Study Effect of HSS Single Point Cutting Tool Nose Radius on Cutting Edge Strength and Tool Wear in Machining of EN9

Maheshwari Patil¹, Dr.R.J.Patil²

¹Dr.D.Y.Patil Institute of Engineering and Technology, Ambi, Talegon, Pune, Maharashtra, India

²Principal of Dr.D.Y.Patil Institute of Engineering and Technology, Ambi, Talegon, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: Study effect of H.S.S. single point cutting tool nose radius on cutting edge strength and tool wear in machining of EN9. The cost of cutting tool is high therefore to it is necessary to pay attention to increase the tool life. The effect of cutting tool geometry has long been an issue in understanding mechanics of turning tool geometry has significant influence on chip formation, heat generation, tool wear and surface finish ad surface integrity during turning. This paper presents a survey on variation in tool geometry that is tool nose radius, rake angle, variable edge geometry and their effect on tool wear and cutting edge integrity in the turning operation of components from EN9 (C45) plain carbon steel. Heat generated during turning is also affected by edge preparation due to change in work material flow around the cutting edge. Work-Design and drawing of single point cutting tool with variation in top rake angle (say 30 & 50) respectively with nose radius 0.3 mm and 0.5 mm respectively using H.S.S (S-400) as tool material to determine actual forces using strain gauge, theoretical stresses and wear of cutting edge. In our study we have carried out the experimental study of HSS (S-400) tool or material EN (9) with this objective to study the effect of rake angle and nose radius on tool edge integrity and stress produced in the tool material leading to tool edge failure. The result then obtained will be further useful in selecting appropriate rake angle and nose radius for various conditions of speed, feed and depth of cut further leading to economical machining and improved productivity.

Keywords: Cutting tool, H.S.S., EN9, cutting forces, stresses, wear etc.

1. Introduction

1.1 Theory of metal cutting

1.1.1Types of cutting tool

There are two types of cutting tool.

- a) Single point cutting tool
- b) Multiple point cutting tool

a)Single point cutting tool

A single point cutting tool has only one cutting edge or point. It consists of a sharpened cutting part called its point and shank.

A single point cutting tool used for turning, boring, shaping and planning operations, that is, tool used on the lathes, boring machines, shaper, planner etc. are single point cutting tool.



Figure 1: Cutting angle of single point cutting tool

1.2 The various parts of single point cutting tool

- 1. Shank
- 2. Face
- 3. Flank
- 4. Base
- 5. Nose
- 6. Cutting edge

1.3 The various angles in cutting tool

- 1. Back rake angle
- 2. Side rake angle
- 3. End relief angle
- 4. Side relief angle
- 5. Side cutting angle
- 6. End cutting angle

1.4 Process of metal shaping

Process of metal shaping is classified into two types namely.

- a) Non-cutting shaping process
- b) Cutting shaping process

a) Non-Cutting Shaping Process

The metal is shaped under the action of force, heating or both. Since there is no cutting of metal, chip formation will not be there. So, it is called non-cutting shaping process.

b) Cutting Shaping Process

The required shape of metal is obtained by removing the unwanted material from the work piece in the form of chips is called cutting shaping process. Example: Turning, Drilling, Milling, Boring Etc.

1.5 Relative motion between work piece and cutting tool

- 1. Rotation of work against the tool. Example: Turning
- 2. Rotation of tool against work piece. Example: Drilling, Milling
- 3. Linear movement of the work piece against the tool. Example: Planer
- 4. Linear movement of the tool against the work. Example: Shaper

1.6 Tool signature

The various angles of tools are mentioned in a numerical number in practice order. That order is known as tool signature.

The effect of back rake angle and mention the types

Back rake angle of the tool increases the strength of cutting tool and cutting action.

It is classified into two types.

- a) Positive rake angle
- b) Negative rake angle

a) Negative Rake Angle

The slope given away from the cutting edge is called negative rake angle.

- 1. To machine high strength.
- 2. The machine tools are more rigid.
- 3. The feed rates are high.
- 4. To give heavy and interrupted cuts.

Side Rake Angle and Mention Its Effects

The angle between the tool face and the line parallel to the base of the tool is known as side rake angle. It is used to control chip flow.

Clearance angle and mention the types

These are the slopes ground downwards from the cutting edges.

The clearance angle can be classified into two types.

- a) Side relief angle
- b) End relief angle

The nose radius

It is the joining of side and end cutting edges by means of small radius in order to increase the tool life and better surface.

All condition for using positive rake angle

- 1. To machine the work hardened materials.
- 2. To machine low strength ferrous and non-ferrous metals.
- 3. To turn the strong shaft of small diameters.
- 4. To machine the metal below recommended cutting speeds.

5. To machine the work piece using small machine tools with low horsepower.

1.7 Types of metal cutting process

The metal cutting processes are mainly classified into two types.

- a) Orthogonal cutting process (Two dimensional cutting)
- b) Oblique cutting process (Three dimensional cutting)

a) Orthogonal Cutting

The cutting edge of tool is perpendicular to the work piece axis.

b) Oblique Cutting

The cutting edge is inclined at an acute angle with normal to the cutting velocity vector is called oblique cutting process.

1.8 Tool Life

Tool Life is defined as the time elapsed between two consecutive tool reshaping. During this period the tool serves effectively and efficiently.

Taylor's Tool Life Equation

Vtn = C

- Where V = Cutting speed in M/Min
- T = Tool life in minute
- C = Constant
- N = Index depends upon tool and work

The Ways of Representing Tool Life

The following are some of the ways of expressing tool life.

- 1. Volume of metal removed expressing tool life
- 2. Number of work piece machined per grind
- 3. Time unit

The Factors Affecting Tool Life

- 1. Cutting speed
- 2. Feed and depth of cut
- 3. Tool geometry
- 4. Tool material
- 5. Cutting fluid
- 6. Work material
- 7. Rigidity of work, tool and machine

Input Data

- 1. Machine : Center Lathe
- 2. Material : Mild Steel (C45)
- 3. Brinell hardness : 85-125
- 4. Cutting tool : HSS(S-400)
- 5. Type : HSS tool ground to give rake and cutting edge angle
- 6. Recommended speed & feed : 450 rpm & 0.3 mm/rev
- 7. Selected depth of cut : Maximum depth of cut 3.mm
- 8. Roughing operation
- 9. Fit : Clearance
- 10. Tolerance : USL (50.2), LSL (50.00)

Volume 3 Issue 12, December 2014 www.ijsr.net

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

2. Experimental work

- 1. A heavy precision lathe machine was used for experimentation as shown in figure.
- 2. The lathe gives us wide variety of feeds, cutting speeds and depth of cut.
- 3. The lathe has provision for automatic translator motion so as to minimize the variation in cutting conditions.
- 4. It has high degree of accuracy and rigidity, which are required for the metal machining process.
- 5. This machine motor horse power is 5 H.P and spindle rotation ranges from 30 rpm to 500 rpm. There are six spindle rotational spindle speed selectable.
- 6. Work piece is selected with below specifications. a) Work piece material: - MILD STEEL b) Ref: - PSG DESIGN DATA (1.10&12 1.17)

MATERIAL	TENSILE	YEILD	
DESIGNATION	STRENGTH	STRENGTH	
	(N/mm2)	(N/mm2)	
C45 (EN9)	600	380	

- 7. The work piece is mounted in the chuck as shown in figure.
- 8. Desired depth of cuts has been applied to the work piece respectively for selected set of rake angle and nose radius by carbide cutting tool (HSS). Tool Material: - High speed steel (S-400)
- 9. A constant feed (mm/rev) and speed (RPM) is selected for each set of rake angle and nose radius.
 - a) Speed: -450 (rpm)
 - b) Feed: -0.3 (mm/rev)
 - c) Depth of cut:-3(mm)
- 10. Motor has to be started to carry out the turning operation.
- 11. Normal force is measured using the dynamometer; the experimental set up is as shown in figure 3.2 & 3.3.
- 12. Cutting force is measured using the dynamometer; the experimental set up is as shown in figure 3.4 & 3.5.
- 13. Same work has been carried out for different sets of rake angles and nose radius.
- 14. Cutting forces are measured and tabulated as shown in table 3.1.

2.1 Set-I

Conducting the test on specimen of EN9 material for first set of readings with 3⁰ rake angle and 0.3 mm nose radius

a) Test -1

Measurement of normal force while machining EN9 material with HSS tool 3[°] rake angle and 0.3 mm nose radius



Figure 2: Experimental set up to measure normal force



Figure 3: Actual experimental set up to measure normal force

b) Test -2

Measurement of cutting force while machining EN9 material with tool 3[°] rake angle and 0.3 mm nose radius



Figure 4: Experimental set up to measure cutting force



Figure 5: Actual experimental set up to measure cutting force

c) Stress calculation

TEST-1

RESULT: Normal force = 1168 N Direct Tensile or Compressive stress due to a normal load

$$fc (act) = \frac{\pi}{A}$$
$$fc (act) = \frac{1168}{22 \cdot 16}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 fc act =3.65 N/mm2

As fc (act) < fc all; tool is safe in Compressive.

TEST-2

RESULT: Cutting force = 1168 N Direct shear stress due to a cutting force

$$fc (act) = \frac{A}{A}$$

$$fc (act) = \frac{1564}{20x20}$$

$$fc act = 3.91 \text{ N/mm2}$$

As fc (act) < fc all; tool is safe in shear

d) Observation of experimental results

SPEED = 450 RPM FEED = 0.3 MM/REV DEPTH OF CUT = 3.0 MM

 Table 1: Actual forces & stresses at different sets of rake angles and nose radii

No. of	Rake	Nose	Normal	Cutting	Compressive	Shear
Samples	Angle	Radius	Force	Force	Stress	Stress
	(Degree)	(mm)	(N)	(N)	(N/mm2)	(N/mm2)
1.	3	0.3	1130	1140	3.53	2.85
2.	3	0.5	1896	1946	5.93	4.87
3.	5	0.3	1072	1124	3.35	2.81
4.	5	0.5	1798	1894	5.62	4.74



Rake Angle (Degree) & Nose Radius (MM) Graph 1: Actual stress vs rake angle and nose radius

 Table 2: Actual wear at different sets of rake angles and nose radii

No. of	Rake	Nose	Normal	Cutting	Wear in	Wear in
Samples	Angle	Radius	Force	Force	Normal	Direction of
	(Degree)	(mm)	(N)	(N)	Direction	Feed (mm)
1	3	03	1130	1140	0.12	0.14
1.		0.5	1150	1140	0.12	0.14
2.	3	0.5	1896	1946	0.11	0.12
3.	5	0.3	1072	1124	0.11	0.11
4.	5	0.5	1798	1894	0.11	0.11



Graph 2: Actual wear vs rake angle and nose radius

3. Conclusion

- 1. Tool shows better performance with 5 degree rake and 0.3 mm radius with minimal tool wear and there by better tool life.
- 2. Study the effect of rake angle and nose radius on tool edge integrity and stress produced in the tool material leading to tool edge failure.
- 3. The result then obtained will be further useful in selecting appropriate rake angle and nose radius for various conditions of speed, feed and depth of cut further leading to economical machining and improved productivity.

Reference

Reference books

- [1] HMT "Production Technology", Tata Mcgraw-Hill, 1998.
- [2] P.N.Rao, 'CAD/CAM Principles And Applications', TATA Mc Craw Hill, 2007
- [3] P.C. Sharma, "A Text Book of Production Engineering", S. Chand And Co. Ltd, IV Edition, 1993.

Reference Papers

- Aknouche, A. Outahyon, C. Nouveau, R. Marchal, A. Zerizer, J.C. Butaud; "Tool wears effect on cutting forces: In routing process of Aleppo pine wood; journal of materials processing technology," 209, 2918 2922, 2009.
- [2] M. Dogra*,a,V. S. Sharmab, J. Durejac; "Effect of tool geometry variation on finish turning – A Review", Journal of Engineering Science and Technology Review 4 (1) (2011) 1-13, Received 4 October 2009; Revised 19 October 2010; Accepted 20 December 2010
- [3] H AOUICI1,2, M A YALLESE2, A BELBAH2, MFAMEUR1 and M ELBAH2, "Experimental investigation of cutting parameters influence on surface roughness and cutting forces in hard turning of X38CrMoV5-1 with CBN tool", Sa⁻dhana⁻ Vol. 38, Part 3, June 2013, pp. 429–445._c Indian Academy of Sciences.