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Abstract: Data Warehouse (DW) represents the repository of data on which Data Mining (DM) techniques are applied to discover valuable knowledge. DM represents a wide range of tasks and techniques that represent the core of what is known as Knowledge Discovery in Database (KDD). Crime Analysis is an important application of DM, where data from different applications and sources are analyzed to extract and predict knowledge concerning crimes and criminals aiming to prevent and avoid crime occurrences. This paper presents a solution for DW design in three different models (Star, Snowflake and Galaxy) and a model for classifying the data sets related to crimes, offences and criminals aiming to predict some knowledge explaining the crimes trends, criminal groups, and related features. The result from this paper tends to help specialists in discovering patterns and trends, making forecasts, finding relationships and possible explanations, mapping criminal networks and identifying possible suspects. Different DW models were suggested since each model has its own advantages in data analysis by providing better mining algorithms performance. Data Mining techniques are used to analyze the logged data. One of the most common and effective DM technique is Classification. The classification is based mainly on grouping the crimes according to the type, location, time and other attributes, and grouping criminals according to their age, job, income, education, history and other attributes. Using different DW models showed efficient analysis process in both normalized and data reduction disciplines in both Snowflake and Galaxy DW models. Free data available on the Internet from some police departments are the source of the data about the crimes and the criminals and they were used to create and test the proposed framework, and then these data were preprocessed to get clean and accurate data using different preprocessing techniques (cleaning, missing values and removing inconsistency). The preprocessed data were stored in three different DW models to find out different crime and criminal classes, groups, and clusters. WEKA mining software and Microsoft Excel were used to analyze the given data. Decision Tree and Rule Base Algorithms were used for classifying and predicting the crimes, criminals and offences groups.
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1. Introduction

The past two decades has seen a dramatic increase in the amount of information or data being stored in electronic format. This accumulation of data has taken place at an explosive rate. Data storage became easier as the availability of large amounts of computing power at low cost, the cost of processing power and storage is falling, made data cheap. Having concentrated so much attention on the accumulation of data the problem was what to do with this valuable resource? It was recognized that information is at the heart of business operations and that decision-makers could make use of the data stored to gain valuable insight into the business. Database Management systems gave access to the data stored but this was only a small part of what could be gained from the data. Traditional on-line transaction processing systems (OLTPs) are good at putting data into databases quickly, safely and efficiently but are not good at delivering meaningful analysis in return. Analyzing data can provide further knowledge about a business by going beyond the data explicitly stored to derive knowledge about the business. This is where Data Mining or Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) has obvious benefits for any enterprise [1]-[3].

The term data mining has been stretched beyond its limits to apply to any form of data analysis. Some of the numerous definitions of Data Mining, or Knowledge Discovery in Databases are:

Data Mining, or Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) as it is also known, is the nontrivial extraction of implicit, previously unknown, and potentially useful information from data[1],[4],[5]. This encompasses a number of different technical approaches, such as clustering, data summarization, learning classification rules, finding dependency networks, analyzing changes, and detecting anomalies.

The analogy with the mining process is described as: Data mining refers to "using a variety of techniques to identify nuggets of information or decision-making knowledge in bodies of data, and extracting these in such a way that they can be put to use in the areas such as decision support, prediction, forecasting and estimation. The data is often voluminous, but as it stands of low value as no direct use can be made of it; it is the hidden information in the data that is useful".

Basically data mining is concerned with the analysis of data and the use of software techniques for finding patterns and regularities in sets of data. It is the computer which is responsible for finding the patterns by identifying the underlying rules and features in the data. The idea is that it is possible to strike gold in unexpected places as the data mining software extracts patterns not previously discernable or so obvious that no-one has noticed them before.

Data mining analysis tends to work from the data up and the best techniques are those developed with an orientation towards large volumes of data, making use of as much of the collected data as possible to arrive at reliable conclusions and decisions. The analysis process starts with a set of data, uses a methodology to develop an optimal representation of the structure of the data during which time knowledge is
acquired. Once knowledge has been acquired this can be extended to larger sets of data working on the assumption that the larger data set has a structure similar to the sample data. Again this is analogous to a mining operation where large amounts of low grade materials are sifted through in order to find something of value. Knowledge extraction consists of the following steps [2],[5],[6].
1. Data Selection
2. Data Preprocessing
3. Transformation
4. Data mining
5. Interpretation and evaluation

DM tasks can be summarized into the following categories: Classification, Association, Clustering, Trends and Prediction, and Link Analysis. Each of them has its own techniques, algorithms, and applications.

Crime analysis is defined as a set of systematic, analytical processes directed at providing timely and pertinent information relative to crime patterns and trend correlations to assist operational and administrative personnel in planning the deployment of resources for the prevention and suppression of criminal activities, aiding the investigative process, and increasing apprehensions and the clearance of cases. Within this context, crime analysis supports a number of department functions including patrol deployment, special operations and tactical units, investigations, planning and research, crime prevention, and administrative services[7]-[10].

2. Why Crime Analysis?

The main goals of crime analysis can be summarized as follows[1],[8].
1. Analyze crime to inform law enforcers about general and specific crime trends, patterns, and series in an ongoing, timely manner.
2. Analyze crime to take advantage of the abundance of information existing in law enforcement agencies, the criminal justice system, and the public domain.
3. Analyze crime to maximize the use of limited law enforcement resources.
4. Analyze crime to have an objective means to access crime problems locally, regionally, statewide, nationally, and globally within and between law enforcement agencies.
5. Analyze crime to be proactive in detecting and preventing crime.
6. Analyze crime to meet the law enforcement needs of a changing society.
7. Analyze crime to understand the criminal behaviors.

In general there are four different techniques for analyzing crimes, they are
1. Linkage Analysis
2. Statistical Analysis
3. Profiling
4. Spatial Analysis

Each of the above technique has its own advantages and drawbacks and can be used in specific cases. The four techniques use the following steps in the analysis process [1],[2]:
1. Defining the crime analysis domain.
2. Collection of the data from different sources.
3. Collation of the data.
4. Data preprocessing.
5. Analyzing the data.
6. Dissemination of the data.
7. Feedback and evaluation.
8. Applying the knowledge.

Each of the above technique has its own advantages and drawbacks and can be used in specific cases. The four techniques use the steps shown in figure 1 in the analysis process:

![Crime Analysis Process](image)

3. Data Collection And Preprocessing

Data Collection is done from the free dataset available on the internet; these data represent a sample of one thousand records for the crime information and about six hundreds for the criminals. The data were converted into Excel and Access formats to be processed later. The data were preprocessed for the following reasons:
1. Missing values in the data set
2. Noisy and outliers.
3. Inconsistency of data.

From the original data set collected, it is clear that the crime and the criminal data have a lot of problems and difficulties when trying to apply data mining and analytical processes on these data, and hence the following tasks were applied to get clean data:
1. The missing values in criminal age and job were replaced by the average age and the most common job, some crime and criminal records were deleted because they didn’t contain the most required information and some are very rare, outliers were deleted from the data set.
2. No inconsistency in the data were detected because of the locality of the dataset.
3. Noisy data were deleted from the data set because they are very rare and do not affect the overall analytical process.

Real world data usually have the following drawbacks: Incompleteness, Noisy and Inconsistency. So, these data need to be preprocessed to get the data suitable for analysis purposes. The preprocessing includes the following tasks[11],[2],[10],[11].
1. Data cleaning: fill in missing values, smooth noisy data, identify or remove outliers, and resolve inconsistencies.
2. Data integration: using multiple databases, data cubes, or files.
4. Data reduction: reducing the volume but producing the same or similar analytical results.
5. Data discretization: part of data reduction, replacing numerical attributes with nominal ones.

A. Different preprocessing techniques were used to get clean data, these include:

1. Removing outliers, some of the data is the crime and criminal datasets represent outliers and cannot be included in the analysis algorithms and techniques, so these data records were deleted from the set.

2. Filling missing data, some criminal ages, jobs, and income were not mentioned in the tables, average and most commonly used values were used to substitute these missing values.

3. Data reduction using normalization and aggregation.

B. The process is shown in figure 2.

![Figure 2: Crime and Criminal Data Preprocessing](image)

4. Proposed Frame Work Design

Three different design models are available for data warehouse, they are Star, snow flake and galaxy model[1]. Each model has its advantages and drawbacks. It is clear that scanning the entire table of n records requires O(n) time complexity, whereas scanning the fact table Crime_criminal shown in figure3 requires a time complexity highly dependent on the number of records in both dimensions Crime and Criminal. Hence using normalized schema as shown in figure 4 and many fact tables as shown in figure 5 will have a great effect on the time complexity required to carry out the analysis process.

![Figure 3: DW Star Model for the Proposed System](image)

![Figure 4: DW Snowflake (normalized)Model for the Proposed System](image)

![Figure 5: DW Galaxy Model for the Proposed System](image)

![Figure 6: Distribution of Crime and Criminal data with Different Attributes](image)

**Table 1: Crime and Criminal Sample Data**

Applying the preprocessing algorithms and techniques on the collected data in the dimensions and fact tables shown in figures 3, 4 and 5 gave the distributions and histograms in figure6, were WEKA software was used to get such distributions.
5. Results and Analysis

Three different DW models including Star, Snow Flake and Galaxy were used to design the required repository for the logged data, this will help in improving the analysis performance and help ensuring data privacy. Different mining techniques were used to analyze the logged data, these include: Clustering, Association and classification with different algorithms.

5.1. Clustering

K Means clustering algorithm was used to group criminal objects as shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Full Data 925 records</th>
<th>Cluster #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 91 records</td>
<td>1 834 records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex</td>
<td>1.0984</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>55.78</td>
<td>54.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>1.9708</td>
<td>2.0639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>3.0551</td>
<td>2.8791</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clustered Instances

0 91 (10%)  
1 834 (90%)

5.2. Classification

REPTree algorithm

Income < 115

Age < 2.5

Income < 100

Income < 22.5

Age < 1.5: 9.86 (7/37.96) [3/10.47]

Age >= 1.5

sex < 1.5: 14.64 (24/41.79) [7/73.6]

sex >= 1.5: 8 (2/36) [0/0]

Income >= 22.5

Income < 27.5: 17.25 (3/26.89) [1/266.78]

Income >= 27.5

Income < 80

Income < 37.5

Income < 32.5

sex < 1.5: 18.1 (6/97.25) [5/48.65]

sex >= 1.5: 12.75 (4/24.75) [3/50.92]

Income >= 32.5: 8.4 (4/54.19) [1/18.06]

Income >= 37.5

sex < 1.5

Income < 45

Marital State< 2.5: 13.61 (6/24.22) [3/63.33]

Marital State>= 2.5: 10.33 (2/4) [1/4]

Income >= 45: 14.54 (86/44.61) [42/48.01]

Age < 1.5: 10.28 (4/62.5) [2/80]

Marital State> 1.5

Income >= 14.54: 86/44.61

Age >= 1.5

Income < 57.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clustered Instances</th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt; 62.5</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt; 67.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt; 75</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt; 85</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt; 105</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt; 115</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Size of the tree: 73

Figure 5: Decision Tree for Classification Process
6. Conclusion

Good mining results can be achieved when the historical data are big enough, and in crime analysis this is highly true. Anyhow, samples of about one thousand crime records and more than six hundred for criminals are enough to get a good result in the proposed model. WEKA (Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis) and Excel software were used to analyze the collected crime and the criminal data.

First of all, the collected data were preprocessed to fill in the missing attributes and remove outliers and then data were normalized and transformed into formats suitable for analysis purposes. Table 1 shows sample of the data after preprocessing. It is clear that data in table 1 can be very well fitted for analysis using decision tree and clustering algorithms. Three DW models were used as repositories for the data highly affected the analysis process algorithms performance since the whole schema is normalized and data reduction technique is applied when using Galaxy model as data repository, i.e. time complexity is highly improved.

The results from clustering algorithm showed that criminals can be divided into two groups or clusters each has its own attribute values for age, gender, marital status and job and from this result we can predict any other unknown object of type criminal. Rules and decision tree given in figure 5 are very well suitable for criminal classification. From the Decision Tree given in figure 5 it is clear that attributes income and marital status have the higher priority affecting the classification process from which we can conclude that these two attributes can be used at the top level of the decision tree to classify criminals into groups. The paths for different types of offenses depending on different crime and criminal attributes, this will help in identifying what attributes highly affect a specific type of offense. Entropy and information gain locate the attributes highly affecting the results at the top of the tree.
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