

Morphological Classification of Tali on the Basis of Calcanean Articular Facets-A Cadaveric Study in North Indian Population

Vijay Laxmi¹, Nidhi Sharma²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy Government Medical College, Amritsar

²Junior Resident, Department of Pharmacology, Government Medical College, Amritsar

Abstract: ***Introduction:** Out of different tarsal bones, Talus (astragalus), is the only bone which has no muscular or tendinous attachment. It forms many joints like talocrural, subtalar and talocalcaneo-navicular joints. The prior acquaintance with the anatomical set up of talus and its various articulations holds significance not only in delineating the underlying pathology but also helps in its treatment. **Material and methods:** Comprised of 100 dry (50 Right & 50 Left) adult tali of unknown age and sex obtained from Department of Anatomy, Government Medical College, Amritsar. Each talus was examined for the presence of various patterns of articular facets. **Results and Conclusion:** Five types of tali were observed depending upon calcaneal articular facet: Type I (45%) tali had single calcaneal facet. Type II (24%) tali had single calcaneal facet divided by a ridge into two parts. Type III (9%) tali had two calcaneal facets separated partly by a ridge and partly by a groove. Type IV (5%) tali had two calcaneal facets separated by a non-articular groove. Type V (17%) has 2 subtypes :- (a) Type V (A) (8%) tali had single calcaneal facet, continuous with the posterior calcaneal facet. (b) Type V (B) (9%) tali had two calcaneal facets, continuous with the posterior calcaneal facet. The calcaneal articular facets of tali showed a wide variety of variations which may be due to material or population differences, type of gait and built of an individual or the place of living whether it is plane or hilly area. Familiarity with it will help in accounting the joint instability and arthritic changes in the vicinity of talus.*

Keywords: talus, articular facets, calcaneus

1. Introduction

Talus is the second largest of the tarsal bones and has a unique structure designed to channel and distribute body weight¹. It takes part in the formation of talocrural, subtalar and talocalcaneo-navicular joints². The adequate knowledge of the anatomy of talus is significant not only to the anatomists but also to the orthopedic surgeons as fractures of the talus are quiet common and lead to avascular necrosis, arthritis and when unrecognized, to chronic pain and non-union³. Talectomy has been described as a limb-saving procedure for the treatment of neglected talipes equinovarus deformity⁴. Aviation accidents were the commonest cause of serious fractures and fracture dislocations of the talus during the period of 'World War I'.

Hence, talus was named as the "Aviator's astragalus"⁵. The frequency of fractures of the lateral process of the talus has markedly increased because of the expansion of snowboard activity. Hence, it is also known as 'snowboarder fracture'⁶. Sometimes, the posterior process of the talus may ossify independently and persist in the adults as the os trigonum. Therefore, knowledge of the more commonly occurring accessory tarsal bones or ossicles has clinical significance in the correct interpretation of an X-ray of the foot, since they are liable to be mistaken for fractures⁷. Pes planus or flatfoot is a deformity in which there is loss of the medial longitudinal arch of the foot. It may be congenital or an inherited condition associated with mild subluxation of the subtalar joint⁸. Harris and Beath asserted that the fusion between the talus and the

calcaneus was specifically responsible for the peroneal spastic flatfoot⁹. Donoghue and Sell quoted that talo-navicular synostosis in reality was a congenital absence of the navicular bone, accompanied by compensatory hypertrophy of the talus¹⁰. Arora et al. conducted a detailed study on 500 Indian human tali and examined that there are considerable variations in articular facets on the plantar surface of the head and body of talus¹¹. A similar study was conducted by Bilodi¹². These authors divided talar articular facets into different types and stated that these differences could be due to differences in gait, built and habitat of a person. Therefore, the prior acquaintance with the anatomical set up of talus and its various articulations holds significance not only in delineating the underlying pathology but also helps in its treatment. Thus, the present study was undertaken to note the general morphology, articular facets and variations of talus in both feet and to correlate the findings with the existing literature.

2. Material and Methods

Material for the study comprised of 100 dry (50 right & 50 left) adult tali of unknown age and sex. The special emphasis was laid on the study of the articular facets. Each talus was examined for the presence of various patterns of articular facets. Later they were classified into five groups. The shape and surface area of different articular facets of the talus was recorded. The margins of the articular facets were marked with brown, fine tipped sketch pen. The surface area was measured by tracing the margins of the articular facets directly on the tracing paper and then super-imposing it on the graph paper

with a least count of 1 mm. (one small square of the graph paper). The surface area was then calculated by counting the small squares on graph paper by using a hand lens. The tracing of less than half of one small square was discarded and more than half of the small square was counted as one¹³.

3. Results

In the present study, various patterns in calcaneal articular facets of 100 north Indian tali were observed and classified accordingly into five types (Figure 1). Type I (45%) tali had single calcaneal facet. Type II (24%) tali had single calcaneal

facet divided by a ridge into two parts. Type III (9%) tali had two calcaneal facets separated partly by a ridge and partly by a groove. Type IV (5%) tali had two calcaneal facets separated by a non-articular groove. Type V (17%) has 2 subtypes :- (a) Type V (A) (8%) tali had single calcaneal facet, continuous with the posterior calcaneal facet. (b) Type V(B) (9%) tali had two calcaneal facets, continuous with the posterior calcaneal facet. In the present study, Type I – tali were highest in incidences i.e. 45% and Type IV were lowest (5%). The results of the present study were compared with the previous studies as shown in the table 1.

Table 1: Incidences and types of calcaneal articular facets

TYPES OF CALCANEAL ARTICULAR FACETS	Total		Right		Left	
	n (100)	%	n (50)	%	n (50)	%
Type I	45	45	26	52	19	38
Type II	24	24	7	14	17	34
Type III	9	9	4	8	5	10
Type IV	5	5	2	4	3	6
Type V	17	17	11	22	6	12
Subtypes						
(a) V(A)	8	8	6	12	2	4
(b) V(B)	9	9	5	10	4	8



Figure 1: Morphological classification of tali on the basis of calcaneal articular facets

4. Discussion

In the present series, type I talus was commonest (45%) but this observation was not in consonance with the previous works where this type of talus was observed to have a much lower incidence i.e. (16%) by Arora et al.¹¹, 10% by Bilodi & Agrawal¹⁴ and 10% by Bilodi¹² in similar studies on Indian population while, this type of talus was not described by Jones¹⁵. The incidence of type II tali was 24% in the present series and this figure is comparable with the work of Bilodi & Agrawal¹⁴ who gave this incidence of type II tali to be 14%¹⁴. Though, much higher figures of incidence of this type of talus have been reported by Arora et al.¹¹ (78%) and Bilodi¹²

(50%). The type III talus was observed to be 9% in the present series, a figure much lower compared to the figures reported by Bilodi & Agrawal¹⁴ (20%) and Bilodi¹² (16.66%) respectively. Arora et al. have given this incidence to be 1%.¹¹ In the present series, the least common type of talus was found to be type IV (5%) which is in agreement with the work of Bilodi¹² (5%). Bilodi & Agrawal¹⁴ did not observe this type of tali in their combined study. The figures were much lower in the study of Breathnach¹⁶ and Arora et al.¹¹ i.e. 3%. The incidence of type V talus (17%) in the present study is comparable to the study of Bilodi¹² who found it in 18.44% cases and it was much higher as compared to Arora et al.¹¹ (2%) and was lower compared to Bilodi & Agrawal¹⁴ i.e. 56%. In the study of Jones¹⁵ and Breathnach¹⁶, this type of talus was not reported. Further, in the present series, in type V, two subtypes were found and classified accordingly into type V(A) based on the classification of Arora et al.¹¹ and type V (B) to that of Bilodi.¹² Type V (A) was present in 8% of cases and Arora et al.¹¹ found it in 2% cases. The type V (B) was found to be present in 9% cases while Bilodi¹² study reported it to be in 18.44% cases. The results of the present study showed a wide range of variations in the incidences of various types of tali compared to previous works. These variations may be due to material or population differences, type of gait and built of an individual or the place of living whether it is plane or hilly area.

Table 2
Comparison of classification of tali based on types of calcaneal articular facets

Race	Workers	Years	(n)	(%)OF TYPES OF TALI						
				I	II	III	IV	V	V(A)	V(B)
————	Jones ²⁴	1946	————	————	*	*	*	————	————	————
————	Breathnach ⁴⁰	1965	————	*	*	*	3%	————	————	————
Indians	Arora et al ⁴²	1979	500	16%	78%	1%	3%	2%	————	————
Indians	Bilodi & Agrawal ⁴¹	2003	50	10%	14%	20%	————	56%	————	————
Indians	Bilodi ⁴³	2006	240	10%	50%	16.66%	5%	18.44%	————	————
North Indians	Presentstudy	2007	100	45%	24%	9%	5%	17%	8%	9%

(* = % not given)

5. Conclusion

To conclude, it can be stated that the calcaneal articular facets of tali showed a wide variety of variations, being familiar with which may help in accounting the frequently encountered joint instability and arthritic changes in the vicinity of the talus.

References

- [1] Hollinshead WH. Ankle and foot. In: Anatomy for surgeons. 1st Edition. New York: Paul B Hoeber Inc. Med Book Deptt. Of Harper and Brothers; 1958; 3: 832-834.
- [2] McMinn RMH. Bones of the foot. In: Last's Anatomy-Regional and Applied. 9th Edition. London: Churchill Livingstone; 1993; 231-233.
- [3] Kleiger B. Fractures of the talus. J Bone Joint Surg 1948 Jul 3; 30(A): 735-743.
- [4] Yalcin S, Kocaoglu B, Berker N, Erol B. Talcotomy for the treatment of neglected pes equinovarus deformity in patients with neuromuscular involvement. J Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2005; 39(4): 316-321.
- [5] Coltart WD. Aviator's astragalus. Br J Bone Joint Surg 1952 Nov 4; 34(B): 545-566.
- [6] Leibner et al. Fractures of the lateral process of the talus in children. J Pediatr Orthop Part B 2001; 10(1): 68-72.
- [7] Massie G. Accessory bones of the foot. In: Surgical Anatomy. 4th Edition. London: J & A Churchill Ltd; 1944; 379.
- [8] Yu-Chi Huang et al. The relationship between the flexible flatfoot and plantar fasciitis: Ultrasonographic evaluation. Chang Gung Med J 2004 June; 27(6): 443-447.
- [9] Harris RI, Beath T. Etiology of peroneal spastic flatfoot. J Bone Joint Surg 1948; 30(B): 624-633.
- [10] Donoghue O, Sell (1943). Cited by Webster FS, Roberts WM. Tarsal Anomalies and peroneal spastic flatfoot. J Am Med Assoc 1951; 146(12): 1099-1104.
- [11] Arora AK, Gupta SC, Gupta CD, Jeysing P. Variations in calcaneal articular facets in Indian tali. Anat Anz 1979; 146: 377-380.
- [12] Bilodi AK. Study of calcaneal articular facets in human tali. Medical J Kathmandu Univ 2006; 4(1): 75-77.
- [13] Bunning PSC, Barnett CH. Variations in the talocalcaneal articulations. J Anat 1963; 97: 643.
- [14] Agrawal BK, Bilodi AK. Study of fifty human tali for calcaneal articular facets. Medical J Kathmandu Univ 2003; 2(3): 213-215.
- [15] Jones FW. The foot. In: Structure and function as seen in the foot. 2nd Edition. London: Covent Garden W Company; 1946; 68-74.
- [16] Breathnach AS. The skeleton of the foot. In: Frazer's Anatomy of the human skeleton. 2nd Edition. London: Churchill Ltd; 1965; 141-145. Kaur et al