

Threats in RFID Applications on Silent Commerce

J. Venkatesh¹

¹Anna University Regional Centre Coimbatore, Department of Management Studies,
Mettupalayam Road, Jothipuram, Coimbatore 641 047, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract: *Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tools assure remuneration that ensues from being capable to recognize and follow individual goods in profitable supply chains. This assist in account management, decrease robbery, can be used in concurrence with other antenna technology to spot dented goods, and promises cost reductions. Safety and seclusion features interrelated to RFID are, though, ahead noteworthy significance as the nonexistence of good security and retreat is moderately accountable for investment back the great level accomplishment that are requisite for the earlier revealed RFID request. Since RFID is a wireless structure devoid of any usual safety controls, label can be interpreted, customized, influenced, or immobilized with no substantial, and so visible, contact. The isolation issues have been recurrently glorified in the medium by assured persons and cluster that are beside the use of RFID, in meticulous in buyer products, as they imagine it to disobey their isolation. The purpose of this manuscript is to categorize impending threats to profitable supply chains associated to the use of RFID technology.*

Keywords: RFID, Global, Threat, Data, Information.

1. Introduction

Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tools are quickly budding in the supply chain since it amplifies visibility of the interest group of materials on conditions that chance for augmented competence. Protection concern should be dealt with before RFID implementations turn out to be collective. How protected is an RFID system? RFID system is no contact, non line of prospect and hidden recognition, which is dissimilar from omnipresent barcode detection method [4]. Hence, it is complex to totally stop the indication from being emanate from the label. Tags are positioned on pallets, cases, and individual items and can be examined from amid inches to indicators, illuminating the EPC number. The EPC digit is the key to a record access that contains information about the product and its holder. This has the possibility to decrease purchase ambiguity and solitude promoter are bothered about revealing such information. Certain retreat concern did occur when Gillette Corporation determined to apply 500 million RFID tags from Alien Technology Corp. to the Mach III turbo razors [5]. End user seclusion activist assesses implant RFID chips in commodities products, frightened abandoned level of inspection that makes users exclusive. Some reviewer like the head of the clients beside supermarket privacy incursion and numbering will call for the global embargo of Gillette and Benetton after their plan to support RFID chips in their products [6].

Some clients see those techniques as a marketing approach to gather information about the wellbeing of a client and do not want their happiness to be disclosed. Today, reactive tags do not have adequate power and circuitry to send the information openly to the person who reads or to execute strong cryptographic encryption task [12]. A trespasser with an intellectual reader can convert and adjust the tag's stuffing like EPC number, because of the unreal or weak defense. These precautions purpose require a considerable amount of dealing out authority. Adding the essential circuitry and power to the inert tags adds detrimental cost. The EPC tags do have improved protection that was added to deal with some distress but it may not be enough. Functionality of a tag is easily augmented by raising its cost. But even these exclusive tags are not measured safe and can

invalidate engineered. Two students repeal engineered Texas Instrument's DST transponder that is used in the anti theft system of automobile and for speed passes that allow a user to promptly buy gas [7]. They were capable to start an automobile with the cloned key and buy gas with a duplicate RFID tag.

RFID tags can exclusively instruct the entity character of a fussy product. Because many labels can be read at a reserve by readers at notorious spot, they also give information on spot at time of study, and this in order can be used to follow label items. Manufacturers, merchant, and vendor set to promote from RFID by significant where commodities are within and between trades in the supply chain. EPC global is a global nonprofit values association commercializing the Electronic Product Code (EPC) and RFID in all-inclusive. The vision of EPC global is an identical system consecutively on diverse platforms with a consistent practice. It builds on accessible machinery such as servers, clients, databases, wireless contact, and Internet practice, all with their individual prospective threats, which are out of reach for the discussion.

2. RFID Threat Case

The model consists of following actions: Spoofing-Spoofing occur when an invader effectively create as an endorsed user of a system. Interfere with data-Data alter take place when an assailant modify, adds, deletes, or reorders data. Refutation-Repudiation arises when a user discards an act and no testimony exists to prove that the action was achieved. Information confession-Information exposé take place when information is out to an illicit user. Denial of service-Denial of service contradicts service to legitimate users. Denial of service assaults are easy to achieve and complex to guard against. Altitude of privilege-Elevation of concession occurs when a poor user or assailant achieve higher rights in the system than what they are endorsed. The model comprises of components like spoofing identity, interfering with data, negation, altitude of opportunity, information confession and repudiation of check. The initial step in building a protected system is to recognize the threats [8]. Threats are prospective events that ground a system to react in an unanticipated or detrimental way. It is useful to

categorize threats to choose strategy for mitigating them. In this paper, intimidation to RFID is classified using the well known model used in the plan of protected software systems [8].

2.1 Identity

An assailant resolves the complete information about an item by posturing as a certified user of the database referenced by ONS. An assailant can pose as an approved ONS user and offer queries to ONS assembly URLs and then gaze up the EPC number in the suitable database after being authentic. A user of ONS substantiate itself with the database after discovering the location of the file with ONS to find the map between the EPC number and information about the consequence that has the label. An attacker that creates as an endorsed user can resolve the firm, product depiction, and serial number of a case or a large number of cases. An assailant poses as an ONS server. It can assemble EPC statistics calmly or act in response with void URLs leading to either a corrupt of data or a denial-of-service assault. Spoofing arise when an assailant effectively create as an official user of a system. Listed below are spoofing threats. An opponent or burglar carries out an unauthorized stock of a store by examining RFID EPC tags with an illegal reader to resolve the types and quantities of items. An illicit reader can question the tag for the EPC digit because most tags used in the supply chain react to any reader. The EPC figure is only an integer. However, since of the normal way of generating an EPC number, an assailant can decide the manufacturer and perhaps the product number. It is likely that the number allotted to all manufacturers will become public acquaintance as well as the product number after some diminutive period of time. An attacker resolves what organization is dispensed an EPC number by posturing as an authorized EPC's inclusive Information Services (IS) Object Name Service (ONS) user. An assailant can pose as an endorsed ONS user and propose queries of either assembled EPC numbers or random EPC numbers to ONS. Middleware queries ONS with the EPC integer to resolve the URL of the file that contains information on this particular EPC number. If an assailant can pose as one of the certified middleware users, s/he can propose queries and gather URLs formative the location and possible classification of the association that contains information on the EPC number.

2.2 Data Interference

An assailant erase data on a label- an attacker kills label in the supply chain, stockroom, or store disturbing business operation and causing a loss of income [9]. EPC global projected that a label has a "exterminate" command to demolish it to defend consumer privacy. If employed in the label, an assailant can "destroy" the label if the key is known. Class-0, 1 Gen-1, 2 labels have exterminate instructions [1], [2], [3]. An assailant could ship a rogue reader that occasionally comes on while being crafted or the assailant could walk through a stock up. An assailant wipe out the label setting all values together with the EPC number to zero in the supply chain, stockroom, or store distracting business process and causing a loss of income. An attacker gets rid of or physically demolishes labels attached to objects [7]. This is worn by an assailant to let alone tracking. A thief

demolishes the tag to get rid of goods without recognition. An assailant reorders data on a tag or reorganizes tags- an assailant exchanges a high charge item's tag with a lower priced item's tag. Barcodes have been focused to this assail for years. An assailant alter the revisit signal from the label to the reader- an assailant cause as an ONS server and react with the erroneous URL in retort to an ONS inquiry from a manager. An attacker adapts, ads, deletes, or reorders data in a record that include the in sequence about EPC numbers. This is beneath the group of record protection. Data mess take place when an attacker modify, append, erase, or reorganize data. Following are data tampering threats. An assailant change a tag- an attacker modifies the tag in a passport to contain the serial number associated with a terrorist or criminal [12]. A terrorist or criminal modifies a passport tag to appear to be a citizen in good standing. An assailant modifies the EPC number on label in the supply chain, stockroom, or store distracting industry process and source a loss of income. An assailant could ship a rogue reader that occasionally comes on while being shipped. Or the assailant could walk from side to side a store. An assailant alters a high-priced item's EPC number to be the EPC number of a lower cost item. An attacker adds a tag to an object-an attacker insert a label in an ID that includes the serial number related with a terrorist or criminal. An assailant adds extra tags in a consignment that makes the delivery emerge to contain more items than it really does.

2.2 Negation

Negation intimidation happens when a user rejects a deed and no proof exists to show that the exploit was performed. Following are the negation threats. A vendor rejects getting a convinced pallet, case, or item. A non-repudiation method is mandatory to assurance that neither the correspondent nor the beneficiary can refuse proceedings. The manager of the EPC number oppose with having information about the thing to which the tag is attached. This might direct to a customer being destitute of guarantee revamp or income.

Set your page as A4, width 210, height 297 and margins as follows:



Figure 1: RFID Threat Model

2.3 Information Confession

Information confession arises when information is exposed to an illegal user. It is a risk to privacy if it is information about an entity. Listed below is information confession intimidation. A bomb in an eatery explodes when there are

four or more people with RFID allow passports perceive. An elegant bomb located at a lane bend blow up when a meticulous entity with an RFID facilitate ID is noticed. An adequately influential heading for reader reads tags in house or car.

2.4 Checking of Repudiation

Denial-of-service rejects check to valid customer. Denial-of-service assail are easy to achieve and tricky to safeguard against. An assailant destroy label in the supply chain, storehouse, or store disturbing commerce process and causing a loss of income [8]. An attacker bears an unusual permeable label that is adjusted to the same frequencies used by the tags. As an option of control the impedance in and out of the receiver to adapt the reader sign it would just attract the energy dropping the quantity of reader energy. It could be an inert device. This would reduce the quantity of energy reachable for assessment other normal tags. An assailant removes or actually destroys tags fond of two things [9]. This is worn by an assailant to stay away from tracking. A robber devastates the tag to get rid of stock devoid of recognition. An assailant shields the label from individual read with a Faraday Cage [9]. A Faraday Cage is a metal area such as a sack wrinkled with aluminum foil that avoids the reader from reading the label. In the dispute over push in label in passports, it has been recommended that the passports be place in into a foil possessor to avoid this type of attack [10]. An attacker with dominant reader squeeze the reader by generating a more powerful revisit signal than the indication revisit from the label and thus assembly the system occupied to certified users [11]. An assailant executes a conventional Internet denial-of-service molests next to the servers gathering EPC information from the reader. An assailant performs a conventional Internet denial-of-service assaults next to ONS. An assailant sends URL inquiry to a file causing it to do file queries and so reject admission to certified user.

2.5 Altitude of Opportunity

Altitude of authorization arise when an unprivileged user or attacker gains higher privileges in the system than what they are certified. A customer sorting on to the file to decide product in sequence can turn into an assailant by hoisting his/her position in the sequence organization from a consumer to an origin server superintendent and mark or adjoin cruel facts into the system.

3. Conclusion

Many protection mechanisms have previously been projected to defend RFID systems beside potential molest. Some of this aggression is easy to contest (i.e. illegal tag interpretation and follow up) by using competently intended set of rules and cryptographic primordial as well as executing suitable software. Other intimidations are tough or more expensive to preserve against, while further are yet open troubles and focused to research. It is apparent that there is a need for efficient resistance means to assurance the consistency and protection of RFID structure potential effort will embrace assigning risk to each hazard to get a quantitative achievement, association threats from the utmost

to lowest risk, and suggest and estimate technique to reduce the anxiety with prominent threats. This manuscript is deliberately imperfect in scope to provide a model for RFID intimidation to the protection of a system. It does not wrap isolation or danger alleviation. Many RFID threats can be detected or direct by conservative defense supervision approaches but not if classification developers not succeed to recognize possible intimidation.

4. Future Scope of the Study

Regarding “RFID domains for the further future”, with a time horizon between “medium term” and “futuristic”, it is obviously more difficult to determine where vision supersedes realism. Some essential features are known as there must be a paradigm change from the relatively simple “identification of objects at a distance” which may suffice in the current supply chain projects, to the much more challenging “communication between objects” and the even more challenging “distributed intelligence (or Internet) of things”, which implies that there must be a scalable, efficient, reliable, secure and trustworthy infrastructure, in order to link all involved objects. To add further technological research into antennas, decision rules, network structures, and others will need to be complemented by research, both fundamental and applications into human behaviour and drawing from all human and social sciences, including psychology, health, education, industry and other social aspects such as privacy, which “pervasive networks”, even for things, may increasingly put at risk.

References

- [1] Draft Protocol Specification for a 900 MHz Class 0 Radio Frequency Identification Tag, Auto-ID Center, MIT, Cambridge, MA, Feb. 23, 2003. Available: <http://www.epcglobalinc.org/>.
- [2] 860 MHz – 930 MHz Class I Radio Frequency Identification Tag Radio Frequency & Logical Communication Interface Specification Candidate Recommendation, ver. 1.0.1, tech. report, Auto-ID Center, MIT, Cambridge, MA, Nov. 14, 2002. Available: <http://www.epcglobalinc.org/>.
- [3] EPCTM Radio-Frequency Identity Protocols Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Protocol for Communications at 860 MHz – 960 MHz, ver. 1.0.9, EPC global Inc., Jan. 31, 2005. Available: <http://www.epcglobalinc.org/>.
- [4] Sarma, S. E., S. A. Weis, D. W. Engels, “RFID systems and security and privacy implications,” in Proc. Workshop on Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems (CHES), August 2002, pp. 454-470.
- [5] Clarke, P., “Start-up gets big order for fluidically assembled RFID chips,” EE Times, <http://www.eet.com/news/latest/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=10800626>
- [6] Gilbert, A., “MIT bows out of controversial RFID tag research,” silicon.com, <http://software.silicon.com/security/0,39024655,39116580,00.htm>
- [7] Bono, S., M. Green, A. Stubblefield, A. Juels, A. Rubin, M. Szydlo. “Security analysis of a cryptographically-

- enabled RFID device,” in Proc. USENIX Security Symposium, July-August 2005.
- [8] Howard M., D. LeBlanc, Writing Secure Code 2nd ed., Redmond, Washington: Microsoft Press, 2003.
- [9] Juels, A., R. Rivest, and M. Szydlo, “The blocker tag: selective blocking of RFID tags for consumer privacy,” in Proc. Conference on Computer and Communications Security - ACM CCS, October 2003.
- [10] Schneier, B., “Fatal flaw weakens RFID passports,” Wired NEWS, Nov. 2003, pp.1- 2.
- [11] Law, C., K. Lee, and K.-Y. Siu, Efficient Memoryless Protocol for Tag Identification, tech. report, Auto-ID Center, MIT, Cambridge, MA, Oct. 2000. Available: <http://www.autoidlabs.org/whitepapers>.
- [12] Chaudhry, N., D. Thompson, C. Thompson, “RFID Technical Tutorial and Threat Modeling,” ver. 1.0, tech. report, Dept. of Computer Science and Computer Engineering, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas, Dec. 8, 2005. Available: <http://csce.uark.edu/~drt/>

Author Profile



Dr. Venkatesh. J., Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, Anna University, Regional Centre Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India has more than fifteen years of teaching experience with multi discipline specializations of Management Sciences. Understanding the uniqueness and priority of the field of education and research, he has imbibed the sense of disseminating and sharing knowledge with the environment wherever he is, which always makes him a continuous learner. Being a straight forward and transparent person with elite attitude, he would like to endorse a spectrum of educational qualification to effectively enforce his profession of teaching. His field of specialization spreads widely in the areas of Information Technology, Image Processing, Networking, Environmental Engineering, International Business, Finance and Marketing. Adding feather to his cap was the accreditation given by All India Management Association (AIMA, New Delhi, India) as Accredited Management Teacher (AMT) in the field of Information Technology (2012), Accredited as Certified Management Teacher (CMT) in the field of General Management given by Management Teacher Consortium (MTC Global, Bangalore) acknowledging his deep knowledge, research focus and excellence in the management research education.