# The Coconut Mite, *Aceria Guerreronis* Keifer (Acari: Eriophydae) – A Plague in Kerala

#### Sangeetha G. Kaimal

Department of Zoology, Providence Women's College, Calicut - 673 009, Kerala, India

Abstract: The coconut mite, Aceria guerreronis Keifer, has emerged as a sporadic pest of the coconut plantations in India inducing serious damage. Coconut provides one third of the agricultural income in Kerala and a major population are dependent on this cash crop directly or indirectly through coconut-based industries like coir, copra, oil, honey, furniture, handicrafts, beverages, bakery products and so on. The economic instability of the coconut farming community and the people employed in coconut-based industries rank the highest order. A critical assessment of the various problems created by the mite A. guerreronis in the agricultural economy of Kerala is presented from a historical point in order to supplement data on crop loss through nut malformation, nut fall, loss in fibre and copra. Future strategies in terms of management practices for an early control of the mite are outlined, and suggestions to alleviate mite damage are presented.

Keywords: Coconut, mite, invasive pest, Aceria guerreronis.

### 1. Coconut, "The Tree of Life"

Coconut, Cocos nucifera L., commonly referred to as "KalpaVriksha" in ancient Vedas (the old sacred writings of Hinduism), provides livelihood to billions of people across the world. It represents one of the most widely cultivated and high ranking strategic cash crops, acting as the backbone of Kerala's economy. It contributes one third of the agricultural income, providing livelihood to 10 million people (Rethinam, 2003).Globally, coconut occupies an area of 12 million hectares with a total production of about 56 billion nuts. India, Indonesia, Philippines and Sri Lanka are major coconut-growing countries, contributing 78 per cent of the total world production. India ranks third in the production and fourth in the productivity of coconut in the world with a production of 12.8 billion nuts in 2000-01 from 1.9 million hectares, accounting for about 22.36 per cent of the total world production.

The coconut tree, C. nucifera, has a long history of providing man with useful materials for his daily life. It is one of the ten most useful trees in the world. From top to root, every part of the coconut tree is in a way or another essential in farmers' households. The growing tip of the palm makes a tasty treat, the "millionaire's salad"; the sheath protecting unopened flowers is often used to fashion shoes, caps and even a kind of pressed helmet for soldiers (Foale, 2003). The coir of the coconut fruits is used for padding mattresses, upholstery and life-preservers. Coconut fruit is an important part of the daily diet rich in growth substances, minerals and vitamins. Oil, extracted from the copra (dried kernel of fruit), which is rich in glycerine and used to make soaps, shaving creams, plastics, margarine, ice cream etc. (Duke, 1983). The nutritional and health aspects of coconut oil reduce the risk of atherosclerosis, heart disease, cancer, and other degenerative conditions. It helps prevent bacterial, viral, and fungal infections, as a result of containing the antimicrobial component, lauric acid, solely found in coconut oil. Coconut oil is rich in medium-chain triglycerides, which provide an immediate source of fuel and energy, and enable the human body to metabolize fat efficiently (Trum Hunter, 2011). The wood and leaves are used for roof thatching, building houses, making furniture etc. The so called 'coconut lagoons' of Kerala are centres of tourist attraction fetching good income.

# 2. The coconut mite *A. guerreronis* and its Pest Status

Although a great number of different insects and mites have been observed feeding on the coconut palm, most of them are only sporadic guests. To date the most intractable and most damaging pest of coconut fruit is by far the eriophyid mite, A. guerreronis, commonly called "the coconut mite", which was first observed on coconut in the state of Guerrero, Mexico in 1960 (Keifer et al., 1965). The pest was earlier known from Africa and America (Mariau, 1977). It was detected for the first time from Southern India when the mite caused considerable damage to coconut in these areas (Ramaraju et al., 2002). A. guerreronis, is a tiny (0.2 mm) worm-like organism, which develop beneath the perianths (floral bracts) of coconut fruits, feeding on the epidermal meristematic tissues. The earliest symptom of coconut mite damage is the appearance of white streaks originating from beneath the perianth of fruits. The streaks eventually enlarge and develop into necrotic and suberized tissues on the fruit surface (Cardona and Potes, 1971). The damages may result in deep fissures in the fruit pericarp, distortion of the fruit, reduction in fruit size and weight, and a decline in copra yield (Julia and Mariau 1979). Yield losses attributable to A. guerreronis damages range from 10 to 90% (Hernandez, 1977).

Coconut cultivation in India dates back to more than 3,000 years and the plant survived all along without major pest damage. It is conceivable that the coconut mite, *A. guerreronis*, existed in India since the early 1960's even before its first report in Mexico when chemical pesticides were sparingly used, allowing the local natural enemies to keep it under control (Haq, 1999). Influence of factors like indiscriminate application of pesticides (Griffiths, 1984), mutation of the mite to overcome coconut resistance, dry spells (Zuluaga & Sanchez, 1971) in Kerala, lack of

quarantine measures and so on might have played their role in producing the outbreak levels of coconut mite experienced slightly over ten years ago. Nevertheless, the coconut mite was first reported in India, at high population levels in the late 1990's (Sathiamma *et al.*, 1998). The degree of damage incurred and consequent economic loss in tune of several crores of rupees created by the mite during this period will remain as 'black days' in the history of coconut cultivation in Kerala, in which 80–90% of palms were seriously affected (Hameed Khan *et al.*, 2003).

#### Mite colonization

Button/nut invasion by the coconut mite and the consequent deleterious effects favouring nut all, husk damage and reduction in nut size leading to yield loss have been studied by different authors (Mariau, 1977; Rethinam, 2003; Haq, 2007). Once a migrating mite finds a button of suitable age, it enters through the gap between tepals and button to reach the meristematic area. Development of the coconut mite from egg to adult has been estimated to take 8-10 days. Each fruit is usually colonized by more than a single mite. Symptoms of mite attack may only show up after some time of the initiation of the colonization, while the population is increasing under the tepals. During the growth phase of a nut, varying levels of mite populations can be observed, according to the age of the nut, time of initial colonization, climatic condition, presence of natural enemies and adopted control practices. The effect of continuous feeding by colony members produces the initial triangular creamy white patches extending beyond the tepals. The patched area then evolves to present longitudinal streaks, fissures and cracks while withering and becoming progressively larger.

#### **Nature of Damage**

The mites infest and develop on the meristematic tissues of growing nuts under the perianth by desapping the soft tissues. Initial symptoms appear in the form of small, pale white or yellow, inverted triangular patches just below the perianth. As the feeding activity increases, it results in physical damage leading to necrosis. In the severely damaged condition, brown patches appear. As the nuts mature, longitudinal fissures and splits occur on the outer surface of the husk. Occasionally, brownish gummy exudate oozes out from the fissures of the nuts. Severe infestation leads to malformed nuts with hardened husk, resulting in reduced copra and fibre yield. Mites occur in large number in two to six months old buttons. Palms of all ages and nut colour are affected by this mite. All the coconut varieties/germplasms are susceptible to this mite. In the severely infested nuts, the husk becomes thick and hardened, making dehusking difficult, besides drastic reduction in fibre vield. The quantity of reducing sugars and the acidity content were very low in the highly damaged nuts. The peroxidase value was found to be high in severely damaged nuts indicating that such nuts become quickly rancid. There was drastic reduction in both quality and quantity of coir.

#### Nut fall

Development of nuts to a minimum stage in which they can be used for human consumption requires at least 4–6 months. This duration has been found to coincide with the time when coconut mite population is near its peak. Premature nut fall is quite common, deserving special attention in terms of control strategies (Haq & Sumangala, 2001). Early nut fall irrespective of mite invasion was observed in the first few weeks, but in the case of uninfested nuts it soon ceased. However, infested nuts continued to fall up to 20–25 weeks. In extreme cases, nuts of various age groups fall at the same time from the same tree, because of water stress, mite attack or both. The result of the study on pattern of nut fall in infested and uninfested palms revealed maximum nut fall of about 47 - 70%, meaning heavy economic loss in Kerala. (*pers. comm.* from C.P.R Nair, Coconut Research Institute, Kayamkulam, Kerala).

#### Husk damage, nut malformation and fiber loss

For coconut growers, coconut husk is considered a precious item because of its several uses. The most important of these is coir production. Reduction of nut size, associated with nut malformation, very often lead to the need to discard husks, as they fetch no market value. In addition to this, husks of nuts attacked by the coconut mite firmly adhere to the mesocarp, requiring extra time and labour for de-husking. Thus, the husks of severely infested nuts are most often sold at very low prices, to be used as plant growing substrate, mulching or firewood. Mite feeding effects often appeared to be expressed as symptoms.

#### Reduction of copra weight and oil content

Nut fall and husk damage caused by the coconut mite is readily visible. However, reduction in copra weight and oil content cannot be detected until the crop is harvested and nuts are opened. The economic sustainability of the coconut plantation is largely determined by the production of kernels of good quality. In its early stage of development, the kernel is soft and fragile. On progressive development, it becomes more consistent, more nutritious and with increased oil content (Anonymous, 1950). Mite attack leading to reduced nut development, a common feature throughout Kerala, has a direct impact on copra weight and oil content. The nut shell is a hard protective structure to the soft inner kernel, which is not directly affected by the coconut mite. However, it may be morphologically and physiologically altered, deformed, smaller and of reduced quality depending on the intensity of mite attack. Copra processing and coconut oil extracting industries have reported considerable reduction in productivity due to mite attack in Kerala. Copra dealers of North Malabar estimate that copra production dropped from 18-20 to 10-12 kg per 100 nuts after the coconut mite upsurge at the end of the 1990's. Weight loss of copra was reported by Haq & Sobha (2010).

## 3. Commercial Impact of the Mite

As in the case of any other fruit, the external appearance of coconut has crucial importance in the commercialization of tender nuts, but not of mature nuts, provided the external appearance does not affect other qualities of the latter. Tender coconuts and kernels are largely used as fresh food items and mite attack on tender coconuts make them not acceptable by consumers, resulting in considerable economic loss. Yield reduction of 67.2% due to intense early and late nut fall (Haq & Sumangala, 2001) has been recorded in Kerala. This has greatly discouraged marginal level farmers from following farm management practices. Such situation eventually led to the reduction of the income

#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2013): 4.438

of thousands of small and marginal farmers (Haq, 2007). The most crucial aspect of mite attack has been attributed to the loss in copra weight, estimated to almost reach 32% (Haq & Sobha, 2010); however, the impact on oil production has not been assessed. In addition, losses due to husk damage has been estimated at 41.74%, particularly because of the reduction of fiber length by 26-53% and the consequent extra cost for de-husking (Muralidharan et al., 2001; Beevi et al., 2003). Fibers become thin, shorter and with reduced tensile strength, hence unacceptable to coir industry. Husk malformation induces further economic loss. Normally, the husks of 100 mature un-infested nuts may vield 9-10 kg of fibers, whereas the same number of infested nuts usually yields not more than 6-7 kg. Several phases of coconut production have been greatly affected by the coconut mite. It has led to the closure of most of the coir factories and coconut based industries in Kerala. This has greatly affected the people of this state, as these industries have served for the sustenance of a considerable part of the population. India used to be a major exporter of copra, desiccated coconut, coconut oil, oil cake, shell products, coir and coir products. These activities earned around 3 to 4 billion rupees (ca. 65 to 85 million US dollars) through export of a wide range of products in 2000-2001 (Singh, 2003). Although more recent data are not available, it is believed that earning reduced drastically, due to the current attack of the coconut mite.

# 4. Future of Coconut Mite and Coconut in India

As the largest single market for coconut, India consumes 12.6 billion nuts per year, corresponding to about 74% of its national production (Rethinam, 2003). The upsurge of the coconut mite from the end of the 1990's marked the beginning of a tragic era in the history of the coconut cultivation in the state of Kerala. The attack of the mite to the most vulnerable part of the coconut plant, i.e., the meristematic tissue of the nut, makes it a peculiarly relevant pest. Various chemical control measures practiced in the state were encouraging to some extent in the beginning, but soon they proved to be unsatisfactory. Limitations for such a drawback include usually the high cost involved, difficulty in the application of chemicals (because of the size of most coconut palms), potential environmental hazards and toxic effects to human beings. Attempts to reduce part of those problems, through root feeding and stem injection of synthetic pesticides, were reported by farmers to cause severe reduction in nut yield (Mallik et al., 2003). The use of bio-pesticides, including fungal formulations, reported as promising by some researchers (Nair et al., 2002; Kannaiyan et al., 2002), also requires repeated application. All the above would suggest that formulation of a low cost IPM programme would be more successful biological control measure, as in any other case, seems a possible means for effective and long lasting effects. Incidence and invasion of the mite is a significant happening to Kerala, where coconut is a major crop and more than 50% of the population depend on them for their livelihood.

## References

- [1] Anonymous (1950) The wealth of India, Raw materials vol. 2, CSIR, New Delhi, pp. 427.
- [2] Beevi, S., Mathew, T.B., Bai, H. & Saradamma, K. (2003) Status of eriophyid mite in Kerala In: Singh, H.P. & Rethinam, P. (eds) Coconut Eriophyid Mite Issues and Strategies - Proceedings of the International Workshop on Coconut Mite. CDB, Kochi, pp. 64–75.
- [3] Cardona, I.Z., Potes, S.A. (1971). Coconut scab or excoriation (*Cocos nucifera* L.) in Columbia. *A. guerreronis. Acta Agronomica*, 21: 133-139.
- [4] Duke AJ (1983) Handbook of energy crops. In: http://www.hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/duke\_energy/Coc os\_nucifera.html
- [5] Foale, M. (2003). The coconut odyssey: the bounteous possibilities of the tree of life. *Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research* 101, 132 pp.
- [6] Griffiths, R. (1984) The problem of coconut mite, *Eriophyes guerreronis* (Keifer), in the coconut groves of Trinidad and Tobago. In:Webb, R., Knausenberger,W. &Yotema, L. (eds) *Proceedings of the 20th Annual Meeting of the Caribbean Food Crops Society.* East Caribbean Center, College of the Virgin Islands and Caribbean Food Crops Soc., St. Croix, Virgin Islands, pp. 128–132.
- [7] Hameed Khan, H., Sujatha, S. & Shivpuje, P.R. (2003) regionally different strategies in managing eriophyid mite. In: Singh, H.P. & Rethinam, P. (eds) Coconut Eriophyid Mite Issues and Strategies - Proceedings of the International Workshop on Coconut Mite. CDB, Kochi, pp. 89–96.
- [8] Haq, M.A. (2007) Coconut mite tragedy in peninsular India. In: Morales-Malacara, J.B., Behan-Pelletier, V., Ueckermann, E., Perez, T.M., Estrada-Venegas, E.G., & Badii, M. (eds) *Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Acarology*, Merida, pp. 427–432.
- [9] Haq, M.A. (1999) Coconut mite threat in Kerala. *Journal of Acarology*, 14,58–63.
- [10] Haq, M.A. & Sobha, T.R. (2010) Weight loss of copra due to infestation of Aceria guerreronis. In: Sabelis, M.W. & Bruin, J. (eds) Proceedings of the 12th International Congress of Acarology, Amsterdam, pp. 509–510.
- [11] Haq, M.A. & Sumangala, K. (2001) Infestation of Aceria guerreronis and premature nut fall in coconut. Entomon, 26, 357–359.
- [12] Hernández, R.F. (1977) Combate químico del eriófido del coctero Aceria (Eriophyes) guerreronis (K.) en la Costa de Guerrero. Agricultura Técnica en México, 4, 23–38.
- [13] Julia, J.F. & Mariau, D. (1979) Nouvelles recherches en Cote-d'Ivoire sur *Eriophyes guerreronis* K., acarine ravageur des nois due cocotier. *Oleagineux*, 34, 181– 189.
- [14] Kannaiyan, S., Rabindra, R.J., Ramaraju, K. & Doraiswamy, S. (2002) *Integrated management of eriophyid mite on coconut*. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, pp. 22.
- [15] Keifer, H.H. (1965) Eriophyid studies. B-14. Bureau Entomology, California Department of Agriculture, Sacramento, pp. 20.

- [16] Mallik, B., Chinnamade Gowda, C., Jayappa, J., Guruprasad, H. & Onkarappa, S. (2003), Coconut eriophyid mites in India: Issues and strategies. In: Singh, H.P. & Rethinam, P. (eds) Coconut Eriophyid Mite Issues and Strategies - Proceedings of the International Workshop on Coconut Mite. CDB, Kochi, pp. 27–34.
- [17] Mariau, D. (1977). *Aceria (Eriophyes) guerreronis* Keifer: an important pest of African and American coconut groves. *Oléagineux* 32: 109-111.
- [18] Muralidharan, K., Mathew, J., Thamban, C., Amarnath, C.H., Kumari, P.A., Mohan, C., Vijayakumar, K., Sairam, C.V., Nair, C.P.R. & Arulraj, S. (2001) Pilot sample survey on the incidence and yield loss due to eriophyid mite on coconut in Alappuzha district. *Indian Coconut Journal*, 32, 28–32.
- [19] Nair, G.M., Mathew, T.B., Saradamma, K. & Beevi, N. (2002) Infestation of coconut mite (*Aceria guerreronis*) in the southern Indian State, Kerala. In: Fernando, L.C.P., Moraes, G.J. de & Wickramananda, I.R (eds) *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Coconut Mite (Aceria guerreronis)*. Coconut Research Institute, Lunuwila, pp. 32–40.
- [20] Ramaraju K, Natarajan K, Sundara Babu PC, Palalnisamy S, Rabindra RJ (2002) Studies on coconut eriophyid mite, *Aceria guerreronis* Keifer in Tamil Nadu, India. In: Fernando LCP, de Moraes GJ, Wickramananda IR (eds) Proceedings of the International Workshop on the Coconut Mite (*Aceria guerreronis*). Coconut Research Institute, Sri Lanka, 6-8 January 2000, pp. 13-31.
- [21] Rethinam, P. (2003) International efforts on coconut eriophyid mite (Aceria guerreronis Keifer) problem – An overview. In: Singh, H.P. & Rethinam, P. (eds) Coconut Eriophyid Mite Issues and Strategies – Proceedings of the International Workshop on Coconut Mite. CDB, Kochi, pp. 10–21.
- [22] Sathiamma, B., Nair, C.P.R. & Koshy, P.K. (1998) Outbreak of a nut infesting eriophyid mite *Eriophyes* guerreronis (K.) in coconut plantations in India. *Indian* Coconut Journal, 29, 1–3.
- [23] Singh, H.P. (2003) Key note address. In: Singh, H.P. & Rethinam, P. (eds) Coconut Eriophyid Mite Issues and Strategies - Proceedings of the International Workshop on Coconut Mite. CDB, Kochi, pp. 122–133.
- [24] Trum Hunter, B. (2011). How a PR Campaign Led to Unhealthy Diets. In:
- [25] http://www.coconutresearchcenter.org/article10027.htm
- [26] Zuluaga, C.I. & Sanchez, P.-A. (1971) La roña o escoriación de los frutos del cocotero (*Cocos nucifera* L.) en Colombia. *Oleagineux*, 26, 767–770.