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Abstract: Introduction: The deciduous second molar is necessary for guiding the eruption of permanent first molar. Premature loss leads to wide range of implications on the dental arch. The distal shoe space maintainer is an appliance of choice to prevent the implication and act as an eruption guidance for the permanent first molar. Material and Method: This is a descriptive study where in the following terms were used to scan Pubmed and Google search: distal shoe, premature loss of deciduous molar, eruption guidance, space maintainer. Hand search of non listed peer reviewed articles was also performed. The articles published between 1970 till 2015 in English are included for the review. Of 281 articles 17 articles were selected for the review. Conclusion: This descriptive review has shown various designs of distal shoe space maintainers for premature loss of deciduous second molar in a growing child. Most of the designs presented are of single case reports and the longevity of many designs have not been mentioned. Although there are some factors to be considered while selecting the design of this appliance which dictate their advantages and disadvantages. Decisions regarding the use of distal shoe must be decided based on scientific evidence and clinical situation.
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1. Introduction

The child’s dentition is a dynamic entity, individual and always changing in nature.1 Primary dentition plays a very important role in guiding the eruption of permanent teeth and also in chewing, appearance, prevention of bad habits and speech.2 In preventive and interceptive dentistry the preservation of deciduous dentition plays a significant role till its normal time of exfoliation. Premature loss of deciduous tooth or a group of teeth might lead to wide range of implications. The deciduous teeth lost due to trauma, congenital absence and deep dental caries may present later with significant problems in a growing child. The deciduous second molar plays an important role in proper positioning and eruption of permanent first molar.3 The distal surface this tooth acts as a guide plane for the eruption of permanent molar.4 Premature loss of this tooth leads to a major discrepancy in space between arch length and tooth size.2 Several approaches have been recommended as treatment modality for this early loss; firstly allow the permanent molar to erupt and if it erupts mesially regain the space. However this modality may not be feasible if the space loss is greater to be corrected with a simple removable appliance. Also a removable partial denture or a reverse band and loop can also be used, however it has been observed that the unerupted tooth may migrate far mesially and erupt beneath these appliances. On the other hand the distal shoe appliance helps in control of the path of eruption and prevents undesirable mesial migration of the unerupted tooth.5 Hence it is an appliance of choice used to preserve the space and guide the erupting molar in its place. There are various designs of this space maintainer and the paediatric dentist must employ, on the basis of local and general factors related to child, as well as experience and with familiarity different types of maintainers.6

This article describes the importance of primary second molars in guiding the eruption of first permanent molars, space loss due to early loss of primary second molars, the indications and the contraindications, criteria for the appliance selection and fabrication, advantages and disadvantages, and the various designs of distal shoe space maintainer which help in guiding the normal eruption of first permanent molars.

2. Materials and Methods

This is a descriptive study where in the following terms were used to scan Pubmed and Google search: distal shoe, premature loss of deciduous molar, eruption guidance, space maintainer. Hand search of non listed peer reviewed articles was also performed. The articles published between 1970 till 2015 in English are included for the review. Of 281 articles 17 articles were selected for the review.

3. Results and Discussion

Importance of primary second molars in guiding the eruption of permanent first molars:

Active eruption of first permanent molar beings as early as 4 ½ years of life and continues until they are in full occlusal contact, i.e. 6 ½ to 7 years of age. Depending upon when the deciduous second molar is lost during this eruption time the space loss and space needs also vary. Earlier the tooth loss greater the space management problem. In the mandibular arch the permanent first molars initially erupt distally from the arch until the cusp tip enters the mouth and then swings mesially to contact the distal surface of deciduous second molar, hence there is no need of a distal shoe appliance, in stood an acrylic tooth or a loop or bar type appliance can be used. However in the mandibular arch, the distal crown
surface of deciduous molar is essential for guidance for eruption of first permanent molar; it’s premature loss leads to severe space loss and tipped position due to mesial eruption pathway of permanent molar.  

### Space Loss due to Early Loss of Primary Second Molars:

The concept of space loss due to premature loss of deciduous teeth was described by Davenport as early as 1887. In 70% the space loss and subsequent malpositioning of permanent teeth is seen due to premature loss of deciduous second molar. According to Lundstrom, Davey and Beaver the space loss and mesial tipping of permanent molars is seen more with premature loss of deciduous second molars. Even Hoffding and Kisling also is in view with this point and also concluded that it also had an influence on the sagittal molar relation to a greater degree. Table 1 gives the various studies and the amount of space loss.

### Indication and Contraindications

Hicks (1973) suggested the indications and contraindication for the distal shoe appliance. The indications are; unsuccessful pulp therapy, periapical bone destruction and advanced root resorption, extensive carious destruction of the crown which cannot be restored, ankylosis and ectopic eruption of first permanent molar. Conversely the following conditions contradict their usage; poor parent and patient compliance, congenitally absent first permanent molar, multiple loss of teeth leading to inadequate abutments. Other contraindications as suggested by other authors are, in a patient with a history of systemic illness such as kidney disease, rheumatic fever, low resistance to infection, juvenile diabetes, or certain blood diseases and for patients with congenital heart defects who need prophylactic antibiotics.

### Criteria for the Appliance Selection and Fabrication

Because the eruption of maxillary and mandibular first permanent molars differ. The design and placement of the appliance differ for the maxillary and mandibular arches. The maxillary first permanent molar erupts in a distal and facial direction until it meets muscular resistance. It then erupts in a mesial direction until contact is made with the distal surface of the second primary molar. Hence this appliance is not advised in the maxillary arch.

In contrast in case of mandibular arch the 1’st permanent molar erupts in a lingual and mesial direction using the distal surface of the 2’nd primary molar as the buttress to guide into position. Hence the design of the distal extension of the appliance should have a slight lingual position over the crest of the alveolar ridge in order to engage the mesial contact area of the 1’st permanent molar. This consideration is important in preventing the erupting permanent molar from slipping contact with the appliance, resulting in rotation of both the molar and the appliance. The occlusal radiograph is helpful in checking the facio-lingual placement of the gingival extension.

The decision regarding the length of the horizontal bar is confronting to the dentist. The best approach is if the 2’nd primary molar is present it can serve as a guide on the working model until the fabrication of the appliance. If it is missing then the mesio-distal width of the contra lateral side can be taken. The gingival extension of the appliance should be constructed to extend about 1mm below the mesial marginal ridge of the first permanent molar. A good preoperative radiograph that is slightly underexposed will show the thickness of the over lying soft tissues which will aid in determining the depth of the groove to be cut in the working model in indirect techniques.

### Advantages and Disadvantages

The advantage is that this appliance is effective at guiding the eruption of first permanent molar in it’s proper position, it prevents supraeruption of opposing teeth, can be fabricated by indirect or direct means. However the disadvantages of this appliance include the requirement of local anaesthetic and a surgical incision, patient compliance to maintain excellent oral hygiene, technically difficult procedure, the patient must be under close scrutiny while the appliance is in place, there is no allowance for inaccuracies in the measurement or fabrication and the appliance needs to be changed once the first permanent molar erupts until the eruption of second premolar, entailing several appointments.

### Designs of Distal Shoe Space Maintainer

As discussed above there are many factors to be considered in the design of the appliance so as to develop a long range of treatment plan in a growing child who needs the surveillance through three stages of occlusion development, that is, primary mixed and the permanent dentitions. There have been many designs of the distal shoe depending on the purpose that it serves. Hence it can be classified as:

- Fixed or removable appliance
- Intra-alveolar or extra-alveolar appliance
- Pressure or non pressure appliance
- With or without lingual holding arch appliance
- Unilateral or bilateral appliance
- Adjustable and rigid appliance
- Functional or non-functional appliance
- Special modification

(Table: 2 shows the examples of distal shoe space maintainer based on above classification.)

---

**Table 1:** Studies on amount of space loss in both the arches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>% of space loss</th>
<th>Space loss in mesials (in mm)</th>
<th>Space loss in mandibles (in mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lin</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaskop</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarvis</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M-4.2</td>
<td>M-2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahlman and Thalander</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>F-2.1</td>
<td>F-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rao and Sarkar</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northway</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9mm/yr</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However a distal space maintainer may not be indicated till active eruption of the first permanent molar has started.
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Table 2: Description of distal shoe space maintainer by various authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author’s Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fixed/ Removable</th>
<th>Intra- alveolar</th>
<th>Extra alveolar</th>
<th>Pressure/Non pressure</th>
<th>Unilateral/ Bilateral</th>
<th>Adjustable/ Rigid</th>
<th>Functional/ Nonfunctional</th>
<th>Special modification</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willet Ro</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>Extra alveolar</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirkley PE</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Removable</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roche JB</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oldenburg TJ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croft TP,Sadly, Vinay</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Adjustable</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croft TP, Sadly, Vinay</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Fixed/ Removable</td>
<td>Extra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellin GM, Fischer A</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>Till eruption of first permanent molar</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Godoy VA</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>Till eruption of first permanent molar</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chudala HS</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Adjustable</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphopinar, Donji</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>Special extension of adjacent teeth</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brill WA</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barborial</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Removable</td>
<td>Extra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhindka, Pandit</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhull et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>Buccal and lingual arms to accommodate staple that is erupted tooth</td>
<td>1.5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirshendrat, Balasubramaniam</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujar et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blut et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Intra alveolar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Non pressure</td>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Nonfunctional</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial designs are the one’s given by Willet and Roche which are fixed intra-alveolar passive non functional, non pressure unilateral type of appliance which served to maintain the mesio-distal width needed for the second premolar as well as the guiding appliance for the eruption of first permanent molar.

In 1929 Willett presented the first space maintainer with a distal extension for the premature loss of primary second molar. Since the abutment teeth need to resist the strong eruption force of the lower first permanent molar he covered both the first primary molar and the canine teeth together in a casting which extended distally as a “shoe,” hence the name distal shoe for this appliance. The distal extension consisted of an L-shaped bar with an intra-alveolar extension which was soldered to the crown. In 1942 Roche modified the distal extension with a V shaped intra-alveolar extension. The V shape offers a broader surface area and helps prevent rotations. Also it holds a greater chance of success if the unerupted tooth is positioned buccally or lingually in the arch.

The fixed and removable appliance has its own advantages and disadvantages. The fixed appliance does not need the compliance of the patient and are non pressure appliance. These appliances when designed appropriately will not promote mesial migration; however they are contraindicated in certain health conditions. On the other hand the removable appliance is easy to clean unlike intra-alveolar; however it needs a high level of patient compliance and strong motivation from parents and it cannot be used in patients with severe gag reflex.

As stated previously there are some contraindications for the intra-alveolar designs of the appliance the design of extra-alveolar pressure type appliance have come up. Theoretically the terminal end of this type of space maintainer exerts pressure which is received by the neuromuscular spindles in the area, also called proprioceptive receptors, which absorb directional information regarding the tooth eruptive movement, hypothetically permitting an eruption without mesial migration. However this type of appliance should be monitored regularly once in 2 months by radiograph especially when the tooth bud is intra-alveolar as there are high risk of mesial migration.

The conventional designs can be used successfully for unilateral loss of deciduous second molar however they poses a variety of problems in case of bilateral loss of mandibular second primary molar hence Dhindsa and Pandit suggested the need to modify the appliance design with a lingual holding arch. However this type of appliance may create hindrance in the path of eruption of permanent mandibular incisors and hence may later need to be changed. Alternatively Gujar et, al; suggested the placement of the lingual component far lingually so as to prevent the hindrance of eruption of permanent mandibular incisors. Also the lingual holding arch is said to maintain the integrity of lower dental arch in case of inadequate abutments following unilateral loss of two primary molars by using the canine next to the lost molar and contra lateral molars as abutments.

The conventional designs of distal shoe were made of cast metals hence they did not facilitate minor adjustments for the
proper adaptation of the vertical extension of the distal shoe. Some authors have given modifications which help in minor adjustments for proper adaptation of the vertical arm.\textsuperscript{15,16} Also some authors have placed loops to permit accurate placement of distal shoe against first permanent molar as well as to regain small amount of space or to correct mesial tipping.\textsuperscript{13,17}

The functional design maintains the occlusion as well as prevents the supraeruption of opposing teeth. Also the same appliance can be used as a space maintainer after the removal of gingival extension after the eruption of first permanent molar. However the high cost, more time in fabrication and difficulty with adjustment of the appliance and behaviour management of the patient disfavor this design. In such instances a non-functional appliance is of choice.\textsuperscript{9}

Gegenheimer and Donley gave a special modification where in along with the gingival extension a distal loop was fabricated and cemented in patient’s mouth. On eruption of permanent molar the gingival extension was removed and the remaining appliance acted as a crown and loop appliance till the eruption of premolar thereby avoiding the fabrication of another appliance.\textsuperscript{18} Dull et al, gave a special modification which had a buccal and lingual arms instead of horizontal bars, whose buccolingual width was in accordance with the opposing supra erupted molar. This appliance gave better stability as the horizontal bar posed no hindrance during occlusion.\textsuperscript{19}

Trauma to the Succeeding Tooth

Malformed succedaneous tooth is seen in some cases due to trauma to the follicle caused by improper placement of the gingival extension of the appliance or traumatic extraction of ankylosed primary molar.\textsuperscript{20}

4. Conclusion

A dentist has to constantly evaluate the dental space requirements during the transition from primary to permanent dentition in a growing child. The space loss can lead to problems such as crowding, ectopic eruption and impaction. Many factors have been discussed in the literature regarding distal shoe appliance, however the longevity and failure have not been discussed. With the knowledge that is provided in the literature regarding the pattern of eruption and sequence the clinician can design an appropriate appliance for maintaining the space for premature loss of deciduous second molar. The ultimate goal is to develop a perfect and healthy occlusion in permanent dentition.
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