Study of Abnormal Umbilical Coiling Index and its Outcome in Rural Area

Sonali Rathi Somani¹, Shashikant G Somani², Vrunda Choudhary³

Assistant Professor, Obstetrics & Gynecology, Doctor's Quarters S1-1, Kamineni Institute of Medical Science. Narketpally. Nalgonda (Dist), Telangana 508254

Abstract: <u>Objective</u>: To evaluate relationship between umbilical coiling index (UCI) and perinatal outcome. <u>Material & Methods</u>: Present prospective study was conducted on 384 term patients with singleton live pregnancy in rural area at Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Narketpally. UCI was determined by dividing total number of complete vascular coiling by umbilical cord length in centimeters. Then relationship between abnormal UCI and intrapartum fetal heart rate (FHR), mode of delivery and neonatal outcome like birth weight, meconium stained liquor and APGAR score were evaluated. <u>Results & Discussion</u>: Mean UCI was 0.21 + 0.78 coils per cms. It is divided into three groups- hypocoiled (UCI <10th percentile), normocoiled (UCI between 10^{th} -90th percentile and hypercoiled (UCI >90th percentile).Normocoiled were predominant in 75.7 % (291), 14.6%(56) cases were hypocoiled and 9.7% (37)were hypercoiled. Hypocoiled cords were associated with pregnancy induced hypertension , abruptio placenta, oligohydramnios, intrapartum foetal heart rate abnormalities, instrumental vaginal delivery, increased operative delivery, meconium staining, low APGAR scores , more NICU admissions and low birth weight (P value <0.05). while hypercoiling was significantly associated with, gestrational diabetes mellitus, polyhydramnios , foetal heart rate abnormalities during labour and low birth weight (P value <0.05). <u>Conclusion</u>: Abnormal UCI is associated with adverse perinatal outcome. UCI can be used as antenatal marker for identifying fetus at risk and by early intervention one can reduce perinatal morbidity.

Keywords: Hypercoiling umbilical cord, Hypocoiling umbilical cord, Fetal distress, Perinatal outcome, Umbilical cord coiling index (UCI)

1. Introduction

Umbilical cord is the conduit from placenta to fetus. It contains vessels which carries oxygen and nutrients to fetus. Thus It is vital in development, wellbeing and survival of foetus and yet, it is vulnerable to kinking, compressions and traction which may adversely affect perinatal outcome. A coil is defined as having completed a 360 spiral course of umbilical vessel around Wharton's jelly. The total number of coils in cord is established as early as 28 days after conception and is present in about 95% of fetuses by 9 weeks. The helices may be seen by ultrasonography as early as in first trimester of pregnancy (1). The pattern of coiling develops during second and third trimesters, presumably due to snashes in cord and these coiling changes as pregnancy advances. It may vary between 0- 40. Also length of umbilical cord is one of reflections of fetal activity. It is dependent on fetal movements, with an active fetus having a longer cord than inactive fetus. Thus, umbilical cord length may reflect in utero environment and activity of fetus. Cord length at term varies ranging from no cord (achordia) to 300 cm. At birth, cord is about 50-60 cm in length.(2)

Umbilical Cord Coiling was first describe by Berengarius in 1521 [3].while it is first quantified by Edmonds as "Index of twist".[4] Later Strong et al named it as "Umbilical cord coiling index(UCI)" [5].It is defined as total number of coils divided by total length of cord in centimeters. It is classified into three groups [6].: $<10^{th}$ percentile-hypocoiled , 10^{th} -90th percentile-normocoiled; $>90^{th}$ percentile—hypercoiled. Normal UCI is 0.17 spiral per cm. Complete cord occlusion often leads to fetal demise while intermittent obstruction is associated with intrauterine brain damage. Compression and vasospasm in utero are important factors in fetal distress. Thus abnormal UCI is associated with adverse pregnancy outcome. Therefore, present study was

undertaken to evaluate perinatal outcomes with abnormal UCI in rural area.

2. Material & Methods

Present study was conducted in Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Narketpally, Nalgonda District, Telangana, India from July 2013 to October 2014. It was a prospective study conducted on 384 pregnant women who were in active labour.

Inclusion Criteria

)

- Patients with term gestation (37-40 week gestational age
- Singleton live fetus

Exclusion Criteria

- Refusal for participation in study
- Preterm gestation
- Multiple gestation
- Congenital anomalies
- Intrauterine death

All patients were informed in detail about aim, objectives of study and written consent was taken. A detailed obstetrics history was obtained and maternal high risk factors like pregnancy induced hypertension, gestrational diabetes mellitus, abruptio placenta, oligohydramnios, polyhydramnios etc were noted. Intrapartum partogram and strict fetal heart rate (FHR) monitoring by intermittent auscultation was done. abnormalities in FHR, meconium stained liquor and mode of delivery, vaginal or cesarean, were noted. Umbilical cord was examined to note any loop around neck, trunk, shoulder etc., number of loops , tight or loose loop, presence of(true or false) knots. Immediately after delivery, cord was clamped and cut taking care not to milk it (as it may affect UCI). Umbilical cord length was measured including it's length of placental end and umbilical stump on baby with flexible tape in cm. Number of coils in the cord was counted. UCI was calculated by following formula.

$$UCI = \frac{Number of coils}{Total length of cord in cms}$$

Patients were divided into three groups normocoil, hypocoil and hypercoil

Neonatal factors like APGAR, birth weight, admission to neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) were noted. All patients and babies were followed till discharge. Statistical analysis was done with Chi-Square test. p value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results & Discussion

Among 384 women, 202 were booked and 182 were unbooked. Mean length of umbilical cord was 51.72 + 11.71 cms. Mean number of coils per umbilical cord was 11.37 + 4.74. Mean UCI was 0.21 + 0.78 coils per cms which was similar to study done by Strong et al. [5], Rana et al. [6], Nivedita [7]

Normocoiled were predominant in 75.7 % (291), 14.6 % (56) cases were hypocoiled and 9.7% (37) were hypercoiled. In present study, among antenatal risk factors, there was association of hypocoiling with pregnancy induced hypertension, abruptio placenta & oligohydramnios. While gestrational diabetes mellitus & polyhydramnios were associated with hypecoiling. They are in agreement with studies done by Ezimokhai et al. [8], Gupta et al. [3]. Machin et al. (9), Kashanian et al. [10]

Umblical cord coil has elastic properties. Therefore it can resist external forces that might compromise umbilical blood flow. This explains association of hypocoiling with preeclampsia. [1,3].. Probably, high association of preeclampsia with abruption contributes to this finding. According to Edmond's hypothesis [4] twist of umbilical cord is due to rotary movement of fetus and hence more the amniotic fluid, more is fetal rotary movement and more will be coiling. The converse will be true for oligohydramnios.

 Table 1: Distribution of patients according to variation in fetal heart rate (FHR)

fotal fiberit face (Fffft)						
UCI	Normal FHR	Bradycardia	Tachycardia			
Normal coiling (n=291)	227 (78%)	52(17.9%)	12(4.12%)			
Hypocoiling (n=56)	29 (51.8%)	20 (35.7%)	7(12.5%)			
Hyper coiling((n=37)	20(54%)	11(29.7%)	6(16.2%)			
(p < 0.05)						

There is higher incidence of variability in fetal heart rate with abnormal UCI which was statistically significant (p < 0.05). Similar association was found by several authours [5] [6] [8], 11 <u>12</u>. Hypocoiled and hypercoiled cords are less flexible & more prone to kinking and torsion which makes them less tolerant to withstand stress of labour.

Also coiling provides turgor and compression resistant properties to the cord which become compromised as the cord becomes hypocoiled.

|--|

Mode of delivery	Normal coiling	Hypocoiling	Hyper coiling
	(n=291)	(n=56)	(n=37)
Vaginal delivery	203(69.8%)	16(28.6%)	20(54.1%)
LSCS	88(30.2%)	40(71.4%)	17(45.9%)

p value < 0.05

In present study , 239 women delivered vaginally out of which 19 had vaccum deliveries and 13 had an outlet forceps delivery. 145 delivered by LSCS. Women who had undergone instrumental deliveries had indications of fetal distress. Hypocoiled cords were significantly associated with incidence of more LSCS. Similar positive association between operative delivery, especially for fetal distress and abnormal UCI has been found by [5,6,12]. In present study, caesarean sections was done for all obstetric indications including cord complication & fetal distress.

 Table 3: Correlation of Neonatal outcome with Umbilical cord coiling index (UCI)

Parameters	UCI		
	Normal	Hypo coiling	Hyper
	(n=291)	(n=56)	coiling
			(n=37)
A. Meconium staining			
Yes	102(35.1%)	48(85.7%)*	4(10.81%)
No	189(64.9%)	8(14.3%)	33(89.2%)
B. APGAR score at 1 min			
<4	52(17.9%)	43(76.8%)*	9(24.3%)
>4	239(82.1%)	13(23.2%)	28 (75.7%)
C. APGAR score at 5 min			
<7	64(22%)	49(87.5.%) *	11(29.7%)
>7	227(78%)	7(12.5%)	26(70.3%)
D. NICU admission			
Yes	43(14.8%)	45(80.4%)*	7(18.9%)
No	248(85.2%)	11(19.6%)	30(81.1%)
E. Birth weight			
<2.5kg (Low Birth Weight)	17(5.8%)	31(55.4%)*	26(70.2%)*
>2.5kg	274(94.2%)	25(44.6%)	11(29.8%)
* 1 .0.07	•		

* p value < 0.05

In present study, among neonatal outcome, there was statistically significant association of hypocoiling with meconium staining, low APGAR scores and more NICU admissions. Hypercoiled group showed statistically significant correlation of LBW with UCI. Similar results were noted by [3]. [5][13] (14) (15) (<u>10</u>].

Percentage of perinatal asphyxia was more in both hypocoiled and hypercoiled groups as compared to normocoil group as excessive traction will causes spasm of umbilical vessel, premature separation of placenta which leads to increased risk of birth asphyxia and early neonatal death [16, 17]

This was explained by an experiment by Georgious et al. [15] in which venous perfusion was measured in cords subjected to standardized tight encirclement force. A significant inverse relationship was found between coiling index and minimum weight required to occlude venous perfusion. So, hypocoiling may cause kinking and

compression, whereas, hypercoiling may cause occlusion in cases with cord entanglement. This helps to explain, association of low APGAR score in hypocoiled cords

Adequate coiling prevents compression of cord, hypocoiling in long run, results in reduced fetoplacental circulation, thus resulting in low birth weight. Similarly hypercoiled predisposes to more kinking and torsion of cord, again interfering in fetoplacental circulation and low birth weight. (17)

3. Conclusion

Abnormal umbilical coiling index is associated with several adverse neonatal outcomes. Present study shows utility of umbilical vascular coiling, as antenatal marker for identifying fetus at risk, therefore helps in delivery of healthy baby. This is prime duty of all obstetrician to decrease perinatal morbidity and mortality by early diagnosis and its management.

4. Future Scope

Ultrasonographic evaluation of UCI at an earlier gestational age may become an integral part of fetal assessment in highrisk pregnancies and early diagnosis will help in early intervention and good outcome.

5. Acknowledgement

We express deep gratitude to all partients for their cooperation. We are also thankful to Dr Rajesh Kaul, Dr Anantha Reddy, Dr Doddamani & Dr A Vijaylaxmi for their guidance

References

- [1] T. Chitra, Y. S. Sushanth and S. Raghavan. Umbilical Coiling Index as a Marker of Perinatal Outcome: An Analytical Study . Obstet Gynecol Int. 2012; 12: 213
- [2] D Wright and G M Chan. Fetal bone strength and umbilical cord length. Journal of Perinatology 2009; 29: 603-605.
- [3] Gupta S, Faridi MMA, Krishnan J. Umbilical Coiling Index. J. Obstet Gynecol India. 2006;56(4):315-19.
- [4] Edmonds HW. The spiral twist of normal umbilical cords in twins and singlestone. Am. J. Obstet Gynecol. 1954;67:102-20.
- [5] 5 Strong TH, Jarles DL, Vega JS and Feldman DB. The umbilical coiling index. Am. J. Obst. Gynecol 1994;170(1):29-32.
- [6] Rana J, Ebert GA and Kappy KA. Adverse perinatal outcome in patients with an abnormal umbilical coiling index. Obst. Gynaecol. 1995;85(4):573-77.
- [7] Nivedita S. Patil, Sunanda R. Kulkarni and Renu Lohitashwa. Umbilical Cord Coiling Index and Perinatal Outcome . J Clin Diagn Res. 2013 ; 7(8): 1675-1677.
- [8] Ezimokhai M, Rizk DEE, Thomas L. Maternal risk factors for abnormal vascular coiling of the umbilical cord. American Journal of Perinatology. 2000;17(8):441-446.

- [9] Machin GA, Ackermann J and Gilbert-Barness E. Abnormal umbilical cord coiling is associated with adverse perinatal outcomes. Pediatric and Developmental Pathology. 2000;3(5):462–471.
- [10] Kashanian M, Akbarian A, Kouhpayehzadeh J. The umbilical coiling index and adverse perinatal outcome. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2006;95:8–13.
- [11]Nilesh Balkawade and Mangala Shinde. Study of Length of Umbilical Cord and Fetal Outcome: A Study of 1,000 Deliveries. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2012 ; 62(5): 520-525.
- [12] De Laat MWM, Franx A, Van Alderen ED, Nikkels PGJ and Visser GHA. The umbilical coiling index, a review of the literature. Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine. 2005;17(2):93–100.
- [13] Padmanabhan LD, Mhaskar R, Mhaskar A. Umbilical vascular coiling and the perinatal outcome. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2001;51(6):43–44.
- [14] Monique WM, de Laat, Frank A, Dots ML, Verses GHA, Nikkels GJN. Obstet Gynecol. 2006;107(5):1049–55.
- [15] Georgiou HM, Rice GE, Walker SP, Wein P, Neil M and Permezel M. The effect of vascular coiling on venous perfusion during experimental umbilical cord encirclement. Am. J. Obstet Gynecol. 2001;184(4):673– 78.
- [16] Krakowiak P, Smith EN, de Bruyn G et al. Risk factors and outcomes associated with a short umbilical cord. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;103:119–127.
- [17] Dakshayini Devaru and Meghna Thusoo. Umbilical Coiling Index & the Perinatal Outcome. Obstet Gynaecol India. 2012; 62(1): 43–46.

Author Profile



Sonali Rathi Somani has done DNB OBG. She is Assistant Professor Obstetrics & Gynecology in Kamineni Institute of Medical Science. Narketpally. Nalgonda



Shashikant G Somani MD is Assistant Professor (Physiology) in Kamineni Institute of Medical Science. Narketpally. Nalgonda



Vrunda Choudhary MD OBG, Associate Professor Obstetrics & Gynecology in Kamineni Institute of Medical Science. Narketpally. Nalgonda