The root canal treatment is one of the most common dental procedures, the aim of which is to save natural teeth and prevent the need for dental implants or bridges. With contemporary advances in endodontic techniques, increasingly complicated cases are undertaken of general dental practitioners—with variable results. Unfortunately, as a consequence the majority of procedures carried out by endodontic specialists today are retreatments. The aim of this review article is to focus on some problems of endodontic treatment and their management.
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1. Introduction

The reporting of success in endodontic literature can be confusing because of the definition of “success/failure”. One useful measure of success is the survival of a tooth after root canal treatment. Present day endodontics can achieve a 95-98% success rate in the primary session of a root canal treatment and 74-95% success rate in retreatment cases. Within the scope of endodontic treatment it is important to understand that first and foremost, is bacterial infection. Endodontic diagnosis is one of the fundamental concepts in endodontontology. An accurate diagnosis is the basis of treatment. Implants have changed the rules in clinical endodontics by demanding a more precise endodontic therapy with a higher success rate. Apical periodontitis is primarily a sequel to microbial infection of the root-canal space in teeth.

The clinical management of apical periodontitis involves infection control by root canal treatment, which is the only viable alternative to tooth loss. Epidemiological studies have shown that the prevalence of apical periodontitis in root-filled teeth ranged from 40% to 51%. However, the magnitude of this problem has not been fully appreciated.

The process of case selection and treatment planning begins after a clinician has diagnosed an endodontic problem. The clinician must determine if the patient’s oral health needs are best met by providing endodontic treatment and maintaining the tooth or by advising extraction. This question is more complex than ever before because of the wide array of treatment modalities.

An ideal treatment plan should address the chief complaints of the patient; provide the longest-lasting, most cost-effective treatment; and meet or exceed patients’ expectations whenever possible. A study of more than 1 400 000 root canal-treated teeth demonstrated that 97 percent of root canal-treated teeth were retained within an eight-year follow-up period [23]. Thus, clinical outcomes of patients receiving care from practicing dentists across the entire United States provide dramatic evidence that endodontic treatment saves natural teeth with an extremely high level of success. Teeth that had no permanent restoration placed after root canal treatment were about two to four times more likely to be extracted than teeth that had permanent restorations. Thus, saving the natural tooth requires both good endodontic treatment and follow-up restorative treatment. Indeed, it is not merely the presence of a restoration, but instead, it is the quality of the permanent restoration that serves as an important predictor of long-term success of root canal treatment [1, 17, 20, 26].

The quality of the endodontic treatment is important, with greater success rates and patient satisfaction observed when endodontists perform either nonsurgical [2, 12] or surgical [15] endodontic procedures [1]. Thus, high-quality endodontic and restorative procedures play an important role in obtaining the high level of success that our patients expect and deserve [11].

The treatment plan should be based not only on the expected overall benefit to oral health, but should include improvements in quality of life. The quality of life is an important factor when considering alternative clinical procedures such as single-tooth implant placement, where both esthetic problems and multiple repair appointments [7, 11, 14] can impact this important patient issue [1].

2. General Problems and Decisions

2.1. Diagnosis and Prognosis

The goal of endodontic therapy is the prevention and elimination of pathosis of endodontic origin. Consensus report of the European Society of Endodontology on quality guidelines, generally accepted that correct diagnosis, proper debridement and preparation of the pulp cavity, and subsequent complete obturation of the prepared cavity are the triad essential for successful root canal therapy. Unfortunately initial bone lesions couldn’t be detected on 2-dimensional radiographic images, so in contemporary dentistry cone-beam computer tomography is a useful 3-dimensional examination of periapical zone (Figure 1).
2.2. Isolation of operative field

For the first time in endodontic practice Stanford Christie Barnum offers the use of rubber dam almost 150 years ago [27]. Rubber dam provided an excellent isolation from oral cavity environment and this isolation is decisive factor for preventive recontamination and long-term survival of the tooth (Figure 2).

2.3. Location of orifices

Location of all canals at difficult clinical cases is closely connected with appropriate coronal access.

**Magnification**

The use of magnification /from 4.5x up to 25x/ and fiberoptic transillumination, through the CEJ, is one of the decisions for careful examination of color changes and pulp chamber shapes and to help the clinician safety locate calcified canals, furcation canals /in 13%/ cracks and more detailed observation of the pulp floor and walls (Figure 3,4)[3].

**Challenging in location of MB-2 orifices in maxillary molars**

Negotiation of the MB-2 canal in maxillary first and second molars often is difficult [5]. A ledge of dentin covers its orifice, the orifice has a mesiobuccal inclination on the pulp floor, and the canal's pathway often takes one or two abrupt curves in the coronal part of the root. Most of these obstructions can be eliminated by ultrasonic tips. This must be done at 0.5 to 3mm in depth. The incidence of a MB2 canal in first molar retreatments was 67% compared to a 59% incidence in initial treatments. Whereas in second molars, the retreatment incidence was 44% compared with 35% in initial treatments. The significant difference in the incidence of a MB2 canal between initial treatments and retreatments suggests that failure to find and treat existing MB2 canals will decrease the long-term prognosis (Figure 5)[24,28].
Root canal anatomy of maxillary molars is highly complex and unpredictable. The first maxillary molars have the largest volume and have generated more research than any other tooth. The knowledge of the normal anatomy and frequent variations can greatly enhance the success rate of endodontic treatment.

The complexity of the root canal system of maxillary molars presents a constant challenge, as the dentist must have a thorough knowledge of the root canal morphology to provide successful endodontic treatment [9].

2.4. Optimized canal preparation and appropriate coronal access

The traditional cleaning and shaping strategy (the step-back technique) focused on the initial preparation of the apical third of the root canal system, followed by various flaring techniques to facilitate maximum obturation to the apical zone (Figure 6). Coronal binding is caused by overhangs at the orifice level and also occurs when the canal is less tapered than an instrument, thus binding somewhere coronally. Passing a precurved negotiating file through a coronally tight canal will straighten the instrument. Nonflared canals do not allow efficient irrigation, which further predisposes to apical blockage.

2.5. Eliminating infection

Since larger preparations remove more bacterial cells, a high rate of treatment success is expected. Remaining pathogens may jeopardize the outcome of the root canal treatment. The intraradicular occurrence of bacteria was basically similar in both asymptomatic and symptomatic treated teeth, but larger numbers were evident in symptomatic teeth. Although not very common, extraradicular occurrence of bacteria was more frequent into the symptomatic teeth [21]. Irrigation with solution of NaOCl /2.5-5.25%/ and EDTA /17%/ in combination through ultrasonic, was found to be significantly more effective than saline in rendering canals free of bacteria and in opening of lateral canals in apical zone (Figure 7, 8).

2.6. Contemporary concept of root canal filling – problems of management of apical control zone

A discussion about management of apical control zone pulppertiodontal disease would be incomplete without discussing the periapical reactions as a direct result of pulp infection.

The lack of apical control zone in result of chronic apical periodontitis is a challenge to have a long-term prognosis for these teeth. It is very sophisticated to seal the root canal.
system just to the apical foramen in cases with apical lesions. For this reason we proposed the biomimetical obturation of the lesion and the apical zone with bioceramic of calcium phosphate.

Application of bioceramic of calcium phosphate into the zone of periapical lesion should be of favorable scaffold for bone regenerative processes and this will create a biomimetic apical control zone (Figure 9).

Figure 9: Application of bioceramic of calcium phosphate into zone and biomimetic approach to apical control zone (pre- and postoperative radiograph).

It is well known that calcium phosphate ceramics have received much attention as potential bone implant material. It was also shown that bioceramics of calcium phosphate have antimicrobial properties. All these problems we discussed as a complex process of bone metabolism that involves the resorption by osteoclasts and the subsequent formation of a woven bone. These activities are essential for bone remodeling, regeneration and repair for tissue engineering applications, 3D bone biomaterials must be capable of supporting the functional properties of osteogenic cells.

It is very important to know that once damaged the periodontal ligament has a low probability of regeneration and this is a challenge for root canal therapy. Repair of periodontal ligament appears to involve progenitor cells. Moreover, progenitor cells in endosteal spaces potentially migrate from the bone to periodontal ligament to form tissues of the periodontium. These mechanisms are unknown but that is a problem for the future.

2.7. Separated endodontic instruments

Success in endodontic therapy depends upon the appropriate removal of vital or nonvital pulpal tissue [22]. It is a common misconception that a broken file is the specific cause of conventional endodontic treatment failure. However, the basis of endodontic treatment failure after a file breaks is the inability to remove the remaining vital or nonvital pulp tissue due to the impediment that the separated file poses, which can lead to inflammation or infection [16].

The use of ultrasonic devices has been shown to be very successful for the removal of various canal obstructions [18]. The use of ultrasonics is advantageous over conventional methods for removal of separated instruments as it is able to set separated instruments free from canals while making the immolate of dentin significantly less (Figure 10)[8]. The recommendations are to use endosonic tips with the smallest diameter possible in the curve of the root canals[25].

2.8. Vertical root fracture

Use of excess force during gutta-percha compaction too may cause vertical fracture. It may happen during pin placement for core buildup following endodontic treatment, when excess force is applied and when a tapered pin or a post is placed. Clinical detection of fractures can be very difficult in the initial stages of development under extensive restorations or in teeth after prosthetic treatment. Clinical signs and symptoms are often elusive in nature and may be difficult to detect or reproduce during patient examination [19]. Radiographic signs of wide periodontal space, fracture line at horizontal slice from CBCT and intraoral fistula are clear evidence of a fracture available. The choice of extraction may often be the wisest course of treatment, provided that all other possibilities have been considered (Figure 11 a, b).

Figure 10: Removal of separated instrument

Figure 11: a/fracture line; b/radiographic sign of fracture of mesial root of mandibular molar.

3. Conclusion

Accurate diagnosis, knowledge of the root canal morphology and the principles of modern preparation techniques are all essential so that appropriate chemical cleaning and disinfection of the entire root canal system is achieved. Modern dental practice is related to the continuous improvement of clinical techniques and materials. But the problems related to the treatment process have not yet been completely eliminated.
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