VANET: A Survey on Secure Routing

Omkar Shete¹, Sachin Godse²

¹Sinhgad Academy of Engineering, Computer Engineering Department, Pune University, Maharashtra, India

²Professor, Sinhgad Academy of Engineering, Computer Engineering Department, Pune University, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: Vehicular Ad-Hoc Network is a sub branch of Mobile Ad-Hoc Network i.e. MANET. A VANET provides communication between vehicle to vehicles and vehicles to infrastructure. Now, road traffic activities are one of the most important daily routines. VANET provide us information that is required for better safety and driving. Security is major challenge in VANET. One of the major threats is Sybil attack, is a serious threat as they can affect the functionality of VANETs for the benefit of the attacker. The Sybil attack is the case where a single faulty entity, called a malicious node, can present or create multiple identities known as Sybil nodes or fake nodes. This paper detects and prevents the Sybil attack using new secure routing protocol. It is based on AODV protocol. Also it proven that multiple identities of fake node can create confusion in the VANET network or collapse entire network.

Keywords: VANET, MANET, ITS, Sybil attack, Routing protocols.

1. Introduction

"Vehicular Ad hoc Network" is a wireless network that formed by vehicles. VANET communications obtain in between vehicles to vehicles (V2V) and in between vehicle to roadside equipments or infrastructure (V2R/V2I). For improving the transportation systems security, safety and efficiency to required novel vehicular applications. Applications of transportation systems are generally referred as Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) (2013) [1]. VANET is one way to implement Intelligent Transportation System (ITS).It is a technique to give similar information and communication technology to transport infrastructure and vehicles. A VANET is a decentralized network in that every node performs the functions of both host and router. The main benefit of VANET communication is transferring secure information between vehicles.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with VANET, Section 3 on Challenges on VANET, Section 4 on Routing protocols in VANET, Section 5 gives a comparison of the various secure routing protocols, Section 6 gives Future scope and Section 7 concludes the paper.

2. VANET

2.1 VANET's and MANET's

Now a day's VANET's and MANET's are new emerging technologies. VANETs and MANETs provide us common features such as the movement, self organization and self-management of information in a distributed fashion. Although VANETs share common characteristics with MANETs, VANETs have distinctive features that impact the design of communication systems, protocols, and applications. Their analysis is presented in Table 1 (2013) [1].

Table 1: Comparison of VANET and MANET					
Parameter	MANET	VANET			
Cost of production	Cheap	Expensive			
Change in topology	Slow	Very fast			
Mobility	Low	High			
Node density	Sparse	Dense and frequently			
		variable			
Bandwidth	100 kbps	1000 kbps			
Range	Up to 100 m	Up to 500 m			
Node lifetime	Depends on power	Depends on the lifetime			
	resource	of vehicle			
Multihop routing	Available	Weakly available			
Moving pattern of	Random	Regular			
nodes					
Position acquisition	Using ultrasonic	Using GPS, Radar, etc.			

2.2 Communication in VANET

VANET communication can be categorized into intervehicular communication and vehicle to infrastructure communication (2013) [1]. The first mode refers communication in which vehicles communicate with each other via wireless technology, also referred to as Vehicle-to-Vehicle communication (V2V) as shown in Figure 1. As Figure 1 shows when a vehicle breaks down, immediately, the vehicle begins the information distribution process using the broadcast communication mode. In V2V, re-transmit the message from near vehicle when communication broken down. In this way vehicles are notified and can take alternative routes, avoiding a possible problem of traffic congestion. The second mode refers to communication between Vehicle-to -Infrastructure (V2I) or Vehicle to Roadside (V2R). V2I is the direct wireless exchange of relevant information between vehicles and the communication units placed on the side of roads and avenues as shown in Figure 2. In V2I, re-transmit the message from nearest fixed infrastructure when communication broken down to identify the problem. The base station notifies the vehicles that are within its coverage area about the problem identified. At the same time, the base station could begin the inter-roadside communication process to extend the area of coverage. In this way vehicles further away are notified and

can take alternative routes, avoiding a potential problem of traffic congestion.



3. Challenges in VANET

VANET is working on Wireless network there must require communication between vehicles for providing information like emergency break and other. It is necessary to give security when communication between vehicles and need to detect fake nodes (2011) [3]-(2007) [4]

3.1 Types of Attacks in VANET

Bogus Information: Attackers can send wrong or incorrect information in the network so that it can affect the behavior of other drivers.

Denial of Service (DOS): Here, Attacker wants to bring down the network by sending unnecessary messages on the communication channel. DOS attack can occur by jamming the channel system so that no authentic vehicle can access the channel.

Sybil Attack: In this type of attack, the attacker uses different identities at the same time. These identities can be used to play different type of attack in the system. Also these false identities create an illusion that there are additional vehicles on the road. It provides illusion to other vehicle by sending some wrong messages like traffic jam message.

Black Hole: In this type of attack a node refuses to participate in the network or when an established node drops out to form a black hole. In this whole traffic of the network get redirected towards a specific node which is actually doesn't exists which results in data lost.

Alteration Attack: This attack happens when attacker alters an existing data, it includes delaying the transmission of the information, replaying earlier transmission, or altering the actual entry of the data transmitted.

4. Routing protocols in VANET

In VANET highly challenging tasks is to transporting information from one vehicle to another or all vehicles

within specified area. There are several routing protocols defined to transporting information (2014) [2], (2012) [5]. In VANET, the routing protocols are classified as:

4.1 Topology Based Routing Protocols

This routing protocol uses links information for sending packets from source to destination. They are further classified as:

- a) **Proactive routing protocols:** Here routing information is maintained in the background irrespective of communication requests; like next forwarding hop. There is no route discovery since the destination route is stored in the background. It provides low latency for real time application.
- b) **Reactive/Ad hoc based routing:** It opens route only when it is necessary for communication between nodes. Reactive routing consists of route discovery phase in which the query packets are flooded into the network for the path search and this phase completes when route is found.
- c) **Hybrid Protocols:** It is combination of proactive and Reactive protocol. It introduced to reduce the control overhead of proactive routing protocols and decrease the initial route discovery delay in reactive routing protocols.

4.2 Position Based Routing Protocols

Position based routing is also called geographic routing. In these protocol each node must knows own current location. Source node sends packet or message to destinations geographic location without using of network address.

4.3 Cluster Based Routing Protocols

Cluster based routing is based on cluster. Cluster is a group of nodes. One of them is designed to cluster head to broadcast the packets into cluster. It provides good scalability for huge networks but it incurred the network delays and overhead when forming cluster.

4.4 Broadcast Based Routing Protocols

In certain applications, the host has to send packets to many or all other hosts. Sending a packet to all destinations at a time is called Broadcasting. This broadcast based routing protocols used in VANET for sharing weather, traffic, emergency and road conditions among all the vehicles.

5. Secure Routing Protocols

In VANET network number of routing protocols as well as number of secure routing protocols available. Secure routing protocols analysis is shown in Table 2.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2013): 4.438

	muex cope		
	alysis of the various secur	e routing pr	otocols
Protocol			
Attack &	Strength	Weakness	Future
Parameters			Scope
affected			
SEAD.	 Lightweight secure 	1. It does	1. Propose
2008.[6]	routing protocol.	not prevent	a secure
DoS.	2. They avoid asymmetric	an attacker	routing
Scalability,	cryptography to protect	from	protocol
mobility or	against DoS attack and to	tampering	with the
capability of	overcome limited CPU	other fields	least time
Packets	processing capability.	or from	cost.
Delivery	3. Used efficient one-way	using the	
Ratio,	Hash functions to provide	learned	
End-to end	authentication for both the	metric and	
Delay,	sequence number and	sequence	
Control	metric field in each routing	number to	
Overhead.	entry.	send new	
		routing	
		updates.	
SRP.	1. Low overhead.	1. Not	1. It would
2002.[7]	2.Capable of operating	handle	be
DoS and	without the existence of an	Wormhole	interesting
Black hole.	on-line certification	attacks.	to
Packets	authority or the complete	However, it	investigate
Delivery	knowledge of keys of all	can	whether the
Ratio,	network nodes.	nevertheless	use of soft
End-to end	3. The protocol introduces a		state at
Delay.	set of features, such as the	them.	intermediat
Delay.	requirement that the query	ulem.	e nodes
			would
	verifiably arrives at the		further
	destination, the explicit		
	binding of network and		contribute
	routing layer functionality,		to the
	the consequent verifiable		protocol
	return of the query response		efficiency
	over the reverse of the		in a non-
	query propagation route,		benign
	the acceptance of route		environmen
	error messages only when		t.
	generated by nodes on the		
	actual route, the query/reply		
	identification by a dual		
	identifier, the replay		
	protection of the source and		
	destination nodes and the		
	regulation of the query		
	propagation.		
Ariadne.	1. Ariadne provides point-	1. It is very	
2005.[8]	to-point authentication of a		
DoS.	routing message using a	immune to	
Packet	message authentication	Worm Hole	-
Delivery	code (MAC) and a shared	attacks	
Ratio, Packet	key between the pair of	through	
Overhead,	communicating nodes.	clock	
Byte		synchronizat	
Overhead,		ion between	
Mean		nodes, but	
Latency,		not in all.	
Path			
Optimality			
ARAN.	1. It introduces	1. Does not	1. Areas in
2010.[9]	authentication, message	have any	secure ad
Packets	integrity and non-	mechanism	hoc
Delivery	repudiation to an ad hoc	that deals	network
Ratio,	environment as a part of a	with black	routing that
End-to end	minimal security policy.	hole attack,	have been
Delay.	2. The route maintenance is	wormhole	explored
			1

done through special error	attack,	are trust
messages.	Denial of	establishme
3. It prevents impersonation	service	nt, key
attacks by providing end-to-	attack.	generation,
end and hop-to-hop	2.ARAN	nodes that
authentication of route	does not	maliciously
discovery & reply	guarantee a	do not
messages.	shortest	forward
-	path, but	packets,
	offers a	and security
	quickest	requirement
	path	s for
	_	forwarding
		nodes.
1. It uses a central key	1.It requires	1. Evaluate
management in its routing	heavyweight	the
topology.	asymmetric	behavior of
2. Digital signatures are	cryptographi	SAODV
used to authenticate at node	c operations	and of the
level and hash chain is used	2.This gets	proposed
to prevent the altering of	worse when	optimizatio
node counts.	the double	ns under
3. Includes cryptographic	signature	attack.
operations that can have a	mechanism	
significant impact on	is used	
performance.		
	messages. 3. It prevents impersonation attacks by providing end-to- end and hop-to-hop authentication of route discovery & reply messages. 1. It uses a central key management in its routing topology. 2. Digital signatures are used to authenticate at node level and hash chain is used to prevent the altering of node counts. 3. Includes cryptographic operations that can have a significant impact on	messages.Denial of3. It prevents impersonation attacks by providing end-to- end and hop-to-hop authentication of route discovery & reply messages.Denial of service attack.2. ARAN does not guarantee a shortest path, but offers a quickest path3. It requires heavyweight asymmetric1. It uses a central key management in its routing topology.1. It requires heavyweight asymmetric cryptographi coperations that can have a significant impact on2. ARAN does not guarantee a shortest path, but offers a quickest path

6. Future Scope

We studied different existing routing protocols in VANET. Most of the routing protocols not consider security in message forwarding or in communication. Few of secure routing protocols are exist but it having some limitations or drawbacks. There are different types of attack can be easily occurred. One of the major threats is Sybil attack. Sybil attack can easily occurred into that protocols and these attack can launch another different types of attacks. Now we are considering, designing and implementation of new routing protocol as a future scope from this study. In this new protocol, each node in VANET must have unique identity in the route table to identify the node is original node or fake node. This protocol can easily detect and prevent Sybil attack in VANET. This new protocol can help to improve performance of VANET.

7. Conclusion

Secure data forwarding is one of the important challenges in VANET. If message forwarding is not secure it can cause fake messages delivery by malicious nodes, misguiding nodes in the network. This may cause accidents or traffic on road. After studying different routing protocols in VANET we found that most of the routing protocols are not providing security for data transmission. Instead of providing separate technique for attack detection and prevention we can provide in routing protocols itself it improve performance of VANET. This new routing protocol will be considered for designing for Sybil attack. This new routing protocol which will provide unique identity to each node in its route table. Then this new secure routing protocol can easily identify fake node or original node. Also it detects and prevents Sybil attack and gives high performance than other.

References

- [1] J.A. Guerrero-Ibáñez, C. Flores-Cortés, and Sherali Zeadally, "Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks (VANETs): Architecture, Protocols and Applications", Computer Communications and Networks © Springer-Verlag London 2013.
- [2] Hemlata Chaudhary, "A Review of Topology based Routing Protocols for Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks", International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering ISSN: 2277 128X, Volume 4, Issue 2, February 2014,Page 142-147.
- [3] Mina Rahbari and Mohammad Ali Jabreil Jamali , "Efficient Detection Of Sybil Attack Based On Cryptography In Vanet", International Journal of Network Security & Its Applications (IJNSA), Vol.3, No.6, November 2011, PP 185- 195.
- [4] M. Raya and JP. Hubaux, "Securing Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks", Journal of Computer Security 15 (2007), PP 39–68.
- [5] Mushtak Y. Gadkari and Nitin B. Sambre, "VANET : Routing Protocols, Security Issues and Simulation Tools", IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering (IOSRJCE) ISSN: 2278-0661 Volume 3, Issue 3 (July-Aug. 2012), PP 28-38.
- [6] Wei-Shen Lai, Chu-Hsing Lin, Jung-Chun Liu, Yen-Lin Huang, Mei-Chun Chou, "I-SEAD: A Secure Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks", International Journal of Multimedia Ubiquitous Engineering, Vol. 3, No. 4, October, 2008, PP 45-54.
- [7] Panagiotis Papadimitratos and Zygmunt J. Haas, "Secure Routing for Mobile Ad hoc Networks", In Proceedings of the SCS Communication Networks and Distributed Systems Modeling and Simulation Conference (CNDS 2002), San Antonio, TX, January 27-31, 2002.
- [8] yih-chun hu and adrian perrig, "Ariadne: A Secure On-Demand Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc", Wireless Networks 11, 21–38, 2005,@2005 Springer Science + Business Media, Inc. Manufactured in The Netherlands, PP 21-38.
- [9] Seema Mehla, Seema Mehla and Preeti Nagrath, "Analyzing security of Authenticated Routing Protocol (ARAN)", (IJCSE) International Journal on Computer Science and Engineering Vol. 02, No. 03, 2010, 664-668,PP 664-668.
- [10] Alekha Kumar Mishra and Bibhu Dutta Sahoo, "A Modified Adaptive-Saodv Prototype For Performance Enhancement In Manet", International Journal Of Computer Applications In Engineering, Technology And Sciences (Ij-Ca-Ets), ISSN: 0974-3596 | April '09 – September '09 | Volume 1: Issue 2, PP 443-447.