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Abstract: **Aim:** The aim of the study is to evaluate the efficacy of different decalcifying agent used to decalcify bone. Bone is the strongest part in the human. Two types of bones are seen cancellous bone and compact bone. Demonstration of bone is quite difficult than any other tissues. To obtain satisfactory paraffin or celloidin of bone inorganic calcium must be removed from the organic collagen matrix, cartilage and surrounding tissue. Materials and methods: All the samples collected were from the human mandible fixed in neutral buffered formalin for 24 hrs. Cut into pieces ranging from 2cm in size. The samples were decalcified using 10% formic acid, 5% nitric acid, neutral EDTA, HCL,5%,nitric + EDTA . Results: For 5% nitric acid the initial decalcification started on 9th day, for HCL on 14th day, formic acid on 25th day and 5% nitric acid +EDTA on 22nd day, neutral EDTA on 45th day.(graph1) The end point of decalcification for 5% nitric acid was 25days, HCl was 34days, 5% nitric acid +EDTA was 46 days, 10%formic acid was 47days, for neutral EDTA was 61 days. Conclusion: According to our study among the comparison of the five decalcifying agents formic acid proves to be the best with moderate time for decalcification and with ribboning of sections, good nuclear staining and minimal edema.
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1. Introduction

Bone is the strongest part in the human. Two types of bones are seen cancellous bone and compact bone. Demonstration of bone is quite difficult than any other tissues. To obtain satisfactory paraffin or celloidin of bone inorganic calcium must be removed from the organic collagen matrix, cartilage and surrounding tissue. This is called decalcification and is carried out by chemical agents either with calcium salts or with chelating agents that bind to calcium ions. Decalcifying bone is an ongoing challenging aspect of clinical, veterinary pathology and histology research laboratories. The type of decalcifying agent used depends on the factors like urgency of case, degree of mineralization, extent of investigation, and staining techniques required [1]. Thus, Stevens et al [12] reported that the fixation process involves a series of chemical events that differ depending on the tissues to be preserved, and have as the main objective to avoid autolysis of the specimens.

Rapid decalcifiers effect staining. The reason for his is soft tissues are not exposed to acids as in the case of bone. In such cases the acidity of solution increases and the staining is affected in the cell nucleus which lead to the failure of nuclear chromatin to take up hemotoxylin and other basic dyes. Decalcifying agents are classified into the following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Proprietary</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Weak</th>
<th>Chelating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decalifiers</td>
<td>Decalifiers</td>
<td>Inorganic A</td>
<td>Organic Acids</td>
<td>Agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) RAPID eg:HCL</td>
<td>Nitric Acid, HCL</td>
<td>Formic Acid Acetic Acid Picric Acid</td>
<td>EDTA (ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) SLOW- eg:Formalin/ Formic Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study we had compared the time taken ,quality of staining, sectioning difficulty, structural destruction in decalcifying a 2cm bone using a slow proprietary decalifier (10% formic acid) Rapid (HCL), strong inorganic acid (5% nitric acid), chelating agent (EDTA), and a combination of strong inorganic acid and chelating agent(5% nitric acid and neutral EDTA).

2. Materials and Methods

All the samples collected were from the human mandible fixed in neutral buffered formalin for 24 hrs. Cut into pieces ranging from 2cm in size. The samples were decalcified using 10% formic acid, 5% nitric acid, neutral EDTA, HCL,5%,nitric + EDTA .Each day all the 5 decalcifying solutions were chemically tested with ammonium oxalate for the procedure of calcium and the tissues were removed from the decalcifying agent rinse with running water and placed in afresh change of decalcifying solution. Bubble test was done to find the progress of decalcification. The end point of decalcification was tested by radiographs and comparing it with the radiograph before decalcification. (Fig 1).

3. Results

For 5% nitric acid the initial decalcification started on 9th day, for HCL on 14th day, formic acid on 25th day and 5%nitric acid +EDTA on 22nd day, neutral EDTA on 45th day.(graph1) The end point of decalcification for 5% nitric acid was 25days, HCl was 34days, 5 % nitric acid +EDTA was 46 days, 10%formic acid was 47days,for neutral EDTA was 61 days.(graph 2).Regarding the sectioning difficulties we graded I-ribboning,II-ribboning but not easy, III-discontinuous ribboning,IV-crumbling.5% nitric acid was graded as 1,HCL was graded as I, neutral EDTA was graded as IV,10% formic acid as II,5% nitric acid and EDTA as III.(graph3). Staining quality was poor for 5% nitric acid with high tissue swelling, and weakly stained nuclei where as 10% formic acid shows minimal tissue destruction and good staining of nuclei. EDTA, and EDTA+5% nitric acid stained moderately with minimum tissue destruction and good staining nuclei. HCl
had moderate swelling of tissue and weak nuclear staining. (graph 4 & 5) Fig: (2,3, & 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of decalcifying agent</th>
<th>Time taken for initial decalcification in Days</th>
<th>Time taken for final decalcification in days</th>
<th>Sectioning in grades</th>
<th>Swelling of tissue</th>
<th>Nuclear Staining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5% nitric acid</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1 (ribboning)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1 (ribboning)</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Formic Acid</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2 (ribboning but not easy)</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral EDTA</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4 (crumbling)</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% nitric acid + EDTA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3 (discontinuous ribboning)</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4. Discussion

Fixation time and the decalifying agents used play a major role for the quality of histological section and accuracy of results. The use of decalifying agents depends on four factors. Urgency of case, mineralization stage, aims of research and staining technique. Corrca et al. reported that the decalcification process causes important molecular and morphological alterations in the tissues such as edema, vacuolation, and ruptures not attributive to pathologic condition In our study bone treated with 5% nitric acid decalified quickly than other four. But there is edema and loss of nuclear staining. All acids effect tissue stability. These effects in 5% nitric acid is due to the fact that the time taken and the and the acidity of solution. Thus the quicker the decalcification the greater will be the injury and its effects in H&E sections [1, 12].

5% nitric acid is strong acid used in diagnostic urgency have a high free water ion concentration. They provoke significant alterations in soft tissues especially at cellular levels [11]. The decalcification process can be accelerated by agitation and increasing the temperature and medium.

Neutral EDTA is slower but the details are preserved and the nuclear staining is good. EDTA is a chelating agent slow in action and an excellent decalifier for Immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy studies In case of non urgency cases EDTA is the choice of decalifying agent. Proteoglycans can be lost in certain fixatives and decalifiers like EDTA, nitric and hydrochloric acids. This should be considered when choosing a decalifying method and agent to obtain optimal staining of cartilage for quantitative evaluation [6].

Acidic demineralizers cause distortion of the collagen fibers and deficiency in the affinity of histological stains for tissue structure which has been reported in connection with the use of EDTA [7]. On the contrary judged from the preservation of integrity of intercellular structures and stainability of tissue EDTA appears to surpass all other decalifying agents. [8] Due to the advantage EDTA is used for research purposes and for electron microscopy [10]. EDTA is a tetradeolate chelating agent and its neutral PH has the ability to remove calcium from bone in the form of calcium disodium edentate [5]. The disadvantage of EDTA is limited by the fact that it penetrates tissue poorly and works slowly. It is expensive electrolysis is slow and not suited for routine daily use. Neutral EDTA is used alone approx as 14% as neutral solution. Role of its decalification depends on PH. Tissue sectioned with EDTA was the best to microtome knife. [14] Electrolytic decalification is used with acid decalifier which causes heat damage to specimen because of the application of current [3].

10% formic acid is in our study gave good results and it need not be watched carefully as other decalifying agents. Mineral acids require prolonged periods to decalify bone. 10% formic acid is the best all round decalifier. Some commercial solutions combine formic acid with formalin to fix and decalify tissue at the same time. 5% formic acid can preserve DNA for FISH and CGH studies [2].

The efficacy of decalification of 10% formic acid is increased when buffered with citrate. Formic acid has the minimal shrinkage and loss of nuclear staining [9].

Extended decalcification time in HCl solutions may decrease nuclear staining. Hydrochloric acid is slower with greater distortion and edema than EDTA and weak nuclear staining which is so in our case. Acid decalcification hydrolysis DNA is not suitable for FISH and CGH. Microwave processing proved to be an efficient and reliable procedure for the decalification of bones from laboratory animal species [13].

5. Conclusion

According to our study among the comparison of the five decalifying agents formic acid proves to be the best with moderate time for decalification and with ribonning of sections, good nuclear staining and minimal edema. Further study has to be conducted with combination of decalifying agents and compare with other methods like sonication, microwave, and electrolytic. It is in any laboratories’ best interest to examine the literature for the latest developments in the molecular biology, immunology, and histotechnology of decalified bone before attempting a new procedure [6].
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