index was measured by four quadrant semi quantitative technique in ultrasound and those with AFI<5cm were considered as oligohydramnios, and those with AFI between 5cm & 20cm were considered. The occurrence of nonreactive nst was more in study group compared to control group. The incidence of meconium stained liquor was more(52%) in study group compared to control group(26%), the induction of labour was more common in study group(46%) than control group(24%), which is statistically significant. The efficacy of AFI as a screening test to predict fetal distress is more significant with positive predictive value of 57% and negative predictive value is 80%. the mean birth weight was 2.5 kg in study group compared to 2.75kg in control which is statistically significant. 34% of the new borns were admitted to nicu in study group compared to 14% of control group. **Conclusions:** In the presence of oligohydramnios, the occurrence of non reactive NST, meconium stained liquor, Development of foetal distress are very high, and .the rate of lscs., the low 5 min apgar score, low birth weight and perinatal morbidity are high compared to controls without oligohydramnios.*

Keywords: oligohydramnios, amniotic fluid index, NST. apgar, low birth.

1. Introduction

Amniotic fluid which surrounds developing fetus in amniotic sac provides several benefits to the fetus. Despite decades of investigations, the regulation of amniotic fluid volume and composition remains incompletely understood. This results in part from the complexities inherent in the amniotic fluid dynamics, an enigmatic interaction of several sites of amniotic fluid secretion and excretion. The source of amniotic fluid is maternal plasma, diffusion of extra cellular fluid through fetal skin, fetal urine and fetal lung secretions.

Progressive improvement in ultrasonographic technique has made it possible to assess the amniotic fluid relatively accurately. Although subjective and semi quantitative methods of estimating amniotic fluid volume remains controversial.

However, the technique of four quadrant method of calculating amniotic fluid index (AFI) described by Phelan et al in 1987 is accepted by most authors.

Amniotic fluid index of < 5cm defines oligohydramnios as described by Phelan et al. Many studies show that oligohydramnios is associated with variety of ominous pregnancy outcomes such as fetal distress, low birth weight, perinatal morbidity, and increased incidence of caesarean section.

However, some studies show that amniotic fluid index is a poor predictor of adverse outcome and even the existence of an entity like isolated term oligohydramnios has been questioned by some authors. Thus this study is conducted to find out the value of oligohydramnios in determining perinatal outcome at term and caesarean section rate in pregnancies beyond 37 completed weeks.

The purpose of taking group of women with oligohydramnios at term pregnancy are because of etiology, management and outcome is different in late onset oligohydramnios compared to early onset of oligohydramnios.

Numerous factors have been evaluated with respect to the effect of amniotic fluid including inter observer and intra observer variation, transducer pressure, fetal movements transducer type, number of gestation, and fetal presentation. Various methods have been described for ante partum and intrapartum fetal surveillance like NST, CST, VAST, BPP, DOPPLER VELOCIMETRY, FHR tracing, fetal stimulation test and fetal scalp pH estimation.

This study is conducted to find out the value of oligohydramnios in determining perinatal outcome at term and caesarean section rate in pregnancies beyond 37 weeks of gestation. The present study was prospective study carried out on 100 patients at Vanivilas Hospital, Bangalore. From Oct-2015 to Oct 2016.

2. Methodology

This is a prospective case control study done from Oct 2015 to Oct 2016 at BMCRI, VVH, Bengaluru. It consists of analysis of pregnancy outcome in 50 cases with diagnosis of oligohydramnios by ultrasound after 37 completed weeks of gestation compared with 50 controls with no oligohydramnios and matched for other variables like age, parity, gestational age and any pregnancy complications.

There are some inclusion and exclusion criteria briefed below :

Inclusion criteria

1) 37 completed weeks of gestation.

Volume 6 Issue 5, May 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

- 2) Amniotic fluid index ,<5cm.
- 3) Intact membranes.
- 4) Singleton pregnancy with cephalic presentation.

Exclusion criteria

- 1) Gestational age less than 37 completed weeks
- 2) Premature ruptures of membranes
- 3) Associated fetal anomalies
- 4) Malpresentations
- 5) Multiple gestation

Only those women who remembered their last date of menstrual period correctly with previous regular cycles and the gestational age calculated by clinical examination and ultrasound were corresponding, that is only good and excellent dates with 37 completed weeks were taken.

For all women.USG was done and AFI was calculated by four quadrant technique, NST was done for all patients. The management protocol was similar in both study group and control group .All were monitored by continuous electronic fetal heart rate monitoring in labour, each case was assessed as follows;

- 1) Spontaneous / induced labour
- 2) Mode of delivery
- 3) Indication of caesarean delivery
- 4) Colour of liquor
- 5) Placental calcification
- 6) Cord round the neck
- 7) Apgar score at 1and5 min
- 8) Birth weight of new born
- 9) Admission to nicu
- 10) Perinatal morbidity & mortality

3. Results

Table 1

	Study Group	Control Group
Bookedcases	33 66%	34 68%
Unbooked Cases	17 34%	16 32%

IN both the groups majority are booked cases.66% of cases were booked under study group where in control group it was about 68%. Only 34% were unbooked in study Group and 32% in control group.

Table 2: Age Distribution

Age(yrs)	Study group	Control group
16-20	15	16
20-25	29	29
26-30	6	5

The age distribution is shown in table 2. The mean age for study group was 22.7yrs the mean age for control group was22.4yrs .there was no difference in the mean age between the two groups statistically.

Table 3: Gravidity distribution
Study group control group

	Study group	Control group
1 st gravid	28	27
2 nd gravid	0	19
3 rd gravid	5	9
4 th gravid	1	0

The mean gravidity is 1.54

Table 4: Gestational age distribution

Gestational age (inwks)	Study group	Control group
37-40 wks	20	23
>40wks	30	27

The mean gestational age for control group is 39 wks whereas for study group is 39.5 weeks.

Table 5: Distribution of antenatal complications

Antenatal complications	Study group	Control group
Mild preeclampsia	8	8
Severe preeclampsia	6	4
Post term pregnancy	1	0
Abruptioplacenta	1	1

This table shows distribution of antenatal complications in both study and control group the occurrence of complications are similar .and are not statistically significant.

Table 6: Distribution of amniotic fluid index in study group

AFI(cms)	Study group	
2.1-3	19	38%
3.1-4	21	42%
4.1-5	10	20%

The mean AFI in study group is 3.4cm

Table 7: Distribution of amniotic fluid in control group

AFI(cms)	Control Group	
5-10	20	40%
10.1-13	23	46%
13.1-15	7	14%

The mean AFI IS 10.82 CMS.

Table 8: Distribution of nonstress test pattern

NST	Study Group	Control Group
Reactive	28(56%)	37(74%)
Eqvivolcal	12(24%)	9(18%)
Nonreactive	10(20%)	4(8%)

The percentage of reactive cases was 56% in study group while in control group is 74%.

Table 9: Distribution of colour of the amniotic fluid

Colour of amniotic fluid	Study group	Control group
Clear	24(48%)	37(74%)
Meconium stained	26(52%)	13(26%)
Total	50(100%)	50(100%)

Among the study group meconium stained liquor was present 26 women while in control group it is in 13 women.

Table 10: INDUCED/spontaneous labour

	Study group	Control group
Induced	23 (46%)	12 (24%)
Spontaneous	27 (54%)	38 (76%)
Total	50 (100%)	50 (100%)

Among the study group the no of induced labour is 46% , while spontaneous labour is 54%.

Whereas in control group spontaneous labour is 76% which is very high compared to study group, also induced rate is only 24%.

Table 11: Distribution of intervention for fetal distress

Intervention for fetal distress	Study group	Control group
LSCS	19 38%	9 18%
forceps	03 6%	3 6%
total	22 44%	12 24%

Among the study group, intervention for distress was done in 22 cases (44%).

Among the control group, intervention for fetal distress was done in 12 women (24%).

The difference of distribution of intervention for fetal distress between the two groups was not statistically significant. ($p < 1.0$).

Table 12: Distribution of mode of delivery

Mode of delivery	Study group	Control group
Normal vaginal delivery	14 (28%)	36 (72%)
Cesarean section	21 (42%)	11 (22%)
Forceps delivery	05 (10%)	03 (6%)
Total	50 (100%)	50 (100%)

The study group cesarean delivery was more 42% compared to control group 22%.

The normal vaginal delivery is also less (28%) compared to control group (72%)

This is statistically significant.

Table 13: Distribution of LSCS for fetal distress

	LSCS	Normal Vaginal Delivery
Study group (AFI < 5)	19	14
CONTROL GROUP (AFI > 5)	09	36

In study group, 19 women underwent lscs for fetal distress and 14 had normal delivery. Where as in control group 36 women had normal delivery and only 9 had cesarean delivery.

The determination of AFI, < 5 cm as a screening test in predicting fetal distress during labour has a sensitivity of 67.8%, specificity of 72%, positive predictive value of 57.5% and a negative predictive value of 80%.

Table 14: LSCS for Fetal Distress in Nonreactive NST

	Non reactive nst	lscs for fetal distress	Percentage
Study group	10	06	60%
Control group	04	02	50%

The occurrence of LSCS for fetal distress in study group is more 60%. While in control group it is 50%.

Table 15: LSCS for fetal distress in reactive NST

	Reactive NST	LSCS for fetal distress	percentage
Study group	28	08	28.5%
Control group	37	04	10.5%

This table shows lscs in reactive NST. Among the study group 28 women had reactive nst out of which 8 women underwent lscs for fetal distress. Whereas, in control group, 37 women had reactive NST out of which only 4 women underwent cesarean section.

Table 16: LSCS for fetal distress in equivocal NST

	Equivocal nst	LScs for FD	percentage
Study group	12	07	58.3%
Control group	09	03	33.3%

In this table 12 women had equivocal nst out of which 7 had to undergo lscs for fetal distress which was higher (58.3%). In control group only 3 women underwent lscs for fetal distress out of 9 equivocal nst. (33.3%). This is statistically significant.

Table 17: Distribution of placental calcifications and cord round the neck

	Study group	Control group
Placental calcification	13 26%	08 16%
Cord round the neck	09 18%	05 10%

In this table the cases having placental calcifications were 26%, while cord round the neck were 18% among study group. In control group placental calcifications were seen in 16% of cases and cord round the neck in only 10% of the cases.

Table 18: Distribution of APGAR SCORE.

Apgar score	Study group	Control group
1MIN	16 (32%)	9 (18%)
5MIN	5 (10%)	2 (4%)

The mean apgar score for study group at 1 min was 7.28. The mean apgar score for control group at 5 min was 9.48. The percentage of apgar score less than 7 at 5 min was 10% in study group compared to only 4% in control group.

Table 19: Distribution of birth weight

Birth weight in kg	Study group	Control group
1.5-2 kg	6 (12%)	0
2-2.25kg	26 (52%)	14 (28%)
2.5-3kg	11 (22%)	24 (48%)
3-3.5kg	4 (8%)	12 (24%)
>3.5kg	1 (2%)	0

The mean birth weight in study group was 2.58kg whereas in control group it is 2.77kg. The occurrence of birth weight, < 2.5kg was seen in 32 women in study group (64%)

The occurrence of birth weight < 2.5kg was seen in 14 women (28%) among control group, which is statistically significant, ($p < 0.05$).

Table 20: Distribution of admission to nicu

Study group	17	34%
Control group	07	14%

17 neonates was admitted to nicu in study group, while only 7 neonates were admitted in control group. However, there was no mortality in our study.

4. Discussion

This is a prospective case control study of 50 pregnant women of more than 37 completed weeks of gestation with oligohydramnios compared with women having AFI more than 5cm. other variables like age, parity, gestational age were matched in both groups. This is done over a period of 13 months from oct-2015 to oct-2016 at Vanivilas hospital, Bangalore Medical College and Research Centre, Bengaluru.

The mean age of study group & control group were 22.7yrs and 22.4yrs respectively.

Most of them were primigravida & the mean gravidity was 1.66 in study group and 1.54 in control group, the mean gestational age was 39.5wks in study group and 39.4 weeks in study group.

32% of study group had antenatal complications like mild and severe pre eclampsia, post term pregnancy & abruptio placenta.

The amniotic fluid index was measured by four quadrant semi quantitative technique in ultra sound and those with AFI <5 cm were considered as oligohydramnios and those with AFI between 5cm and 20 cm were considered normal. The mean AFI in study group was 3.44cm & in control group it is 10.8 cm.

The induction of labour was more common in study group 46% than in control group 24%. this difference was statistically significant (p<0.03).

44% of women in study group developed fetal distress, 38% of them were delivered by LSCS & 6% by forceps. The difference of intervention for fetal distress between two groups was not statistically significant (p<1.0).

The efficacy of AFI as a screening test to predict fetal distress requiring lscs was of a sensitivity 67.8% of specificity 72%.

The mean apgar score at 1min & 5min were 7.28 and 7.46 respectively in study group whereas in control group it is 10% & 4% respectively.

The percentage of aogar score less than 7 at 5 min was 10% in study group and 4% in control group.

34% of neonates in study group were admitted to nicu compared to 14% of control group. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.05).

The results are consistent with most of the similar studies.

The mean birth weight was 2.5kg in study group and 2.75kg in control group. the difference in occurrence of low birth weight was statistically significant.

34% of neonates in study group was admitted to nicu compared to 14% of control group which was statistically significant.

These results are consistent with most of the similar studies.

5. Conclusion

In presence of oligohydramnios, the occurrence of nonreactive NST, meconium stained liquor, development of fetal distress, the rate of LSCS, the low 5 min apgar score, low birth weight and perinatal morbidity and mortality are high compared to controls without oligohydramnios. Hence, an amniotic fluid index of <5cm detected after 37 completed weeks is an indicator of poor perinatal outcome.

Determination of AFI is valuable screening test for predicting fetal distress in labour requiring cesarean section. It has a sensitivity of 67.8% & specificity of 72%. it helps to identify those infants at risk of poor perinatal outcome.

References

- [1] American college of obstetricians and gynecologists'', antepartum fetal surveillance''. practice bulletin no 9.
- [2] Arias Fernando practical guide to high risk pregnancy and delivery 3rd edition 278
- [3] Bochm FH, salya s, shah dm Vaughn wk improved outcome of twice weekly Nonstress testing. obstet gynecol 1986;67:566-8.
- [4] Bbrace ra, wlode me cock ml, harding r, 'swallowing of long liquid by ovine fetus under harmonic and hypoxic conditions'. AmJ obstet gynecol 1989;161:1264-6.
- [5] Casey ml, intiredd, donaldd, etal'' pregnancy outcome after diagnosis of oligohydramnios at or beyond 34 weeks of gestation''. AMJ obstet gynecol 2000.
- [6] Chamberlain pf, manning fa, morrison I, harmann cr, langcr, the relationship of marginal and decreased amniotic fluid volume to perinatal outcome; AMJ OBSTET GYNECOL. 1984;245-9.
- [7] Colleen b, morgan mark a, garite tj, 'the impact of amniotic fluid volume assessed intrapartum with perinatal outcome''. AMJ obstet gynecol 1995.
- [8] Conway d, Adkins wb, shroedere b, et al "isolated oligohydramnios in the term Pregnancy, is it a clinical entity". J of fetal medicine 1998;7:197-200.
- [9] Charls d jacoby he, preliminary data on the use of sodium amino hippurate to determine amniotic fluid volume; AMJ obstet gynecol 1996;95:266-9.
- [10] Dodic m, wintour em, effects of prolonged (48hrs) infusion of cortisol on blood
- [11] pressure, renal function, and fetal fluids in the immature ovine fetus, clin exp pharmacol physiol 1994;21:1971-80.
- [12] Divon my, marks ad, Henderson ce, 'longitudinal measurement of amniotic fluid index in post term pregnancies and its association with fetal outcome'. AMJ obstet gynecol 195;172:142-6.

- [13] Devoe Id, paula g, dear, Castillo ra, 'the diagnostic values of concurrent non stress testing, amniotic fluid measurement, and Doppler velocimetry in screening a general
- [14] High risk population'. AMJ obstet gynecol 1990;163;1040-8.
- [15] Evertson lr, Gauthier rj, collea jv, fetal demise following negative contraction stress test, obstet gynecol 1978;51;671-3.
- [16] Ergon et all "predictive value of amniotic fluid volume measurements on perinatal outcome" obstet gynecol 1998.
- [17] Grubbd r, paulrh, 'amniotic fluid index & prolonged antepartum fetal heart rate decelerations' obstet gynecol 1992.
- [18] Gillibrand pn, 'changes in the electrolytes, urea, and osmolality of the amniotic fluid with advancing pregnancy, J obstet gynecol br common w 1969;76;898-905,
- [19] HOSKINSia, friedinfj, youngbk, 'variable decelerations in reactive nonstress tests with decreased amniotic fluid index predict fetal compromise. AMJ obstetgynecol1997;165-1094-8.
- [20] Lawrence leamanetal, 'isolated oligohydramnios at term; is induction indicated//, the j family practice 2005;54(1)'
- [21] Lawson ee, brown er, torday js, madansky dl, taesh hw jr, the effect of epinephrine on tracheal fluid flow and surfactant efflux in fetal sheep. AMREV respire dis 1978;118;1023-6.
- [22] Manningfetal, april'ultrasound evaluation of amniotic fluid; outcome of pregnancies with severe oligohydramniou' AMJ OBSTETGYNECOL, 1986, 154-895-900.
- [23] Moore tr ,piaquadio, k. "a prospective evaluation of fetal movement screening to reduce the incidence of antepartum fetal death ". AMJ obstet gynecol 121,4811,1975.
- [24] Moore tr, cayleje, 'the amniotic fluid index in normal human pregnancy' AMJ obstet gynecol 1990;162;1168-1173.
- [25] Manning fa, platt ld, sipos l, 'antepartum fetal evaluation, development of fetal biophysical profile'. AMJ obstet gynecol 1980, 136;787.
- [26] Manning fa, Morrison j, large hr, Harman cr, chamberlain pf, fetal assessment based on biophysical profile scoring experience in 12,620 referred high risk pregnancies. J perinatal mortality by frequency and etiology. AMJ obstet gynecol 1985;151;343-50.
- [27] Moore tr, 'clinical assessment of amniotic fluid in clinical obstetrics and gynecology. Pitkin roy m. scot james, r, Philadelphia, lippencot, raven publication 1997;40(2);303-313.
- [28] Magann ef, kinsella mj, chouhan sp, etal 'does an amniotic fluid index of <5cm necessitate delivery in high risk pregnancies ;four case control study,; AMJ obstet gynecol 180;1354-1359.
- [29] Nageotte mp, towers cv, asrat t, freeman rk 'perinatal outcome with the modified biophysical profile'. AMJ obstet gynecol 1994;170;1672-6.
- [30] Phelan jp, smith cv, small m, 'amniotic fluid volume assessment with four quadrant technique at 36-42 weeks of gestation'. J repod med 1987;32;540-542
- [31] Pierce j, gandier fl, sanchez. Ranozl, 'intrapartum amnion fusion for meconium stained fluid, meta analysis of prospective clinical trials' obstet gynecol 1990;95;1051.
- [32] Pritchard ja, fetal swallowing and amniotic fluid volume obstet gynecol 1966;28;606-10.
- [33] Rabinowitz r, petersmt, vyas s, Campbell s, nicolaides kh, 'measurement of fetal urine production in normal pregnancy by real time ultrasonography' AMJ obstet gynecol 1989;161;1264-6.
- [34] Rayburn wf, clinical significance of perceptible fetal motion AMJ obstet gynecol 138;210;1980.
- [35] Rabelloy a, miller da, paul rh, the modified biophysical profile ,antepartum testing in 1990. AMJ obstet gynecol 1996;174;812-7.
- [36] Rutherford se, jefferyp, phelanj, smith cv, jacobn, 'the four quadrant assessment of amniotic fluid volume; an adjunct to antepartum fetal hert rate testing;' OBSTET GYNECOL 1987, 70-353.
- [37] Ross mg, leake rd, Ervin g, fu p, fisher da, 'fetal lung liquid regulation by neuropeptides;. AMJ obstet gynecol 1984;150;421-5.
- [38] Spong cy, ogundipe oa, ross mg, prophylactic amnio infusion for meconium stained amniotic fluid, AMJ obstet gynecol 1994;171;931-5.
- [39] Schucker jl, merces bm, lowis rl, etal 'serial amniotic fluid index in severe pre eclampsia, a poor predictor of adverse outcomr. AMJ obstet gynecol 1996;175;1018-23.
- [40] Williams text book of obstetrics.