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Abstract: The present study was undertaken to evaluate the influence of propylene glycol, bypass fat and bypass protein on milk yield in early lactating cows. A total of twenty-eight postpartum dairy cows were randomly allotted to four groups with seven animals in each group. The Group-I (T¹) animals served as control without being fed any feed supplement. Group-II (T²) animals received propylene glycol once daily as a drench at the rate of 300 ml per head while Group-III (T³) and Group-IV (T⁴) animals were fed 100 and 200 g of commercially available bypass fat and bypass protein respectively. In all the groups, the average daily milk yield per day recorded at weekly interval increased linearly from day 7 to 63 postpartum. The increase in milk yield up to one month was not statistically significant. The mean daily milk yield recorded at weekly interval showed non-significant stage variation between groups at all stages from day 7 to 63 postpartum. However, the average daily milk yield recorded in Group-II, Group-III and Group-IV cows which received supplementation was apparently high from day 14 onwards compared to the Group-I. The pooled mean daily milk yield recorded in Group-II (16.01±0.24 kg), Group-III (15.92±0.23 kg) and Group-IV (16.00±0.24 kg) was significantly (P ≤ 0.05) higher than the pooled mean daily milk yield recorded in Group-I (14.52±0.18 kg) with no difference between themselves. Based on the results obtained it was deduced that supplementation of bypass protein, bypass fat and propylene glycol might result in increase in milk yield in early lactating cows.
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1. Introduction

Earlier studies have shown that high yielding dairy cows cannot consume adequate nutrients in early lactation to support the level of milk yield. The peak milk production, at about 5-8 weeks postpartum occurs earlier than maximum feed consumption causing cows to be in negative energy balance and to mobilize fat from adipose tissue in early lactation (Miyoshi et al., 2001). The difference between the energy supplied with the feed and what is required for maintenance and milk synthesis is referred to as the energy balance and in early lactation it is often negative (Tammenga, 2006). Nutritional strategies have been centered on increasing the nutrient density of periparturient cow diets to counter the detrimental effects associated with the decline in dry matter intake, which occurs as parturition approaches (Park et al., 2002). Important energy yielding nutrients are fatty acids, glucose and amino acids. These nutrients are either used as fuel or to supply precursors needed for the synthesis of fat, protein and carbohydrates in body or milk. A distinction between different energy yielding nutrients is possible by distinguishing them as lipogenic, glucogenic and aminogenic nutrients. Some interconversion is possible between the three types of nutrients in that, part of the aminogenic energy can also be used to supply glucogenic precursors for the synthesis of glucose in the liver (Tammenga, 2006). In recent days, the recommendation of feeding bypass protein and bypass fat for dairy cattle and buffaloes has become a debatable issue. Further, the interest in using propylene glycol as a feed additive has grown among dairy farmers, veterinarians and advisors (Sampath et al., 2004)

Propylene glycol (PG) is a glycogenic substrate that has beneficial effects on carbohydrate and fat metabolism during early lactation in cows (Nielsen and Ingvarsen, 2004). The negative effects of propylene glycol on non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) and milk fat percentage indicated that the cow is mobilizing less and consequently the energy balance is increased (Miyoshi et al., 2001). No significant increase in milk yield on PG supplementation was reported (Chibisa et al., 2008; Rizos et al., 2008; Rukkwamsuk and Panneum, 2010; Bors et al., 2014) In contrast, Lien et al. (2010), Lomander et al. (2012) reported significant increase in the milk yield in PG supplemented cows compared to control cows.

Numerous attempts have been made to limit the extent of lipid ruminal bio-hydrogenation and the adverse effect of added fats on carbohydrate digestion, by different techniques of protection of fat. Feeding bypass fat to high producing lactating cows can enhance energy density of ration and energy intake in early lactation without compromising rumen cellulolytic bacterial activity (Jenkins and Palmquist, 1984). Adding protected fat to dairy ration can positively affect efficiency of dairy cows through a combination of caloric and non-caloric effects. The non-caloric effects include improved reproductive performance and altered fatty acid profile of milk (Tyagi et al., 2010). The response of protected fat supplementation in the ration of dairy animals has been quite variable. Many investigators reported increased milk yield on feeding Ca salts of fatty acids (McNamara et al., 2003; Tyagi et al., 2009 a). On the contrary, there are few reports which show reduction or no
change in milk production on supplementation of protected fat (Loo et al., 2002).

Number of studies have been conducted on feeding of naturally occurring bypass protein like cottonseed cake and maize gluten meal to lactating ruminants to evaluate their effect on milk yield and most of these experiments have given positive results (Walli, 2005).

No literature was available with regard to impact of feeding these three nutrients viz. propylene glycol, bypass fat and bypass protein in a single study. Hence, the present work was designed to study the impact of feeding these three nutrients on milk yield in early lactating cows.

2. Materials and Methods

The study was conducted utilizing Holstein Friesian crossbred dairy cows of different parities, varying from two to seven, maintained at Military Dairy Farm, Bangalore. The animals were dried at the completion of 7th month of pregnancy, shifted to individual calving pens at least 15 days prior to the expected date of calving and closely monitored for the onset of calving. During the experimental period all the cows were fed a basal standard diet (concentrates) based on the quantity of milk produced. In addition, the cows were fed on pasture, guinea grass, Punjab-18 variety hybrid Napier and subabul.

A total of twenty eight animals which had no difficulty and no disease diagnosed at the time of parturition or seven days postpartum and considered normal (Morrow et al., 1969) were randomly allotted to four groups with seven animals in each group. The T0 animals which served as control were managed and received basal herd ration routinely practiced in the farm. The T1 animals received propylene glycol once daily as a drench at the rate of 300 ml (Grummer et al., 1994) per head in addition to the standard feeding and management received by the T0 animals. The propylene glycol was drenched to these animals 90 minutes after morning milking. The T2 animals in addition to standard management and feeding routinely practiced, also received once daily 100 g of commercially available bypass fat (NUTRI JOULE, M/s Vetcare Ltd., Bangalore) with routinely fed concentrates at the time of morning milking. The T3 cows in addition to routine management and feeding also received once daily 200 g of commercially available bypass protein (NUTRI PRO, M/s Vetcare Ltd., Bangalore) with routinely fed concentrates at the time of morning milking. The T1 animals received propylene glycol for a period of 35 days during day 7 and 42 postpartum whereas, T2 and T3 animals received bypass fat and bypass protein respectively for a period of 60 days starting from day 7 postpartum. The calves were weaned a day after birth and all the cows were hand milked twice daily at 5.30 AM and 5.30 PM and the amount of milk produced by each cow was recorded. The data on average daily milk yield recorded at weekly interval was statistically (SAS-16.50 version, 2011) analyzed to assess stage variation within a group and between the groups to ascertain the effect of supplementation on milk yield.

3. Results and Discussion

In cows, which received supplementation of propylene glycol (T1), the mean daily milk yield recorded at weekly interval showed a linear increase from day of supplementation till the end of experimental period. The increase in milk yield was significant (P≤0.05) on day 35 compared to earlier stages recorded. The increased yield obtained between day 7 and 28 did not show significant variation between the stages. Further, the milk yield tended to increase linearly to reach highest average on day 63 postpartum (Table 1; Fig. 1).

The pooled mean daily milk yield recorded in propylene glycol supplemented (T1) cows was significantly (P≤0.05) higher than the mean daily milk yield recorded in control cows (T0). The current findings are in close conformity with the reports of Lomander et al. (2012) who documented significant increase in mean milk production on propylene glycol supplementation in early lactating cows. Contrary to this, Rizos et al. (2008) and Bors et al. (2014) reported non-significant effect in early lactating and Cozzi et al. (1996) in mid lactating cows. Similarly, Rukkwamsuk and Panneum (2010) did not find significant increase in mean milk yield on propylene glycol supplementation in transition period compared to control cows.

In cows which received supplementation of bypass fat (T2), the mean daily milk yield recorded at weekly interval showed linear increase from day of supplementation till the end of supplementation period. The increase in milk yield was significant (P≤0.05) on day 35 compared to earlier stages recorded. The increase in mean milk yield recorded between day 7 and 28 did not show significant variation between the stages. Further, it increased linearly to reach highest average on day 63 post-calving (Table 1; Fig. 1).

The pooled mean daily milk yield recorded in bypass fat supplemented (T2) cows was significantly higher than the mean daily milk yield recorded in control cows (T0). The current findings are comparable with the reports of McNamara et al. (2003) and Tyagi et al. (2010) in cows and Tyagi et al. (2009a) in buffaloes who documented non-significant (P<0.05) increase in mean yield on supplementation of bypass fat. On the contrary, Loo et al. (2002) noticed reduction in milk yield on feeding calcium salts of long chain fatty acids. While, non-significant increase in mean milk yield on supplementation of bypass fat was reported by West and Hill (1990). Whereas, Jenkins (1998) reported no effect of rumen inert fat supplementation on milk yield in lactating cows.

In T3 cows, which received supplementation of bypass protein, the average daily milk yield per day recorded at weekly interval increased linearly from day 7 to 63 postpartum. The increase in milk yield from day 7 to 28 was not statistically significant. The average milk yield recorded on day 35 was significantly (P≤0.05) high compared to earlier stages and further increased linearly to reach highest average on day 63 post-calving (Table 1; Fig. 1). Compared with the control group, the pooled mean daily milk yield recorded in bypass protein supplemented (T3) cows was significantly high (P≤0.05). The current findings are in close
conformity with the earlier reports (Walli and Sirohi, 2004; Yadav and Chaudhary, 2004). On the contrary, Arias et al. (2013) found no significant difference in the mean milk yield on supplementation of rumen undegradable protein of 45% compared to 30% rumen undegradable protein treated group.

The mean daily milk yield recorded at weekly interval showed non-significant stage variation between propylene glycol, bypass fat and bypass protein supplemented groups at all stages from 7 days post-calving till the end of the experimental period. In addition, the pooled daily mean milk yield recorded in T1, T2 and T3 animals did not differ significantly (P≤ 0.05) among themselves (Table 1; Fig. 1).

4. Conclusion

In all experimental groups, the average daily milk yield showed increase from stage to stage and the increase was significant after one month of lactation. There was no stage variation between treatment groups and the control group. However, the milk yield was apparently more after one month of lactation in all the treatment groups compared to control group. The pooled mean daily milk yield recorded in all the supplemented groups was significantly higher than that of control with no significant difference among themselves. The results have indicated that, the supplementation of propylene glycol, bypass-fat and protein resulted in favourable effect on milk yield.
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Table 1: Effect of supplementation of propylene glycol, bypass fat and bypass protein on milk yield in dairy cows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days relative to calving</th>
<th>Average milk yield (kg/day) (Least square mean ± SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.31±0.04a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.47±0.07a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>13.85±0.03a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>14.05±0.07ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>14.72±0.03abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>15.21±0.01bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>15.53±0.06bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>16.04±0.05bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>16.49±0.11bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled mean</td>
<td>14.52±0.18bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means bearing different superscripts between rows/columns differ significantly (P≤0.05)
* → Significant at 5% level, ** →Significant at 1% level

Note: T0 is control and T1, T2 & T3 are propylene glycol, bypass fat and bypass protein supplemented cows respectively.