Study of Some Anthropogenic Activities with pace of Biodiversity Threat or Menace in Sariska Tiger Reserve

Anil Kumar Dular

Department of Environmental Science, Maharaja Ganga Singh University, N.H 15, Jaisalmer Road, Bikaner, Rajasthan 334004, India

Abstract: The Sariska tiger reserve in Aravallis has its own importance and specific characteristics endowed with unique biodiversity. In the present study an attempt has been made to ascertain status of plant and animal species which is the healthy indicator for forest ecosystem. Attention is focused on one of the important reserve forest of state of Rajasthan with pace of their endemism and facing number of challenges in this reserve. Out of them the serious and cause concern are tourism, mining, human habitation, exotic or invasion of alien species, the encroachment, livestock grazing, agriculture grazing camps (Gwadas), loping for the collection of wood, is a serious problem and threat to biodiversity of the reserve area which is elaborated by several studies on various protected areas of India and World like Anon, 1989, Agarwal, 2000, Andren, 1994, Andrew, 1990, Beier, Noss, 1998, Bennett 1991Brody, and Pelton 1989, Garcia. and Scatena, 1994Forman, and Alexander, 1998 McKinney and Michael, 2002, Zube, and Busch, 1990. The menace and threat to due to anthropogenic activities is one of cause concern and severe impact on the pristine biodiversity.

Keywords: Biodiversity, Sariska tiger reserve, Aravallis, Anthropogenic activities, Menace and Threat

1. Introduction

According to the Champion and Seth (1968) the forest of Aravalli region falls under the broad category of Tropical Dry forests. Study area the "Sariska Tiger reserve" (74°14' to 76° 34' N and 25° 5' to 27° 3' E) is situated in the Aravalli hill range and lies in the semi-arid part of Rajasthan (Rodgers and Panwar, 1988). It became a wild life sanctuary in 1955 and Tiger reserve in 1982. According to Department of Forest, Government of Rajasthan the total area of the Sariska Tiger Reserve is 866 sq.km, of which 302.2 sq. km. is buffer zone and 497.8 sq.km is core zone. Sariska core zone is comprised of three isolated; pockets: Core-I (273.8 sq.km), II (126.5 sq.km.) and III (97.5 sq.km). The status of the Core I has been notified as a National park in 1982. Sariska is undulating to hilly and has numerous narrow valleys. Kiraska and Kankwari plateau and two large lakes Mansarovar and Somsagar. Silisad lake is situated just along the north eastern boundary of the reserve. The altitude of Sariska varies from 540 to 777 meters. The vegetation of Sariska correspond to Northern tropical dry deciduous forests (sub group 5 B; 5/E I and 5/E2) and Northern tropical thorn forest (Sub Group 6 B) (Champion and Seth, 1968). The forest being scattered and sparse over a large area on various geological and soil formation and vary greatly in composition. Sariska is very rich in biodiversity with wide spectrum of flora and ample of wild life. The main economically valuable species are dhok (Anogeissus pendula) salar (Boswellia serrata), khair (Acacia catechu), strictus), dhak bamboos (Dendrocalamus (Butea monosperma), kair (Capparis decidua), ber (Zizyphus mauritiana) with having lot of ground flora comprised of shrubs, herbs, grasses and sedges etc. The forests being scattered and sparse over a large area on various geological and soil formations, vary greatly in composition. In the valleys where better soil and moisture conditions exist, the vegetation is comparatively denser. Anogeissus pendula is the dominant tree species, covering over 90 percent area of the forests. Boswellia serrata and Lannea coromandelica grow on rocks and dry slopes. Acacia catechu is common in valleys, where *Dendrocalamus strictus* is extremely limited and are found along well drained reaches of the streams and moist and cooler parts of the hills. The trees are generally slow growing an attain poor height. Albizia lebbeck, *Diospyros melanoxylon, Syzygium cumini, Tamarindus indica* and *Ficus* spp. which are found in moist localities attain large size both in crown grows gregariously, where valleys fan out. and becoming flat and wide. A total number of 403 indigenous and naturalised plant species belonging to 271 genera under 86 families can be observed in Sariska Tiger Reserve.



Map of Seriske Tiger Reserve in Arocallis

2. Material and Methods

Personal observations were taken in the field by visiting the study area and its different landforms including core and buffer zone of the forest. It was a great help that the field staff of Sariska Tiger Reserve, Department of Forest, Government of Rajasthan was associated always in the field. Interview has been taken for counter check of their utility by local dwellers inside or outside the reserve. The impact on biodiversity visualized during intense survey, and photographs has been taken and their feasibility inside and outside the reserve were observed on the toposheet 54/A with help of line and vehicle transects and quantify these impact accordingly.

3. Result and Discussion

In the present study an attempt has been made to find out the current status of interaction between the environment existing biodiversity and anthropogenic activities. Results in this study includes information related to existing biodiversity in the given study area and various anthropogenic activities viz. tourism, mining activities, human settlements and habitation, grazing camps (Gwadas), loping for the collection of wood, livestock grazing, poaching, encroachment, introduction of exotic species, development of waterholes, and agriculture. Results associated with above activities are mentioned here in table a to p. During the tenure of this study several activities were observed affecting the existing biodiversity. Tourism and impact on Biodiversity: Tourism in reserved forest has been developing as an attractive proposal. A rapid race is going on among the countries for increasing earnings through tourism. However tourism is observed as a one of the factors affecting the environment and the biodiversity. Table(a) shows different places of the tourist attraction located within the Sariska Tiger Reserve. These are ranging from religious to historical monuments.Table(b) shows the number of tourists visited Sariska Tiger Reserve annually between 1991 to 2003. The number of tourists visited Sariska Tiger Reserve ranged between 15503 to 72972 in years 2000-01 and 1996-1997. During this study period the maximum tourist turn out was 59,438 in the year 2001 to 2002.It indicates that maximum pressure of tourism prevails during September to February for foreigner and nationals.

Table (a): Places of tourist attrac	tion in STR
-------------------------------------	-------------

Table (a). Thees of tourist attraction in STR							
Types of Anthropogenic activities	Places of Sariska Tiger Reserve	Inference					
Tourism and other disturbances	Pandupole temple, Talvriksh temple, Naraini mata temple Ajabgarh fort Bhangarh fort	Situated in the core zone of Sariska Tiger Reserve as well as in buffer zone of reserve forest area. Pressure of tourism intensified in core area I and core area II					



oit	(b). Shows the	list of the number of visitors
	Year	No. of visitors of STR
	1991-92	50509
	1992-93	58256
	1993-94	56310
	1994-95	58410
	1995-96	58442
	1996-97	72972
	1997-98	63962
	1998-99	56191
	1999-2000	54987
	2000-01	15503
	2001-02	59438

Source: Management plan and status paper of Sariska Tiger Reserve, 2002.

Table(c) includes the professional category of the tourist of domestic and international nature. Table (d) includes the purpose of domestic and international visitors in Sariska Tiger Reserve. Table (e) shows that number of visitors in different group size visited Sariska Tiger Reserve.

Table(c): Number and c	categories of	of tourists	(Domes	tic and Inter	rnational) i	n STR

Tourists	Services	Business	Doctors	Students	Agriculturist	Others	Total
Domestic	167 (33.3%)	143 (28.6)	16 (3.2)	48 (9.5)	63 (12.7)	63 (12.7)	500
International	44 (44)	6 (6)	0	6 (6)	0	44 (44)	100
	*Volues in perentheses represent percenters of total						

*Values in parentheses represent percentage of total

Tourist	Trekking	Wildlife	Educationa	Photography	Pilgrimage	Total
		viewing	l tour			
Domestic	159 (22.2%)	56 (7.8%)	32 (4.4%)	56 (7.8%)	413 (57.6%)	716
International	81 (41.7%)	38 (19.5%)	19 (9.7%)	25 (12.8%)	31 (15.9%)	194

Table (e): Number of the visitors in different group size at STR According to the feed back from departments pertaining to

Tourist	Single	2 to 4	5 to 8	9 to 12	>12	Total
Pilgrims	16	135	175	48	55	429
Nature tourist	8	87	48	16	24	183

Mining Activities and impact on Biodiversity: The Aravalli hills are reported to be one of the oldest reported hills so far.

According to the feed back from departments pertaining to mines and minerals both at state and national level, Aravallis are richest source of minerals and happened to be back bone of industries of a state. We have been witnessing disputes among people, environment and forest and mining department. This is basically because of lack of planning and unsustainability and under the circumstances ecological

balance is gradually losing. Biodiversity is gradually eroding. Eventually there is systematic erosion of genetic basis of usable species; Table (f) includes the major spots of mining in the study area. The buffer zone of Sariska Tiger Reserve protected areas have 128 leased areas of limestone, slatestone, marble, iron, copper, granite, quartzite, shales, barytes and masonary stones.Table (g) includes the name of the forest blocks and number of the mining leases and status of the forest area.

Table-(f):	Maior	spots	of mining	in	the STR
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	spore	or mining	111	the bitte

	<u> </u>	8
Anthropogen	Places of Mining in	Status / occurrence
ic Activity	Sariska Tiger Reserve	inference
1. Mining	Jaganathpura	Mining blocks are
activities	Nagalhedi	situated in the buffer
	Lakhedi	zone of Sariska Tiger
	Kalwar	Reserve protected area.
	Palpur	Total lease area are 128
	Baldeogarh	for various minerals like,
	Malana	limestone, slate stone,
	Jhiri	marble, iron, granite,
	Tehla	quartzite, shale, barytes
	Dabkan	and masanory stone
	Khoh/Dariba	2
	Gordhanpura	
	Khokalwad	

 Table (g): List of mining leased areas located in the forests

 blocks of STP

			D10	CKS OF STR
	Name of the	Number of	f mining	Status of forest
	forest blocks	leas	es	
		Completely	Partially	
1.	Jaganathpura	36	05	Protected area (Buffer zone)
2.	Nagalhedi	04	-	Protected area (Buffer zone)
3.	Lekadi	01	-	Protected area (Buffer zone)
4.	Kalwar	53	22	Protected area (Buffer zone)
5.	Palpur	08	07	Protected area (Buffer zone)
6.	Baldeogarh	75	03	Protected area (Buffer zone)
7.	Mallana	38	06	Protected area (Buffer zone)
8.	Jhiri	04	04	Protected area (Buffer zone)
9.	Gordhanpura	04	-	Protected area (Buffer zone)
10.	Tilwar	-	03	Protected area (Buffer zone)

Human settlement and impact on Biodiversity:In terms of ecology the social scientist consider those human being living within the reserved forest as ecosystem people. Ecosystem people don't disturb the ecological balance. They have been living in harmony with the flora, fauna and the environment; hence biodiversity remains unchallenged and unthreatened rather safer in the presence of ecosystem people. But in the present study area it was observed that the number of human settlements within the reserved forest area is higher than the sustainability limits. Table (h) includes places of the major settlement within Sariska tiger Reserve. Table (i) the villages referred on human settlement in Sariska Tiger Reserve can be observed on Toposheet. Table (j) and (k) shows the list of revenue villages and forest villages.
 Table (h): Places of the major settlement within Sariska

	Tiger Reser	ve
Type of	Places in Sariska	Status inference
Anthropogenic	Tiger Reserve	
activities		
Human	Kankwari	Associated settlement in
settlement and	Amara ka bas	core area I and its buffer
habitation	Thanaghazi	zone of protected area
	Umri	_
	Kiraska	
	Baleta	
	Prithvipura	
	Nandu	
	Madhogarh	
	Karna Ka Bas	
	Indok	
	Kalakhora	
	Talbriksh	
	Balmudiyawas	
	Duharmala	
	Naldeshwar	
	Bhrathari, Rotkyala	
	and Udainath	
Human	Chandol	Associated settlement in
settlement and	Gopalpura	core area IInd and its buffer
habitation	Kalikhol	zone of protected areas
incontactori	Binak	Lone of protected areas
	Jhir	
	Raika and	
	Talbrikrh	
Human	Serawas	Eleven major habitat of
settlement and	Bandipul	human settlement are
habitation	Rundh	situated in the core area of
	Dulawa	Sariska Tiger Reserve.
	Bhagani	Only one Karna ka bas is
	Umri	completely displaced.
	Kankwari	Four villages like Bhagani
	Kirashka	32.4 hectare, Umri 88.4
	Haripura	hectare, Kankwari 187
	Alguwal	hectare, Kiraska 152
	Karna ka bas	hectare will be displaced
	Sukhola	soon as per order of
	Ajabgarh and	Hon'ble Highcourt of
	Gola ka bas	Rajasthan.
Human	Bhangarh	Associated villages in core
settlement and	Naraini	area III and its buffer zone
habitation	Kharit ka bas	of protected area
macration	Dhiroda	or protototo urou
	Poata	
	Sili bawari	
	Khoh	
	Haripura	
	Garh	
	Nilkanth and	
	Dabkan	
	DaUKali	

 Table (i): Includes the list of villages shown on the toposheets

	54A/6,	A/7, A/8,A/11
Name of villages	Zone	Status
Bharthari	Buffer	Revenue
Nahar sati temple	Buffer	Revenue
Ramsara	Buffer	Forest
Nilkanth	Buffer	Revenue
Mandalwas	Buffer	Revenue
Manyawala	Buffer	Revenue
Khairit ka bas	Buffer	Revenue
Naraini ji temple	Buffer	Forest
Nathusar	Buffer	-
Khoh	Buffer	-

Berawas	Buffer	Forest
Raika	Buffer	Revenue
Nawal ki dhani	Buffer	Revenue
Khawas bawari	Buffer	Revenue
Lalpura	Buffer	Revenue
Serawas	Buffer	Forest
Talbriksh	Buffer	-
Indok chota	Buffer	Revenue
Guarah charalu	Buffer	Revenue
Sherond	Core I	Forest
Haripura	Core I	Forest
Lilunda	Core I	Forest
Rotkala	Core I	Forest
Kund	Core I	Forest
Esala	Core I	Forest
Pandupole	Core I	Forest
Slopka	Core I	Forest
Alguwal	Core I	Forest
Kalighati	Core I	Forest
Pilapani	Core I	Forest
Bakala	Core I	Revenue
Gawara	Core I	Revenue
Panidal	Core II	Forest
Dulab	Core II	Forest

 Table (j): List of Revenue villages in Sariska Tiger Reserve

Name of villages	Approximate number of peoples
Madhogarh	1065
Kushalgarh	350
Indok	1213
Kala chhara	174
Nagalhedi	380
Bairawas	417
Duharmala	370
Rekhamala	150
Kundal ka bas	135
Karna ka bas	NA
Kaniyawas	NA
Mithrawat	175
Rajor	655
Garh	121
Umri deori	375
Kiraska	347
Ryotwala	165

Table (k): List of Forest villages in Sariska Tiger Reserve

Name of villages	Approximate number of peoples
Kankwari	225
Umri	375
Haripura	125
Bhagani	415
Lilunda	NA
Sukola	175
Sheronds	NA
Rotkala	NA
Siliberi	453
Pilapani	392

Grazing camps and impact on Biodiversity: State of Rajasthan very often experiences drought condition and during the drought period wildlife faces severe starvation tragedy which sometimes leads to large scale morality. During such phases Non Governmental Organisation, local people and department of forest has been to shouldering the responsibilities of saving the lives of wildlife and hence grazing camps are organized very often. This phenomenon is refered as Gwadas . Then these livestock's in turn was observed to be grazing in the forest and damaging biodiversity.

Table (I): Gwadas during the study period observed in
Sariska Tiger Reserve.

Bullska Hger Reserve.		
Types of Anthropogenic Activities/Associated	Places of Sariska Tiger Reserve	Inference
Grazing camps/Gwadas	Kanakwari Umri Haripura Lilunda Sukola Rotkala Slopka	All these villages are situated in core area I The main occupation of the peoples are animal husbandary for that they have to collect dry biomass in the form of grazing camps

Cultivation and impact on Biodiversity: Several surveys and field visits were conducted to find out the impact of cultivation on biodiversity in the study area. Agriculture is one of the known anthropogenic activities affecting negatively the existing biodiversity. The damage caused to biodiversity due to agriculture is severe than any other activities because the area cleared for cultivation fully clears even the seed banks. Thus the chances of regeneration of biodiversity are finished for once and all.

Table (m): List of major places of cultivation in Sariska
Tiger Reserve.

liger Reserve.			
Cultivation	Kirashka (Core area I)	Situated in buffer area	
	Buffer adjacent to core	of Sariska Tiger	
	area I	Reserve having	
	Indok	agriculture practices at	
	Umri	subsistence level.	
	Deori		
	Amara Ka Bas		
	Jodhawas		
	Kaniyawas		
	Rajor		
	Kushalgarh		
	Nandu		
	Prithvipura		
Cultivation	Madhogarh	Situated in buffer zone	
	Kalikhol	of core zone II.	
	Binak	Agriculture practices	
	Jhiri	at subsistence level	
	Akbarpur		
	Gopalpura		
	Duharmala		
	Bakhtpura		
	Dhehalwas		
	Kalyanpura		
	Talbriksh		
	Khar Gadi		
	Manawas		
	Baiyarwas		
	Nangalheri		
	Barah		
	Kalachhara		
Cultivation	Govindpura	Situated in protected	
	Bhikampura	area of Sariska Tiger	
	Ajabgarh	Reserve core zone III	
	Bhangarh	agriculture is quite	
	Dhiroda	intensive due to some	
	Dabkan	water harvesting units.	
	Khoh/Dariba	6	
J			

Kalwar	
Palpur	
Tilwar	
Dabla	
Baldeogarh	

Lopping for fuel wood and impact on Biodiversity: Lopping is identified as yet another activity affecting the existence of biodiversity. Mainly lopping is done for collection of fuelwood and fodder for the livestocks reared by local people. The process of lopping directly affects primary productivity of the area since the twigs are removed from the plants along with the leaves and leaves are the sites of photosynthesis. Major areas are affected by lopping for fuel wood and fodder mentioned in table (n).

Table (n): Major areas which are affected by lopping for
fuel wood and fodder collection

Lopping for fuel	Siliserh	Situated in buffer zone at
wood	Kalachhara	Sariska Tiger Reserve
	Udainath	shows intensive illegal
	Umri	lopping
	Nandu	
	Tehla	
	Dabkan	
	Kanyawas	
	Kalawad	
	Rajor	
	Garh	
	Ajabgarh	
Fodder collection	Haripura	Inside the core area of
	Umri	Sariska Tiger Reserve,
	Lilunda	collects dry fodder
	Sukola	biomass to develop
	Rotkala	camps. So the
	Alguwal	regeneration of forest is
	Kankwari	very poor and in scattered
	Kirashka	form

Grazing by Livestocks and impact on Biodiversity: Grazing is reported to be an activity which has potential to damage biodiversity. The existence of livestock is directly associated with human population. In the present study during the field visits it was observed that intensive grazing is done at several place in the Sariska Tiger Reserve. If the due to increase number in livestocks more than the carrying capacity, biodiversity is affected in irreversible manner.

Table (o): Area with intensive grazing by livestock in Sariska Tiger Reserve Live stock Kirashka Intensive grazing occurs around Kankwari surrounding area of protected grazing In situ areas. Degraded barren land Umri Haripura occurs. Deori Serawas Bandipul Rundh Dulawa Bhagani Alguwal Live stock Madhogarh Situated in and adjacent to the grazing In situ Kushalgarh buffer zone of Sariska Tiger Bani Talvriksh Reserve represent degraded Todi Nirjan forest due to grazing of Kirawas livestocks Jodhawas Kala Chhara Indok Raika

Introduction of Exotic Species and impact on Biodiversity: Climax community of a given area is a result of interaction, interdependence, and interrelations between the living organism and their respective environment among the populations of the climax community over a long period of time. Thus there is a close intimacy between the species and their respective habitat to the extent that some of the species become endemic to the given area. This is the characteristic feature of specific habitat that they are harbouring large number of endemic species per hectare. It is quite unfortunate that there is systematic removal of indigenous species. They are not allowed to regenerate due to formation of approach roads and agricultural activities. The barren land created due to elimination of indigenous species is covered by exotic species. It is advocated that exotic species are fast growing and producing fuel wood. As a matter of fact introduction of exotic species exerts pressure allelopathic and allelochemically on soil and ultimately on indigenous species. During the field surveys in the study area a number of exotic species were observed spreaded in different places in Sariska Tiger Reserve.

	1	0
Type of Anthropogenic pressure	Places in Sariska Tiger Reserve	Inference
Introduction of Exotic weed like Adhatoda	Haripura in core zone I	Massive spread of exotic weeds in these areas
vasica		by the help of cultivated plants and domestic animals
Argemone maxicana	Karna Ka Bas	An obnoxious weeds spread out by the help of
	Bharathari	livestock grazing
	Slopka	
	Alguwal	
Lantana camara	Pandupol	Limited to moist wet area
Cassia tora and Parthenium sps.	Species found along the Nallas around	These species occurred by the help of the
		livestock grazing or fodder collection practices
	Talvriksh and adjoining areas	
	Almost entire Sariska and its adjoining	Prosopis juliflora is one of the most importat
Prosopis juliflora	area	afforestation species on Aravallis became an
		obnoxious weeds.

Table (p): Some of the exotic species observed in Sariska Tiger Reserve.

Introduction of disastrous epedemic diseases Dispersed location according to livestock Decreases in the number of herbivores, wild like Haemorrhagic septicaemia Foot and grazing like in Haripura, Kiraskha Umri, ungulates like chital, sambar, nilgai, etc. mouth diseases and Rinderpet Deori, Slopka etc.

4. Conclusion

In this study emphasis was laid on anthropogenic activities which effects the biodiversity of reserve inside and outside the Sariska Tiger Reserve .The study revealed that the loss of biodiversity of the study area due to anthropogenic activities viz. tourism, mining activities, human settlements and habitation, grazing camps (Gwadas), loping for the collection of wood, livestock grazing, poaching, encroachment, introduction of exotic species, development of waterholes, and agriculture like encroachment leads in habitat fragmentation and loss, which have impact on flora and fauna. These activities lead to dissociate the reserve area into patches and simultaneously the migration of fauna is restricted, which reflects in their biological clocks and feeding or breeding behavior. Due to the human interference in reserve will lead to deterioration the quality of air and noise pollution which culminate the behavioral condition of the wildlife in the reserve. So to regain the sacred and pristineness of the reserve no interference at any cost shall be advocated for that rehabilitation programes are on the way.

5. Acknowledgement

Author has deep sense of gratitude to his supervisor Director Indira Gandhi centre for Human Ecology and Population studies, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur for their able guidance during the research tenure and also thankful to Dept of forest, Government of Rajasthan and field director to Sariska and other staff members.

References

- [1] Agarwal, A., 2000. Adaptive management in transboundary protected areas: The Bialowiez National park and and Biosphere Reserve as a case study. Environmental conservation 27(4): 326-333.
- [2] Anon, 1989. Ecodevelopment plan or Sariska National park, Department of forest, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- [3] Andren, H.,1994. Effects of habitat fragmentation on birds and mammals in landscape with different proportions of suitable habitat: A review. Oikos 71: 355-366.
- [4] Andrew, A., 1990. Fragmentation of habitat by roads and utility of corridor : Review : Aust. Zool. 26 : 130-141.
- [5] Beier, P. and Noss, R.F, 1998. Do habitat corridors provide connectivity? Conservation Biology, 12 : 1241-1252.
- [6] Bennett, A.F., 1991. Roads, roadsides and wildlife conservation : A review. In 'Nature Conservation 2 : "The Role of corridors". (Eds. D.A. Saunders and R.J. Hobbs), pp. 99-118. (Surrey Beatty : Sydney)
- [7] Brody, A.J. and Pelton, M.R. (1989). Effects of roads on black beat movements in Western North Carolina. Wildlife Society Bulletin 17: 5-10.

- [8] Forman, R.T.T. and Alexander, L.E., 1998. Roads and their major ecological effects. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 29 : 207-231.
- [9] Garcia-Montiel, D.C. and Scatena, F.N., 1994. The effect of human activity on the structure and composition of a tropical forest in Puerto Rico. Forest Ecology and Management **63** : 57-78.
- [10] H.G. and Seth, S.K., 1968. A revised survey of the forest type of India. Government of India Press, Delhi, pp. 404.
- [11] Rodgers, W.A. and Panwar, H.S., 1988. Planing a wildlife protected area network in India Vol. I & II Wildlife Institute of Dehradun.
- [12] Rodgers, W.A., 1990. A preliminary ecological survey of Algual spring, Sariska Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan. Journal Bombay Natural. History Soc. 87(2): 201-210.
- [13] Rodgers, W.A., 1991. A preliminary ecological survey of Algual spring, Sariska Tiger Reserve Rajasthan. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 7 : 201-209.
- [14] Rodgers, W.A., 1991. The Management of protected area buffer zones for the maintenance of biodiversity. Int. J. Sustainable Development.
- [15] McKinney, L. Michael, 2002. Influence of settlement time, human population, park shape and age, visitation and road on the number of alien plant species in protected areas in the United States of America. Diversity and Distribution **8** : 311-318.
- [16] Zube., E.H. and Busch, M.L., 1990. Park-people relationships: an international review. Landscape and Urban Planning, **19**: 117-131.