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Abstract: Routing attacks are a major challenge in the process of designing of effective and robust security mechanisms for WSNs. This research work proposes a distributed wormhole detection algorithm called Wormhole Geographic Distributed Detection (WGDD), that is based on detecting disorder of the networks which is caused by the existence of a wormhole inside the network.
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1. Introduction

Wormhole attacks are difficult to detect as the malicious nodes replays valid data packets into the network. Moreover, majority of wireless sensor network routing protocols employ lightweight cryptographic solutions to prevent unauthorized nodes from injecting false data packets into the network. Hence, in wormhole attacks, the replayed data packets pass all cryptographic checks. Since wormhole attacks are easy to implement but hard to detect, wormhole prevention and detection has been an attractive research problem. Most proposed protocols to defend against wormhole attacks use positioning devices, synchronized clocks or directional antennas. Wormhole attacks are the main focus of this paper, which belong to outsider, laptop-class.

The adversaries convince the neighbor nodes of these two end points that the two distant points at either end of the tunnel are actually very close to each other [12]. An adversary situated close to a base station may be able to completely disrupt routing by convincing nodes that would normally be multiple hops from a base station that they are only one or two hops away via the wormhole [5]. In such a scenario, the attack is similar to the sinkhole as the adversary at the other side of the tunnel advertises a better route to the base station [1].

Wormhole and sinkhole attacks are particularly difficult to defend against, especially when the two are combined. Wormholes are hard to detect because they use a private, out-of-band

2. Wormhole Attack

Wormholes are one of the most severe attacks on WSN routing. Two or more malicious nodes can collaborate in setting up a shortcut lower latency link between each other Figure 2 and through which they forward packets to each other and replay the packets there locally.

3. Wormhole Attack Detection

Our distributed algorithm called Wormhole Geographic Distributed Detection (WGDD) uses a similar hop-counting technique as a probe procedure to detect wormhole attack. After the running of the probe procedure, each node will collect the set of hop-count from its neighbor nodes which are in one(k) hop(s) distance to it, then that node will run Dijkstra’s algorithm to get the shortest path for each pair of the nodes, after that, it will reconstruct a local map by MDS (Multidimensional Scaling). After we use a feature called as “diameter” to detect distortions caused by a wormhole in local maps. The overview of this Wormhole Geographic Distributed Detection (WGDD) algorithm can be seen in Procedure 1.

Procedure 1: Wormhole Geographic Distributed Detection (WGDD)

1: Probe Procedure
2: Local Map Computation Procedure
3: Detection Procedure

1. Probe Procedure
Since a wormhole attack is passive, this means that such an attack can only happen when there is some message being transmitted near the wormhole area. In order to detect
whether there is a wormhole attack inside a network, we
design a probe procedure to flood a message from some
bootstrap node to the whole networks to let all other nodes
in the network to count the hop distance from itself to that
bootstrap node. Such probe procedure is based on hop-
coordinates [18] technique to measure the hop distance from
each node to some bootstrap node, which shares the same
idea as hop-counting, but has more accurate measurement.
(i) **In bootstrap node**: A bootstrap node \( x \) creates a probe
message with \((i = idx)\) to flood the network. After that, the
bootstrap node will drop any probe message that was
originated by it.
The bootstrap node has the hop-coordinate:
\( \text{hop}_x = 0 \) and \( \text{offset}_x = 0 \).
(ii) **In all other nodes in the WSN**: Suppose that a node \( a \) is
calculating its hop distance, and node \( b \) is one of the
neighbors of node \( a \). Then the basic probe procedure 2 is as
same as hop-coordinates procedure [18] for node \( a \) is shown
in Procedure 2.

### Procedure 2 Probe Procedure in node \( a \)

1. **INPUT**: message \((\text{hop}_b)\) from node \( b \in N_a \)
2. for message \((\text{hop}_b)\) from any \( B \in N_a \) and not TIMEOUT
do
3. if \( \text{hop}_b < \text{hop}_a \), then
4. \( \text{hop}_a = \text{hop}_b + 1 \)
5. forward \((\text{message}(\text{hop}_a))\) to MAC
6. else
7. drop \((\text{message}(\text{hop}_b))\)
8. end if
9. end for
10. if \(|N_a| == 0\) then
11. \( \text{offset}_a = 0 \)
12. else
13. \( \text{offset}_a = \)
14. end if
15. return \( \text{hop}_a \) and \( \text{offset}_a \)

Here, \( a \) is a node, \( \text{hop}_a \) is the minimum number of hops to
reach node \( a \) counting from some bootstrap node \((x)\), the
initial value of it will be the largest positive value in
practice. The combination of \( \text{hop}_a \) and \( \text{offset}_a \) is the hop
coordinate for node \( a \), \( N_a \) is a set of nodes which can be
reached by node \( a \) in one hop, and \(|N_a|\) is the number of
nodes in \( N_a \).

### 4. Result and Analysis

We compared the results before and after the attack to see
the impact of the wormhole attack on the network.
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**Figure**: Shows Throughput Without or With Wormhole Attack
5. Conclusions

In this paper, we discuss how to detect wormhole attacks in distributed scheme. By assuming that wormhole attacks are passive, we provide a probe procedure to let some bootstrap node flood a probe message to detect some possible wormholes in the network, the probe procedure produces hop-coordinates to each node which represents the hop distance from that node to the bootstrap node. Then each node will compute a local map for its neighbors and itself with the hop-coordinates collected. Since if there is a wormhole in the network, it causes some distortions in some local maps of the nodes which are close to the ends of the wormhole, so we find a feature called “diameter” to detect such distortion in distributed scheme, with the help of that feature – “diameter”, we propose a wormhole detection procedure.

We test our Wormhole Geographic Distributed Detection (WGDD) algorithm in simulation environment under different placements of networks. The extensive simulation result shows that our detection algorithm can achieve almost 100% overall detection rate. Even considering about the cases of shorter wormholes which are less than 3 hops long, our algorithm can still make more than 80% detection rate. We can run our detection algorithm in stricter model by setting up $\lambda = 0.1$, in this case, we can achieve almost zero wrong alarm rate.

Since our algorithm is running under distributed scheme, it means that if there is a wormhole, then some nodes close to the wormhole will detect the wormhole attacks, so such advantage of our algorithm may help in defending against wormholes. We may propose the idea of freezing nodes that have detected wormhole attacks in their vicinity, along with their neighbor nodes, in order to isolate and negate the effect of a wormhole.
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