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Abstract: Digital image inpainting is a well-known technique for repairing damaged portion of image, reconstructing missing part of the image or removing a selected object in a visually plausible way. Digital image inpainting has a numerous application like image restoration, red eye correction, super resolution, object removal etc. In this paper we provide a detail comparison between Bertalmio’s image inpainting and Exemplar based image inpainting algorithm.
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1. Introduction

Image inpainting is not a new concept. The aim is to reconstruct the missing portion of an image, removing an unwanted object from the image to make it more legible. The word “inpaint” means to fill in the gaps. In ancient days professional artist use to inpaint the lost part of a historical master piece on the basis of neighborhood and background information. This process was time consuming and there was a possibility of destroying the world-unique art due to direct manual retouch.

But today there are various digitalized inpainting techniques that can easily and efficiently inpaint the image with least user interference. The user just needs to mention the inpainting region manually. Rest of the task is done automatically. Digital image inpainting found numerous applications like removal of the superimposed text, i.e., date, subtitle and also complete object removal like microphone, wires in special effect. Paper outline: Section 2 contains the overview of inpainting algorithm. Section 3 contains detail explanation of two inpainting algorithms (a) Bertalmio and (b) Exemplar based inpainting. Section 4 contains comparison of the results obtained. In Section 5 conclusion is written.

2. Overview

In Mathematical term, we can describe inpainting as follows: for a sequence, say S, its subset, say X, can estimate the total S as S’, such that I(S’) = I(X) where I stands for information. For clarity we would like to explain it with an example. Suppose there exists a sequence S = {2,4,X,8,10,12}, where X is unknown. If X is derived as 6 then the sequence S = {2,4,6,8,10,12} is a set of natural even numbers. But if X is derived as 15, then it seems that the sequence is not correct and needs some attention. In inpainting the plausible region gives a natural sequence, whereas for the region required to be inpainted, the sequence shows abnormality.

The principle of image inpainting: Let Ω ∈ D, where D is the image domain and Ω is the portion in D to be inpainted shown in figure 2, δΩ is the boundary of Ω. The objective is to interpolate data from the boundary region δΩ and fill the inpainting region Ω with the appropriate pixel value.

Image inpainting methodology:
- Select the image that needs attention.
- Manually select the portion to be inpainted i.e., the target region (Ω).

Figure 1: Restoring the missing part of the image. (a) The original image, (b) The mask of the image, (c) The image after inpainting.

Figure 2: Principle of Inpainting
3. Comparative Study

**Bertalmio Image Inpainting Algorithm:** Technique proposed by Bertalmio will prolong the isophote lines arriving at the boundary of the inpainted region. Ω. It says I(i,j): [0,M] X [0,N] → R such that, with [0,M] X [0,N] ⊂ H x H, is discrete 2D gray level image. Passing the image through an iterative algorithm will give a series of images I(i,j,n): [0,M] X [0,N] X N → R such that I(i,j,0)=I(i,j) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} I(i,j,n) = I(i,j) \), where I(i,j,0) is the output [6]. In general,

\[
I^{n+1}(i,j) = I^n(i,j) + \Delta t I^i_p(i,j), \forall (i,j) \in \Omega \tag{1}
\]

where \( n \) denotes inpainting time, \( i \) and \( j \) are the pixel coordinates, \( \Delta t \) is the rate of change and \( I^i_p(i,j) \) denotes update of the image \( I^n(i,j) \). Now we need to know the updated \( I^n(i,j) \). The information is smoothly propagated inside the region \( \Omega \) from its surrounding. If \( L^n(i,j) \) is the input to this algorithm is the information to be propagated and \( \vec{N}^n(i,j) \) is the direction of propagation then,

\[
I^i_p(i,j) = \delta L^n(i,j) \cdot \vec{N}^n(i,j) \tag{2}
\]

where \( \delta L^n(i,j) \) denotes measure of change in information \( L^n(i,j) \). From equation (2) we can find the information \( L^n(i,j) \) for a given image and its change in the \( \vec{N} \) direction. For a steady state, i.e., where no change takes place, \( I^{n+1}(i,j) = I^n(i,j) \). So from (1) and (2) we can say for a steady state, \( \delta L^n(i,j) \cdot \vec{N}^n(i,j) = 0 \). Here we can say that same information has been propagated inside the \( \Omega \) region. To do inpainting in a visually plausible way, \( L^n(i,j) \) needs to act as an image smoothness estimator. The author here uses a simple discrete implementation of Laplacian:

\[
L^n(i,j) := L^n_{xx}(i,j) + L^n_{yy}(i,j) \tag{3}
\]

where, \( L^n_{xx} \) and \( L^n_{yy} \) are derivatives of \( x \) and \( y \) respectively.

The direction of propagation \( \vec{N} \) is normal to the signed distance to the boundary of the region to be inpainted \( \Omega \) [6].

The best choice for \( \vec{N} \) is the isophotes direction. For any point \((i,j)\) the discretized gradient vector \( \nabla I^n(i,j) \) gives the direction of largest spatial change, that means the 90 degree rotation \( \nabla \perp I^n(i,j) \) gives the direction of smallest spatial change. Hence it gives the direction of isophotes. So when we take time into account along with the direction \( \vec{N} \), the \( \vec{N}(i,j,n) \) is same as \( \nabla \perp I^n(i,j) \). Here we take time into account, i.e., \( \vec{N}(i,j,n) \), to find the isophotes direction to get the desired continuity at\( \partial \Omega \), instead of taking fixed \( \vec{N} \), which implies that the direction of isophote is well known from the beginning. The smoothness estimator is projected to the variation into the isophote direction, to update the information inside \( \Omega \).

This algorithm also include anisotropic diffusion, to ensure correct evaluation of direction field \( \vec{N} \). Diffusion can be explained as periodic curving of lines to avoid them crossing each other. Anisotropic diffusion gives the desired result without losing the sharpness of the image (for detail refer to [6]). It applies continuous-time / continuous-space anisotropic diffusion equation:

\[
\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(x,y,t) = g(x,y) \kappa(x,y,t) |\nabla I(x,y,t)|, \forall x,y \in \Omega \tag{4}
\]

where, \( \Omega \) is a dilation (to enlarge or expand) of \( \Omega \) having a circle of radius \( \varepsilon \), \( \kappa \) is Euclidean curvature of isophotes of \( I \) and \( g(x,y) \) is a smoothness function. For detail study go through paper [6] and [7].

The input to this algorithm is the image to be restored and the mask (matrix representing inpainted region with 0, and rest with 1) which identifies the portion to be inpainted. At first the original image undergoes anisotropic diffusion and then it enters into the inpainting algorithm, where the inpainted region gets updated. The basic idea is to continue geometric information that arrives at the border \( \partial \Omega \).

Based on the above algorithm Chan and Shen (2001) proposed a new technique known as total variational inpainting model. This model uses Euler-Lagrange equation and anisotropic diffusion. This method works well with small inpainting region, but fails to connect broken edges and inpaint image with great texture pattern. This TV model is then extended to CCD (Curvature-Driven-Diffusion...
Model) by Chan and Shen. It include curvature information of isophotes for inpainting curved region.

Disadvantages: Although the algorithm proposed by Bertalmio is one of the basic inpainting algorithm and it works fine for small inpainting region and cartoon image, but it fails to inpaint large inpainting region and textured image. Also it may produce blurred resulting image.

Applications: It has numerous applications such as, image restoration, image segmentation, etc.

Exemplar Based Image Inpainting: Exemplar Based image inpainting is a very well-known and efficient class of image inpainting algorithm. The inpainting algorithm helps us to improve both the structure and the texture of the inpainting region. The remarkable feature of this algorithm is that it can inpaint large missing area as well as reconstruct the small defects in the image. It precise way the working of this algorithm is to assign priority to the pixel of the unknown region denoted by(Ω),δΩ. Ω is the total number of element in the patch. The result depends in the order in which patches are selected is very important.

Figure 4: (a) Image to be inpainted, showing Source region (Φ), target region (Ω) & its contour(δΩ) (b) Patch ψp centered at point p ∈ δΩ. (c) Most likely candidate patches ψn and ψq that lies along the boundary between the to texture in the source region for the patch ψp in the target region. (d) The best candidate patch is selected and copied to the target region.

The stepwise description of the exemplar based image inpainting algorithm with suitable diagrams is given below:

1) Notations: As shown in figure 4 [3], the region to be inpainted is referred as target region denoted by(Ω), the region or area of the image from where information is taken to inpaint the target region is referred as source region denoted by (Φ),δΩ is used to represent the boundary of the target region also called contour or fillfront.

2) Identify the target region: Target region is manually highlighted with some special color, it is a common fashion to take green color to represent the target region ( R=0, G=255, B=0).

3) Initialize the confidence value: Each pixel has got a certain ‘color value’ and ‘confidence’ value which determine our confidence in the pixel value and which is fixed once a pixel is inpainted. Confidence term is also used to determine the amount of reliable information around the pixel p, pixel p have a certain patch of size say 9 X 9 (practical size is larger).During initialization, the function C(p)=0 , for all p ∈ Ω and C(p)=1 for all p ∈ I.Ω.

4) Find the boundary of the target region: It is a practice to first inpaint the region along contour by giving then a temporary higher priority. It is efficient way to do so because it is surrounded with edges that have high confidence value therefore, it makes the algorithm more reliable.

5) Selection and Calculation of the patch priority: By default patch size is taken as 9X9 pixel but in practice patch size should be slightly larger than the largest distinguishable texture element in the image. We denote patch by ψp. We use the mean squared error to find the best matching patch from the source region to inpaint the target region

\[ \text{MSE} = \sum_{\Omega} \left( f_{x,y} - g_{x,y} \right)^2 \]

where \( f_{x,y} \) and \( g_{x,y} \) represent the element in the patch, \( \text{MSE} \) is the mean squared error. We denote the priority by P(p) as a product of two terms:

\[ P(p) = C(p)D(p) \]

where C(p) is the confidence term & D(p) is the data term. They are defined as :

\[ C(p) = \frac{\Sigma q \psi p \cap (I-\Omega)C(q)}{|\psi p|} \]

\[ D(p) = \frac{|\nabla I_p|^2 + n_p|}{\alpha} \]

where, \( \psi_p \) is the area of \( \psi_p \), \( \alpha \) is the normalisation factor (i.e. \( \alpha = 255 \) for a typical grey-level image), \( n_p \) is the unit vector orthogonal to the front \( \delta \Omega \) at the point \( p \), \( \nabla I_p \) denotes the orthogonal operator.

Figure 5: The image is denoted by I. Patch \( \psi_p \) is normal to the contour \( \delta \Omega \) of the target region \( \Omega \), and \( \nabla I_p \) is the isoprote at point \( p \).

According to Figure 4 [3] we have a patch \( \psi_p \) centered at point \( p \) for some \( p \), we denote its priority by P(p) as a product of two terms:

\[ P(p) = C(p)D(p) \]

where C(p) is the confidence term & D(p) is the data term. They are defined as :

\[ C(p) = \frac{\Sigma q \psi p \cap (I-\Omega)C(q)}{|\psi p|} \]

\[ D(p) = \frac{|\nabla I_p|^2 + n_p|}{\alpha} \]
indicate isophote’s strength of hitting the front $\delta \Omega$ at each iteration for the algorithm.

6) Choose the patch with the maximum priority and then replace the patch with the best suitable and matching exemplar (patch) among all the candidate set from the source region and update the confidence value. That is $C(p) = C(p^\star)$, where, $C(p)$ is the confidence value of the exemplar (patch) from the source region, $C(p^\star)$ is the confidence value of the patch in the target region that is inpainted.

This process continues till all the pixel in the target region is completely inpainted. There are some points that we have noticed during the study and implementation of this algorithm such that in [3] the quality and reliability of the inpainted image is highly dependent on the order in which the filling process proceeds. The traditional concentric layer filling (commonly known as onion peel) process unexpectedly reconstructs curve at the horizontal line between the two different shades color of the image. But the edge driven achieves the artifact-free reconstruction. In [2] it is stated that, often the most appropriate patch lies very close to the patch selected for inpainting. So it is a good approach to restrict the search of the patch up to certain diameter surrounding the region to be inpainted. Doing so the performance of the inpainting algorithm is improved.

4. Results

We have analyzed and implemented the Bertalmio and Exemplar image inpainting algorithms on the images given below in this section. The code is executed in Matlab version 10& 13 on Windows 7 operating system. Figure 6, Figure 7 and Figure 8 are the results of Exemplar based image inpainting. All of this sub figure (a), (b), (c) contains “Original image”, “fill region” and “inpainted image” respectively. Original image is the image on which inpainting is applied. ‘fill region’ show the mask that should be inpainted with the help of the algorithm. ‘Inpainted image’ is the result of the of the algorithm.

For Figure 6, in case 1, algorithm removes the person and inpaint the image with the help of surrounding information, as a result the person is removed in the inpainted image. In case 2 some portion of the person’s body is selected as the mask and inpainted. In case 3 the rope connected with the man’s feet is selected as the mask and in the final result it is showing the man is jumping without rope attached with his feet. Figure 7 is another example of Exemplar based image inpainting algorithm. In this image some portion is selected as the mask which needs to be restored. Finally with the help of the algorithm the mask portion is inpainted and we get the final result. In example 3 we take Lena.jpg as our original image. Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3 shows that the algorithm is capable of inpainting regions with multiple textures.

Example1:

Example2:
Example 3:

![Figure 7: Text Results](image)

(a) Case 1  
(b) Case 2  
(c) Case 3

Example 4:

![Figure 8: Lena image.](image)

(a) Original Image  
(b) Inpainted Image

*Example 4* is the result of the Bertalmio image inpainting algorithm. Figure 9(a) shows the original image that need to be inpainted. In the original image some portion of the letter are missing but we can see that after applying the algorithm the missing portion of the image as been inpainted as shown in Figure 9(b).

By comparing the results of Exemplar and Bertalmio image inpainting in Figure 7 and Figure 9 respectively we came to a conclusion that former can inpaint large portion of the image but latter algorithm fails.

**Table 4.1:** Processing time for a common image on each inpainting algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inpainting Algorithm</th>
<th>Processing Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exemplar</td>
<td>20 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertalmio</td>
<td>2 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On applying Exemplar based and Bertalmio’s inpainting algorithm on Text figure, we get Figure 7 and Figure 9 as results respectively. We observe that the processing time for Exemplar based algorithm is much more than Bertalmio’s algorithm, due to the complexity in finding perfect patch.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we see that both Bertalmio and Exemplar based inpainting algorithm is able to restore the image. But Bertalmio’s algorithm is more suitable for small region. Also it provides a good result for object removal in a simple background. Exemplar based algorithm also provide good result for restoring missing part of an image. But the processing time is more. To find the best matches patch, the algorithm needs to do too much of computation. So if the source region is large, the time required to inpaint will be...
larger, and if the source region is small, we will end up with a poor quality of result.
In future work, we would like to come up with an algorithm which is capable of choosing any one of the two algorithms based on the size and shape of inpainting region or based on the complex background.
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