Image Denoising Using Median Filter with Edge Detection Using Canny Operator
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Abstract: Image denoising is one of the fundamental problems in image processing. In this paper, a novel approach to suppress noise from the image is conducted by applying the median filter, which is an order-statistics filter and simpler. The noise level has not been reduced by using median filter. Interquartile range (IQR) which is one of the statistical methods used to detect outlier effect from a dataset. The advantage of applying IQR filter is to preserve edge sharpness better of the original image. PSNR was calculated and compared with median filter. The purpose of edge detection is to significantly reduce the amount of data. This paper compares and analyzes several kinds of edge detection, including prewitt, sobel and canny with matlab tool. The experimental results on standard test images demonstrate this filter is simpler and better performing than median filter.
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1. Introduction

Image quality improvement has been a concern throughout the field of image processing. Images are affected by various types of noise [1]. One of the most important areas of image restoration is that cleaning an image occurring by noise. The goal of reducing noise is to eliminate noisy pixels. Noise filtering can be used as replacing every noisy pixel in the image with a new value depending on the neighboring region. The filtering algorithm varies from one to another by the approximation accuracy for the noisy pixel from its surrounding pixels [8]. Image de-noising is an vital image processing task i.e. as a process itself as well as a component in other processes. There are many ways to de-noise an image or a set of data and methods exists.

The proposed algorithm in this paper focuses on how to effectively detect the noise and efficiently restore the image. Once pixel is detected as noise in previous phase, their new value will be estimated and set in noise reduction phase. The filters are used in the process of identifying the image by locating the sharp edges which are discontinuous. These discontinuities bring changes in pixels intensities which define the boundaries of the object. Edge detection is a problem of fundamental importance in image analysis. The purpose of edge detection is to identify areas of an image where a large change in intensity occurs. Edges are basically discontinuities in the image intensity due to changes in the image structure. These discontinuities originate from different features in an image. In typical image, edge characterise object boundaries and are useful for segmentation, registration and identification of objects in a scène. Edges are classified into step, line, ramp and roof edge.

2. Image Denoising

Image denoising is the process of finding unusual values in digital image, which may be the result of errors made by external effects in image capturing process. Identifying these noisy values is an essential part of image enhancement [10] [12] [19]. In the past three decades, a variety of deposing methods have been proposed in the image processing. In spite of these methods are very different, but they tried to remove the noisy pixels without affecting the edges, as much as possible. One of the most common filters is the median filter. Median filter is very effective in removing salt and pepper and impulse noise while preserving image details. In particular, the median filter performs well at filtering outlier points while leaving edges unharmed. One of the undesirable properties of the median filter is that it does not provide sufficient smoothing of non impulsive noise.

3. Median Filter

The Median filter is a nonlinear digital filtering technique, often used to remove noise. Such noise reduction is a typical pre-processing step to improve the results of later processing (for example, edge detection on an image). Median filtering is very widely used in digital image processing because under certain conditions, it preserves edges whilst removing noise. The main idea of the median filter is to run through the signal entry by entry, replacing each entry with the median of neighboring entries. Note that if the window has an odd number of entries, then the median is simple to define: it is just the middle value after all the entries in the window are sorted numerically. For an even number of entries, there is more than one possible median. The median filter is a robust filter. Median filters are widely used as smoothers for image processing, as well as in signal processing and time series processing [21].

A major advantage of the median filter over linear filters is that the median filter can eliminate the effect of input noise values with extremely large magnitudes. (In contrast, linear filters are sensitive to this type of noise - that is, the output may be degraded severely by even by a small fraction of anomalous noise values). The output y of the median filter at
the moment t is calculated as the median of the input values corresponding to the moments adjacent to t:

\[ y(t) = \text{median}(X(t - \frac{t}{2}), X(t - t1 + 1), \ldots, X(t), \ldots, X(t + \frac{t}{2})) \]  

(1)

where t is the size of the window of the median filter. Besides the one-dimensional median filter described above, there are two-dimensional filters used in image processing. Normally images are represented in discrete form as two dimensional arrays of image elements, or pixels - i.e. sets of non-negative values Bij ordered by two indexes i = 1, …, Ny (rows) and j = 1, …, Nx (column), where the elements Bij are scalar values, there are methods for processing color images, where each pixel is represented by several values, e.g. by its "red", "green", "blue" values determining the color of the pixel.

4. Interquartile Range (IQR)

The Five Number Summary is a method for summarizing a distribution of data [20]. The five numbers are the minimum, the first quartile Q1, the median, the third quartile Q3, and the maximum [4]. The IQR is the range of the middle 50% of a distribution. It is calculated as the difference between the upper quartile and lower quartile of a distribution. Since an outlier is an observation which deviates so much from the other observations. Therefore, any outliers in the distribution must be on the ends of the distribution, the range as a measure of dispersion can be strongly influenced by outliers. One solution to this problem is to eliminate the ends of the distribution and measure the range of scores in the middle. Thus, the IQR will eliminate the bottom 25% and top 25% of the distribution, and then measure the distance between the extremes of the middle 50% of the distribution that remains. IQR is a robust measure of variability [4]. The general formulas for calculating both Q1 and Q3 are given as

Q1 = (n+1)/4th ordered observation  
Q3 = 3(n+1)/4th ordered observation  

4.1 Proposed IQR filter

In this article, a novel filter based on the concept of the Interquartile range which is one of the measures of dispersion used in statistics that calculates variation between elements of a data set. In order to apply IQR filter, a window of size k×k was used to implement the proposed method. First, the pixels in the k×k window are sorted in ascending order in order to calculate the first and third quartiles, Q1 and Q3 respectively [20]. Second, the IQR is calculated by subtracting Q1 from Q3. Third, all the pixels that lie outside the IQR are treated as suspected pixels (SP). Those suspected pixels may be passing through a permission procedure to check whether they are noisy or not.

4.2 Permission Procedure

Actually, all the pixels outside the IQR are noisy image. A threshold may be established to permit the external pixels (the pixels outside the IQR) to be in or out. The permission procedure is implemented in two sides which are left and right, i.e. Q1 and Q3. According to left side, the difference between Q1 and the suspected pixel is calculated. If |Q1-SP|<T1, then the pixel is not noisy, otherwise it is. On the other hand, the same procedure is repeated for the right hand with Q3. Therefore, two thresholds (T1 and T2) may be found to determine the truly noisy pixels. As an example, an arbitrary 8×8 window size from a random image was chosen to apply the previously mentioned procedure, Table (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q1-SP</th>
<th>SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Arbitrary 8×8 Window Size From A Random Image

After the determination of the noisy pixels, the estimation method used to donate a value for each noisy image is the local averaging [16]. First, the noisy image could be classified into three types. According to Figure(2), the three noise types are: corner noise (A, C, G, and I), border noise (B, D, F, and H) and interior noise (E). For the corner noise pixels, the estimation could be obtained by summing all the surrounding values (which are always three) and dividing them by 3. While for the border noise, the surrounding pixels are 5. Hence, the average for each surrounding pixels could be found. Finally, the interior noise pixels are surrounded by nine points. As an example, the estimation of the corner noise pixel (0), upper right, in Fig.1 is computed as summing all the surrounding three pixels (103+103+105)/3=103.67=104 which is a very sophisticated value. The Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR) was used to measure the dissimilarities between the noisy image and the original image, Table (4). The IQR filter was found to
perform quite well on images corrupted with large window size, figure (4).

4.4 Noisy Neighbours Problem
Since the noise imposed randomly, the noise pixels may be neighbours in the image array. Therefore, the procedure of local averaging could be risky because of including another noisy pixel in the summation which is wrong. Hence, some procedure to get rid of the noisy neighbour just during the local averaging is very important. According to Figure (3), both A and B are noisy pixels. As mentioned previously, the local averaging is used to estimate the value of the noisy pixel A by finding the local averaging of the surrounding pixels to A which are 84, B, 85, 87, and 86. But B is also a noisy image and this will affect the average directly. As an example, if the value of A is 0, then (84+0+85+87+86)/5 =52 which is very far from the nearest neighbours. So, by neglecting B and calculating the summation for all the surrounding pixels without B as (84+85+87+86)/4 =86 and that is seems to be rational approximation.

Table 2: Noisy Neighbours
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>86</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5 IQR Algorithm
For each window of size k×k do the following:
1. Compute Q1, Q3, and IQR distance
2. Find all suspected noisy pixels outside IQR distance
3. Compute the permission distance by two thresholds T1 and T2
4. Return all pixels within T1 and T2 to the no noisy pixels

5. Estimate all noisy pixels greater than T1 and T2 by local averaging

6. Types of Noise
6.2 Amplifier noise (Gaussian noise)
The standard model of amplifier noise is additive, Gaussian, independent at each pixel and independent of the signal intensity. In color cameras where more amplification is used in the blue color channel than in the green or red channel, there can be more noise in the blue channel. Amplifier noise is a major part of the "read noise" of an image sensor, that is, of the constant noise level in dark areas of the image [22].

6.2 Salt-and-pepper noise
An image containing salt-and-pepper noise will have dark pixels in bright regions and bright pixels in dark regions [22]. This type of noise can be caused by dead pixels, analog-to-digital converter errors, bit errors in transmission, etc. This can be eliminated in large part by using dark frame subtraction and by interpolating around dark/bright pixels.

6.3 Poisson noise
Poisson noise or shot noise is a type of electronic noise that occurs when the finite number of particles that carry energy, such as electrons in an electronic circuit or photons in an optical device, is small enough to give rise to detectable statistical fluctuations in a measurement [22].

6.4 Speckle noise
Speckle noise is a granular noise that inherently exists in and degrades the quality of the active radar and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images [22].

6.5 Edge-Detection
Gradient-based edge detection is the most common approach for detecting meaningful discontinuities in gray level. This leads to formalism in which "meaningful" transitions in gray levels can be measured. An ideal edge is a set of connected pixels, each of which is located at a step transition in gray level. The gradient of an image f(x, y) at location (x, y) is defined as vector. The gradient vector points in the direction of maximum rate of change of f at coordinates (x, y). An important quantity in the edge detection is magnitude of this vector, denoted as f, Where $f = \sqrt{G_x^2 + G_y^2}$ where $G_x = \text{Horizontal gradient}, G_y = \text{Vertical gradient}$

Table 3: Robert-cross operator
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gx</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.6 Canny's Edge Detection
The Canny Edge Detection Algorithm has the following Steps:

Step 1: Smooth the image with a Gaussian filter.
Step 2: Compute the gradient magnitude and orientation using finite-difference approximations for the partial derivatives. Step 3: Apply non maxima suppression to the
gradient magnitude, Use the double thresholding algorithm to detect and link edges. Canny edge detector approximates the operator that optimizes the product of signal-to-noise ratio and localization. It is generally the first derivative of a Gaussian [23].

6.7 Classical (Sobel, Prewitt)

The primary advantages of the classical operator are simplicity. The Roberts cross operator provides a simple approximation to the gradient magnitude. The second advantages of the classical operator are detecting edges and their orientations. In this cross operator, the detection of edges and their orientations is said to be simple due to the approximation of the gradient magnitude. The disadvantages of these cross operator are sensitivity to the noise, in the detection of the edges and their orientations. The increase in the noise to the image will eventually degrade the magnitude of the edges. The major disadvantage is the inaccuracy, as the radiant magnitude of the edges decreases. Most probably the accuracy also decreases [23].

![Figure 3: Comparison of different edge detection operators](image)

Table 4: PSNR Values for 512×512 Test Images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Image</th>
<th>3×3 Window size</th>
<th>5×5 Window size</th>
<th>7×7 Window size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>median filter</td>
<td>IQR filter</td>
<td>median filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>35.0945</td>
<td>38.9235</td>
<td>30.9786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Peppers</td>
<td>35.8371</td>
<td>37.6059</td>
<td>32.3491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>29.2751</td>
<td>31.1613</td>
<td>27.5362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bird</td>
<td>31.2095</td>
<td>33.2074</td>
<td>27.7528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Baboon</td>
<td>22.8738</td>
<td>30.2606</td>
<td>20.6409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Conclusion

In this paper, a new and simple approach for removing salt and pepper noise from corrupted images has been presented. The proposed filter use statistic in a way that removes outlier from a window of size k×k. It can be seen that IQR filter preserves edge sharpness better of the original image than median filter. As a main conclusion from this article is that whenever the window size is increased the preserving of the edges is not affected highly which is on the contrary of the median filter? Results show this filter can effectively reduce salt and pepper noise. Various edge detection algorithms and design methods have been described and discussed in this paper. The major research directions that can be followed and improvements to be made in the future edge detection techniques are categorized in the following categories:

1) Image noise reduction.
2) Precise edge detections with a minimum error detection possibility.
3) Accurate edge localization that can detect edges within a single pixel.

8. Future Work

Different-filtering techniques can be introduced to reduce the noise. The integration of multiple algorithms for image segmentation in addition to Sobel-edge detection and binary image segmentation can be considered.
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